SHADOW WORLD EVALUATION OF THE YANG-MILLS MEASURE

CHARLES FROHMAN AND JOANNA KANIA-BARTOSZYNSKA

Abstract. A new formula for the evaluation of the Yang-Mills measure in the Kauffman bracket skein algebra of a closed surface is derived. The formula extends Turaev's shadow world evaluations of links. It is used to study the limiting behavior of the Yang-Mills measure when the complex parameter approaches -1. It is applied to compute integrals of simple closed curves over the character variety of the surface against Goldman's symplectic measure.

1. Introduction

Let F be an oriented surface. The Kauffman bracket skein $K_t(F)$ is a non-commutative algebra derived from links in $F \times [0,1]$. It quantizes the ring of $SU(2)$-characters of the fundamental group of the surface F [2]. In [3] we constructed a diffeomorphism invariant trace on $K_t(F)$ (i.e. the Yang-Mills measure) which deforms integration against Goldman's [5] symplectic measure on the variety underlying the $SU(2)$-characters of $\pi_1(F)$. In this paper we recognize that the trace can be computed using combinatorial information coming from link diagrams on the surface. When the complex parameter t is a root of unity, this formula coincides with Turaev's shadow world evaluation for links in a circle bundle over F with the Euler number zero [14]. The Yang-Mills measure extends Turaev's formula to all nonzero t such that $|t| \neq 1$. However it is not continuous as t approaches roots of unity.

We use the shadow world evaluation and recoupling theory to compute the limit of the trace at roots of unity as the order of the root tends towards infinity. When F is a closed surface of genus bigger than 1 it converges to twice Goldman's symplectic measure.

The formula for the measure at $t = -1$ can be applied to evaluating the integrals of functions on the character variety. In particular, we prove that integrating a simple non-separating curve always yields zero, and obtain values of the integrals along simple separating curves on a closed surface of genus bigger than 1. We also investigate the extent to which Turaev's shadow world evaluation of links in nontrivial circle bundles can be extended away from roots of unity.

Section 2 contains definitions and recalls material from [3]. Section 3 derives the shadow world formula. Next, the extension of Turaev's shadow invariant is investigated. In section 5 we analyze the limit as $r \to \infty$ of $\mathcal{YM}_r$. Finally in the last section some sample integrals on the character variety are evaluated.

2. Kauffman bracket skein algebra of a surface

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Let F be a compact orientable surface (with or without boundary). The cylinder over F is the three-manifold $F \times [0,1]$. A framed link in the cylinder over F is an embedding of a disjoint union of annuli into $F \times [0,1]$. Two framed links are equivalent if there is an isotopy of $F \times [0,1]$ taking one to the other. Framed links in $F \times [0,1]$ can be visualized as diagrams on the surface F. A diagram is an isotopy class of four–valent graphs in F with a marking at each vertex to indicate which strand goes over and which strand goes under. The embedded annuli corresponding to the diagram come from gluing together strips that run parallel to the surface with the edges of

This research was partially supported by NSF-DMS-9803233 and NSF-DMS-9971905.



FIGURE 1. Tet and theta

the graph as their core, glued together in $F \times [0,1]$, according to the over and under-crossing data. The isotopy class of a framed link can be represented this way, and two diagrams represent the same framed link if and only if they differ by the second and third Reidemeister moves along with the move

(1) 

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the set of equivalence classes of framed links in $F \times [0,1]$, including the empty link. Fix a complex number $t \neq 0$. Consider the vector space $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{L}$ with basis $\mathcal{L}$. Let $S(F)$ be the smallest subspace of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{L}$ containing all expressions of the form  and $\bigcirc \cup L + (t^2 + t^{-2})L$. The first relation means that there are three framed links and a ball inside $F \times [0,1]$. The three links coincide outside the ball, however, the links intersect the ball as blackboard framed arcs as shown in the relation. The second relation means that there are two framed links and a ball in the manifold, so that outside the ball the two framed links coincide, however one link misses the ball and the other intersects it in a 0-framed unknot. The Kauffman bracket skein $K_t(F)$ is the quotient

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{L}/S(F). \tag{2}$$

The algebra structure on $K_t(F)$ comes from laying one link over the other. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in K_t(F)$ are skeins represented by links L_α and L_β. After isotopic deformations, to "lower" the first link and "raise" the second, $L_\alpha \subset F \times [0, \frac{1}{2})$, and $L_\beta \subset F \times (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. The skein $\alpha * \beta$ is represented by $L_\alpha \cup L_\beta$. This product extends to a product on $K_t(F)$.

