Summary

Insects use various gaits. Fast running insects employ tripod gaits with 3 legs up in swing and 3 down in stance. Slower insects use tetrapod gaits with 2 legs in swing and 4 in stance. Fruit flies use both gaits with a transition from tetrapod to tripod at intermediate speeds. We study the effect of stepping frequency on transitions between these gaits in an ion-channel bursting neuron model in which each cell represents a hemi-segmental thoracic circuit of the central pattern generator (CPG). Employing phase reduction and dynamical systems methods, we show the existence and stability of tetrapod, tripod and transition gaits.

CPG bursting neuron model

The system of equations for a single bursting neuron model is [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{C}\dot{v} &= -\left\{I_{Ca} + I_{K} + I_{KS} + I_{L}\right\} + I_{ext} \\ \dot{m} &= \frac{\epsilon}{\tau_{m}(v)}[m_{\infty}(v) - m] \\ \dot{w} &= \frac{\delta}{\tau_{w}(v)}[w_{\infty}(v) - w] \\ \dot{s} &= \frac{1}{\tau_{w}(v)}[s_{\infty}(v)(1 - s) - s] \quad \text{(synapse)} \end{aligned}$$

The currents take the forms

 $I_{Ca}(v) = \bar{g}_{Ca}n_{\infty}(v)(v-E_{Ca})$ $I_{\mathcal{K}}(v,m) = \bar{g}_{\mathcal{K}} m (v - E_{\mathcal{K}})$ $I_{KS}(v,w) = \bar{g}_{K_{Ca}}w(v-E_K)$ $I_L(v) = \bar{g}_L(v - E_L)$ $l_{ext} = constant$

The time scales take the forms

 $\tau_m(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{sech}(k_K(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_K))$ $\tau_w(v) = \operatorname{sech}(k_C(v - v_C))$ $\tau_s = \text{constant}$



The steady state gating variables are

Effect of I_{ext} & δ on frequency of limit cycle

T = period of a cycle = swing+stance, **frequency** = 1/T

As I_{ext} or δ increases, the frequency increases. So both I_{ext} and δ can be considered as *speed* parameters (ξ).

Weakly interconnected neurons

For a network of six mutually inhibiting units, assume [3]:

- Inhibitory coupling via negative postsynaptic currents.
- Contralateral symmetry (3 contralateral (c_1, c_2, c_3) and 4 ipsilateral (c_4 , c_5 , c_6 , c_7) coupling strengths).
- Nearest neighbor connections with identical coupling functions.

A bursting neuron CPG model: phase reduction, dynamical mechanisms, and gait transitions Zahra Aminzare, Vaibhav Srivastava, Philip Holmes