The notation and the formulas in this paper are taken from [8, ch. 9]. However, the variable t replaces A, and we use quantum integers

$$[n] = \frac{t^{2n} - t^{-2n}}{t^2 - t^{-2}}. \tag{3}$$

When $t = \pm 1$, $[n] = n$.

There is a standard convention, [8, ch. 4], for modeling a skein in $K_t(F)$ on a framed trivalent graph $\Gamma \subset F$. An *admissible coloring* of Γ is an assignment of a nonnegative integer to each edge of Γ so that the colors at trivalent vertices form admissible triples. A triple (a, b, c) is admissible if $a + b + c$ is even, $a \leq b + c$, $b \leq a + c$, and $c \leq a + b$. Viewing F as $F \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, the skein in $K_t(F)$ corresponding to an admissibly colored graph in F is obtained by replacing each edge labeled with the letter m by the m-th Jones–Wenzl idempotent (see [15],[8, ch. 3] or [10, p.136]), and replacing trivalent vertices with Kauffman triads (see [8, ch. 4], [10, Fig. 14.7]). If F has nonempty boundary and γ is a trivalent spine of F, then the collection of skeins modeled on all admissible colorings of γ forms a basis for $K_t(F)$.

We often use values of the Kauffman bracket of the following three skeins in a disk. The zero framed unknot colored with the n-th Jones-Wenzl idempotent, denoted by Δ_n, is equal to $(-1)^n[n+1]$. The symbol Tet $\begin{pmatrix} a & b & e \\ c & d & f \end{pmatrix}$ stands for the value of the skein modeled by the colored graph pictured in Figure 1 on the left. The explicit formula is given in [8, p.98]. Finally, the quantity $\theta(a,b,c)$, is the value of the skein corresponding to the colored graph on the right in Figure 1.

Recall the fusion identity:

(4)



where the sum is over all c so that the triples (a,b,c) are admissible. The fusion relation is satisfied in $K_t(F)$ unless t is a root of unity other than ± 1.

When $t = e^{\frac{\pi i}{2r}}$ for odd $r > 1$ we work in the quotient of $K_t(F)$ where the appropriate form of the fusion identity has been enforced. In this case triples are r-admissible, that is $a+b+c \leq 2r-4$, in addition to the previous conditions. Dividing by the fusion relation is equivalent to taking a quotient of $K_t(F)$ by the submodule spanned by skeins corresponding to trivalent graphs where some edge carries the label $r-1$ [13]. We denote the quotient algebra $K_r(F)$. Just as admissibly colored spines of F form a basis for $K_t(F)$, r-admissibly colored spines are a basis for $K_r(F)$. If F is closed, $K_r(F)$ is isomorphic to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some n that depends on r and on the topological type of the surface [13].

The $6j$-symbol is defined by

(5)
$$\begin{Bmatrix} a & b & e \\ c & d & f \end{Bmatrix} = \frac{\text{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & e \\ c & d & f \end{pmatrix} \Delta_e}{\theta(a,d,e)\theta(b,c,e)}$$

The recoupling formula says that:



All of the quantities Δ_a, $\theta(a,b,c)$,

$$\text{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & e \\ c & d & f \end{pmatrix}, \text{and} \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & e \\ c & d & f \end{Bmatrix}$$

may fail to be defined at $4r$th roots of unity when one of the arguments is bigger than $r-2$. However, fixing the arguments, and viewing them as functions of t, they are all continuous in neighborhoods of $t=-1$.

Given a link $L \subset F \times I$ and a spine γ of F, for each t (and for each r) L can be written as a linear combination of skeins corresponding to admissible colorings of the spine (and respectively in $K_r(F)$). These coefficient are not necessarily continuous as t approaches $e^{\frac{\pi i}{2r}}$, However, they are continuous at $t=-1$ both for $|t| =\neq 1$ and for roots of unity.