The Program in Applied and Computational Mathematics, Princeton University Synapses The synapse variable *s* enters the postsynaptic cell: $C\dot{v} = -\{I_{Ca} + I_{K} + I_{KS} + I_{L}\} + I_{ext} + I_{syn}$ where $I_{syn} = I_{syn}(v, s) = -\bar{g}_{syn} s(t) (v - E_s^{post}), \quad \bar{g}_{syn}$: synaptic strength Gait transition The following figures show gait transitions from tetrapod to tripod as ξ increases in the network of 6-coupled bursting neurons represented by 24 ODEs. Each bar represents the 0.5 swing phase of one leg. Note the transitional gaits with partial overlap of swing phases in the middle row. In these simulations, $c_1 = c_2 = c_3$, and $c_5 = c_4 + c_7 = c_6$. Goal: To justify the observed gait transitions mathematically. To this end, we first reduce the 24 ODEs to 6 phase equations: Gaits deduced from fruit fly data fitting Phase equations for six weakly coupled neurons $\hat{\omega}$ C_1 Phase reduction theory yields a single equation for each 9.92 0.3614 0.14 slow bursting neuron. The coupling function is computed by medium 12.48 0.2225 0.62 convolving the phase response curve (PRC) with the 15.52 0.0580 0.86 fast synaptic current (I_{syn}) . 0.2 0.6 0.8 $\phi_1 = \omega_0 + c_1 H(\phi_4 - \phi_1, \xi) + c_5 H(\phi_2 - \phi_1, \xi)$ 0.4 0.8 $\dot{\phi}_2 = \omega_0 + c_2 H(\phi_5 - \phi_2, \xi) + c_4 H(\phi_1 - \phi_2, \xi) + c_7 H(\phi_3 - \phi_2, \xi)$ $\dot{\phi}_{3} = \omega_{0} + c_{3}H(\phi_{6} - \phi_{3}, \xi) + c_{6}H(\phi_{2} - \phi_{3}, \xi)$ $\dot{\phi}_4 = \omega_0 + c_1 H(\phi_1 - \phi_4, \xi) + c_5 H(\phi_5 - \phi_4, \xi)$ $\phi_5 = \omega_0 + c_2 H(\phi_2 - \phi_5, \xi) + c_4 H(\phi_4 - \phi_5, \xi) + c_7 H(\phi_6 - \phi_5, \xi)$ 0.2 0.2 $\phi_6 = \omega_0 + c_3 H(\phi_3 - \phi_6, \xi) + c_6 H(\phi_5 - \phi_6, \xi)$ ▶ There exists a unique $\eta = \eta(\xi)$, $0 \le \eta \le 1/6$, such that transition tetrapod gait $H(2/3 - \eta, \xi) = H(1/3 + \eta, \xi).$ We assume constant contralateral symmetry between the left and right legs: Conclusion $\phi_{i+3} - \phi_i = 2/3 - \eta.$ Phase difference of front-middle and hind-middle gives two equations on a torus: $\dot{\theta}_1 = (c_1 - c_2)H(2/3 - \eta, \xi) + c_5H(-\theta_1, \xi) - c_4H(\theta_1, \xi) - c_7H(\theta_2, \xi)$ stable tripod gait. (1) $\dot{\theta}_2 = (c_3 - c_2)H(2/3 - \eta, \xi) + c_6H(-\theta_2, \xi) - c_4H(\theta_1, \xi) - c_7H(\theta_2, \xi)$ References ▶ Assumption: $(1/3 + \eta, 2/3 - \eta), 0 \le \eta \le 1/6$ is a fixed point of Equation (1). ($\eta = 0 \sim \text{tetrapod and } \eta = 1/6 \sim \text{tripod}$) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 3:636–670, 2004. This assumption gives the following relations among c_i 's: 2. C. Mendes, I. Bartos, T. Akay, S. Márka, and R. Mann Quantification of gait parameters in freely walking wild type $c_1 + c_5 = c_2 + c_4 + c_7 = c_3 + c_6$ (balance equation) and sensory deprived *Drosophila melanogaster eLife*, 2:e00231, 2013. 3. E. Couzin-Fuchs, T. Kiemel, O. Gal, and A. Ayali, P. Holmes. Intersegmental coupling and recovery from perturbations in freely-running cockroaches. Journal of Experimental Biology 2(218): 285–297, 2015. Special case (motivated by data): $c_1 = c_2 = c_3$. $\begin{array}{c|cccccccccc} & C1 & R1 \\ \hline C5 & C4 & C5 & C4 \\ \hline C2 & R2 \\ \hline C7 & C6 & C7 & C6 \\ \hline L3 & C3 & R3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ Letting $\alpha := \frac{c_4}{c_4+c_7}$ (0 < α < 1), and making a change of time scale, Equation (1) becomes Acknowledgment $\dot{\theta}_1 = H(-\theta_1, \delta) - \alpha H(\theta_1, \delta) - (1 - \alpha) H(\theta_2, \delta)$ This work was supported by NINDS of NIH (grant U01NS090514) and NSF-CRCNS (grant DMS-1430077). (2) $\dot{\theta}_2 = H(-\theta_2, \delta) - \alpha H(\theta_1, \delta) - (1 - \alpha) H(\theta_2, \delta)$ Thanks to E. Couzin-Fuchs, C. Mendes and R. Mann for sharing fruit fly stepping data and to A. Yeldisbey and S. Daun-Gruhn for helpful discussions.

2	<i>C</i> 3	C 4	C 5	<i>C</i> 6	C 7
178	0.1780	0.1837	0.2509	0.3409	0.1495
255	0.4715	0.1436	0.3895	0.7921	0.2964
808	0.6726	0.0470	0.4294	1.1498	0.8500

pholmes@math.princeton.edu