2.2. **Yang-Mills measure.** Suppose that F is a closed surface of genus g. Since the quotient of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by the subvector space of commutants is one dimensional there is a unique trace $\mathcal{YM}$: $K_r(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ so that $\mathcal{YM}(\emptyset) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \frac{1}{[i+1]^{(2g-2)}}$. Trace means that $\mathcal{YM}(\alpha * \beta) = \mathcal{YM}(\beta * \alpha)$ for all α, β. This trace is called the Yang Mills measure. When $t = \pm 1$ or $|t| \neq 1$, there is a diffeomorphism invariant, locally defined trace on $K_t(F)$ so that when F is closed and $g > 1$, $\mathcal{YM}(\emptyset) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[i+1]^{(2g-2)}}$, and when $g = 1$ or when F has boundary then $\mathcal{YM}(\emptyset) = 1$, [3]. When

the surface F has boundary, locality condition can be characterized in the following way. If κ is a properly embedded arc in F, let F' be the result of cutting F along κ. Let $N_t(F)$ be the linear subspace of $K_t(F)$ spanned by skeins that are represented by admissibly colored trivalent graphs that intersect κ in a single point of transverse intersection, so that the edge intersecting κ carries a nonzero label. Let $\iota : K_t(F') \to K_t(F)$ be the natural inclusion map. Then

$$K_t(F) = \mathrm{im}(\iota) \oplus N_t(F). \tag{6}$$

Locality is the statement that $\mathcal{YM}$ is zero on $N_t(F)$ and its restriction to $\mathrm{im}(\iota)$ is equal to $\mathcal{YM}$ on $K_t(F')$.

Let A be an annulus which is the result of removing a regular neighborhood of a point from the disk D, and let s_u be the skein in A obtained by coloring a core of the annulus with the u-th Jones-Wenzl idempotent. Given a skein α in D, perturb the links representing it so that they miss the removed disk to get a skein in A, denoted α' . It is proved in [3] that

$$\mathcal{YM}_D(\alpha) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_A(\alpha' * s_u). \tag{7}$$

The subscripts indicate the domain of $\mathcal{YM}$. Although the sum is infinite only a finite number of terms are nonzero.

If F is a closed surface of genus greater than 1, let F' be the result of removing a regular neighborhood of a point from F. Let ∂_u be a small zero framed knot parallel to the boundary of F', colored with the u-th Jones-Wenzl idempotent. For a skein α in F, let α' be a skein in F' obtained by perturbing all the links in a representative of α to lie in F'. Then

$$\mathcal{YM}_F(\alpha) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_{F'}(\alpha' * \partial_u). \tag{8}$$

The series is absolutely convergent [3] if $|t| \neq 1$ and when $t = \pm 1$.

When F has genus $g = 1$ we define the quantities as above except that the Yang-Mills measure is given by the formula,

$$\mathcal{YM}_F(\alpha) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u=0}^{n} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_{F'}(\alpha' * \partial_u). \tag{9}$$

2.3. **Relation to character varieties and symplectic volume.** Let $F(n)$ be the free group of rank n. Choose a basis a_i. Each representation $\rho : F(n) \to SU(2)$ gives rise to an n-tuple of matrices $(A_1, A_2, \dots A_n)$, where $A_i = \rho(a_i)$. Similarly, each n-tuple of matrices determines a representation. Denote the set of representations by $\mathrm{Rep}(F(n))$. The polynomial functions $R(F(n))$ on $\mathrm{Rep}(F(n))$ are given by polynomials in the entries of the matrices A_i. There is an action of $SU(2)$ on $\mathrm{Rep}(F(n))$ by conjugation, the quotient is denoted by $\mathrm{char}(F(n))$. There is also an induced action on $R(F(n))$, and the fixed subring is denoted $X(F(n))$. This subring can be thought of as functions on $\mathrm{char}(F(n))$.

There is a volume form induced on $\mathrm{Rep}(F(n))$ by the product measure coming from Haar measure on $SU(2)$. This volume is natural in the sense that it is independent of the choice of free basis for $F(n)$. Since $X(F(n)) \subset R(F(n))$ we can view elements of $X(F(n))$ as functions on $\mathrm{Rep}(F(n))$. If F is a surface with boundary, then its fundamental group is isomorphic to $F(n)$ for some n. Hence we can integrate elements of $X(\pi_1(F))$ against Haar measure.

Suppose that F is a closed surface of genus $g > 1$. One can see $\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(F))$ as a subspace of $\mathrm{Rep}(F(2g))$ in which the surface relator is satisfied. Let $\mathrm{Rep}^i(F)$ denote the subset of irreducible $SU(2)$-representations of $\pi_1(F)$. It is a manifold of dimension $6g-3$, and its quotient, $\mathrm{char}^i(\pi_1(F))$, is a manifold of dimension $6g-6$. There is a symplectic form on $\mathrm{char}^i(\pi_1(F))$, which gives rise to a volume form [6]. By polynomial functions on $\mathrm{char}^i(\pi_1(F))$ we mean the quotient of $X(F(2g))$ by



FIGURE 2. Contributions to the gleam at a vertex



FIGURE 3. Labeling faces around a vertex



FIGURE 4. Fragment of a colored link diagram

the functions that vanish on $\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(F))$. Notice that the polynomial functions are bounded on the closure of $\mathrm{char}^i(\pi_1(F))$.

For any compact orientable surface F, with or without boundary, $K_{-1}(F)$ is isomorphic to $X(\pi_1(F))$,[1, 12]. For surfaces with boundary, the Yang-Mills measure coincides with integrating against the product measure coming from Haar measure on $SU(2)$. For closed surfaces it coincides with integration against symplectic measure [3].

3. A formula for evaluating the Yang-Mills measure of a colored framed link

We begin with notation for describing the geography of link diagrams. The projection of a link onto the surface decomposes it into faces, edges and vertices. Given a face f, at each of its vertices there are two edges lying on the boundary of f; one of them is an over, and the other an under-crossing. Associate $-\frac{1}{2}$ to the vertex when in the counter-clockwise order the under comes before the over-crossing edge. Otherwise assign $\frac{1}{2}$ to the vertex (see Figure 2). The gleam g_f of the face is the sum of these assignments over all the vertices lying on the boundary of f. The Euler characteristic of the face f is denoted x_f.

Each edge e lies between two faces $f_{e,1}$ and $f_{e,2}$. However, not every edge must belong to a vertex. Let $v(e) = 1$ if e is adjacent to some vertex, and $v(e) = 0$ otherwise. The edge e carries a color k_e inherited from the coloring of the link.

At each vertex v there are four edges corresponding to at most two components of the link, the over-crossing one colored with $k_{v,o}$, and the under-crossing one colored with $k_{v,u}$. Any vertex v is adjacent to four corners of faces, labeled clockwise $f_{v,1}$, $f_{v,2}$, $f_{v,3}$ and $f_{v,4}$, so that the under-crossing edge lies between $f_{v,1}$ and $f_{v,2}$ as pictured in Figure 3.

An admissible coloring of the diagram is an assignment of a color $u_f \in \mathbb{N}$ to each face f so that along each edge the colors $u_{f_{e,1}}$,$u_{f_{e,2}}$, and k_e form an admissible triple. Figure 4 shows a piece of a colored link diagram. The edges carry colors 1, 2, 3 and 4. The vertex v has $k_{v,o} = 1$ and $k_{v,u} = 2$. Note that admissibility forces u_{f_1} to be equal $u_{f_4} - 2$, u_{f_4} or $u_{f_4} + 2$. The gleam of f_4 is -1.

Theorem 1. *Let F be a closed surface of genus $g > 1$, and $\mathcal{L}$ a framed colored link in $F \times I$, whose diagram has faces f, edges e and vertices v. Suppose that $|t| \neq 1$ or $t = \pm 1$. The value of $\mathcal{YM} : K_t(M) \to \mathbb{C}$ on the skein corresponding to $\mathcal{L}$ is given by*

$$\sum_{u_f} (-1)^{\sum_f g_f u_f} \prod_f \Delta_{u_f}^{x_f} t^{g_f u_f (u_f+2)} \prod_e \frac{1}{\theta(u_{f_{e,1}}, u_{f_{e,2}}, k_e)^{v(e)}} \prod_v \mathrm{Tet} \begin{pmatrix} u_{f_{v,1}} & u_{f_{v,2}} & k_{v,u} \\ u_{f_{v,3}} & u_{f_{v,4}} & k_{v,o} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where the sum is over all admissible colorings u_f of the faces.

Proof. The computation of the Yang-Mills measure of a skein on a closed surface starts by removing a disk from the surface and then taking the sum of values of the Yang-Mills measure of an associated family of skeins on the punctured surface. The first step of the proof is to show that an arbitrary number of punctures can be used. In this way we obtain a multiply infinite sum whose value is the Yang-Mills measure. The second step is reducing this sum to the expression (10).

We prove the first step in a sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of points in the interior of a disk D. Denote by D' the planar surface obtained by removing disjoint regular neighborhoods of the points p_i from D, and let $L \subset D \times [0,1]$ be a framed link whose diagram lies in D'. For each $i = 1$, let $\partial_{i,u}$ be a blackboard framed knot parallel to the boundary of the neighborhood of p_i, colored with the u-th Jones-Wenzl idempotent. Then*

$$\mathcal{YM}_D(L) = \sum_{u_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{u_n=0}^{\infty} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{u_i}) \mathcal{YM}_{D'}(L * \prod_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i,u_i}). \tag{11}$$

Proof. By induction on n. Even though the sum is infinite, only finitely many terms are nonzero. For $n = 1$ this is identity (7). Suppose the lemma is true for $n-1$ points, and choose a proper arc $\kappa \subset D'$ that separates point p_n from $\{p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}\}$. Let D_1 and D_2 be the components of $D \setminus \kappa$ with $\{p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}\} \subset D_1$, and let D_1', D_2' be the corresponding components of $D' \setminus \kappa$. Recall that by (6), the skein $[L]$ corresponding to the link L can be written as $\lambda_0 + \lambda_n$, where $\lambda_0 \in \mathrm{im}\,(\iota : K_t(D_1) \otimes K_t(D_2) \to K_t(D))$, and $\lambda_n \in N_t(D)$. We can express λ_0 as

$$\lambda_0 = \iota \left(\sum_j \lambda_{1,j} \otimes \lambda_{2,j} \right). \tag{12}$$

Thus by locality

$$\mathcal{YM}_D(L) = \sum_j \sum_{u_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{u_n=0}^{\infty} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{u_i}) \mathcal{YM}_{D_1}(\lambda_{1,j} * \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{i,u_i}) \mathcal{YM}_{D_2}(\lambda_{2,j} * \partial_{n,u_n}). \tag{13}$$

By the distributive law this is equal to

$$\sum_j \left(\sum_{u_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{u_{n-1}=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta_{u_i} \mathcal{YM}_{D_1'}(\lambda_{1,j} * \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{i,u_i}) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{u_n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{u_n} \mathcal{YM}_{D_2'}(\lambda_{2,j} * \partial_{n,u_n}) \right). \tag{14}$$

By induction this is further equal to

$$\sum_j \mathcal{YM}_{D_1}(\lambda_{1,j}) \mathcal{YM}_{D_2}(\lambda_{2,j}), \tag{15}$$

which, by locality, is $\mathcal{YM}_D(L)$. □

Next, we generalize Lemma 1 to an arbitrary surface with boundary.

$$\mathcal{YM}\left(\circ\left|\cdots\right|\circ\right) = \sum_c \frac{\Delta_c}{\theta(c,u_i,k_e)}\mathcal{YM}\left(\circ\cdots\circ\right)$$

$$= \sum_{c,d} \frac{\Delta_c\Delta_d}{\theta(c,u_i,k_e)\theta(c,d,u_j)}\mathcal{YM}\left(\circ\cdots\circ\right) = \frac{1}{\theta(u_i,k_e,u_j)}\mathcal{YM}\left(\circ\cdots\circ\right).$$

FIGURE 5. Fusing along cross-cuts.

Lemma 2. *Let $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of points in the interior of a compact oriented surface with boundary F. Denote by F' the result of removing disjoint regular neighborhoods of the points p_i from F, and let $L \subset F \times [0,1]$ be a framed link whose diagram lies in F'. The collection of knots ∂_{i,u_i} is defined as in Lemma 1. Then*

$$\mathcal{YM}_F(L) = \sum_{u_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{u_n=0}^{\infty} (\prod_{i=1}^n \Delta_{u_i})\mathcal{YM}_{F'}(L * \prod_{i=1}^n \partial_{i,u_i}). \tag{16}$$

Proof. Choose a family of proper arcs (called cross-cuts) in F which lie in F' and cut F into a disk D. Using identity (6) to decompose the skein $[L]$, with respect to the crosscuts we get $[L] = \lambda_0 + \lambda_n$ where

$$\mathcal{YM}_{F'}(L) = \mathcal{YM}_D(\lambda_0). \tag{17}$$

Now apply Lemma 1. □

Finally, we prove the analogous lemma for closed surfaces.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that F is a closed surface of genus $g > 1$. Let $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$, F', L, and ∂_{i,u_i} be as in Lemma 2. Then*

$$\mathcal{YM}_F(L) = \sum_{u_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{u_n=0}^{\infty} (\prod_{i=1}^n \Delta_{u_i})\mathcal{YM}_{F'}(L * \prod_{i=1}^n \partial_{i,u_i}). \tag{18}$$

Proof. Let p_0 be a point in F' disjoint from the diagram of L. By definition of the Yang-Mills measure (8),

$$\mathcal{YM}_F(L) = \sum_{u_0=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{u_0}\mathcal{YM}_{F\setminus N(u_0)}(L * \partial_{0,u_0}). \tag{19}$$

Now apply Lemma 2. □

Thus the value of the Yang-Mills measure of a link can be computed using an arbitrary number of punctures. In order to prove Theorem 1, choose one point p_i in each face f_i of the diagram of the link L. The next step is to apply locality in the multiply punctured surface F' to compute the measure.

Choose a system of cross-cuts joining the punctures of adjacent faces, along with $2h+b-1$ cross-cuts in the interior of each face of genus h and b boundary components, to cut it down to a disk. Fuse in neighborhoods of cross-cuts (as in Figure 5) to write the skein $[L]$ as a linear combination of colored trivalent graphs, each intersecting every cross-cut at most once transversally. In Figure 5 the crosscuts are depicted by dotted horizontal lines joining the little circles (i.e. boundaries of the punctures). The middle vertical line is a strand of the link, colored with k_e, the left vertical line is a strand of the knot ∂_i colored with u_i, and the right vertical line is a strand of the knot ∂_j colored with u_j.



FIGURE 6. Tetrahedron around a vertex

The measure of $[L]$ is equal to the sum of measures of those terms where every edge intersecting a cross-cut has color zero, with additional coefficients coming from fusion. These terms are unions of tetrahedra and theta curves. The tetrahedra correspond to the crossings of L, i.e., to the vertices of the diagram of L (see figure 6). The theta curves come from strands of the link which are not involved in any crossings, i.e., from edges of the link diagram which are not adjacent to any vertices.

Fusing along each of the $2h+b-1$ cross-cuts for each face f introduces the coefficient $\frac{1}{\theta(u_f,u_f,0)} = \frac{1}{\Delta_{u_f}}$. Recalling the coefficient Δ_{u_f} coming from puncturing the face f and totaling this with the contribution from the crosscuts yields that the total factor coming from the face f is $\left(\Delta_{u_f}\right)^{x_f}$.

Fusing along a cross-cut joining two faces f_1, f_2 that intersect along an edge e introduces the coefficient $\frac{1}{\theta(u_{f_1,e},u_{f_2,e},k_e)}$. If e is not adjacent to any vertex this coefficient cancels with the measure of the resulting theta curve.

To compute the measure of a tetrahedron at the vertex v slide the top edge in figure 6 to the side and use the tulip identity [10, Fig. 14.14]). This evaluates to

(20)
$$(-1)^{\frac{u_{f_{v,1}}+u_{f_{v,3}}-u_{f_{v,2}}-u_{f_{v,4}}}{2}} t^{\frac{-u_{f_{v,1}}(u_{f_{v,1}}+2)-u_{f_{v,3}}(u_{f_{v,3}}+2)+u_{f_{v,2}}(u_{f_{v,2}}+2)+u_{f_{v,4}}(u_{f_{v,4}}+2)}{2}} \mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u_{f_{v,1}} & u_{f_{v,2}} & k_{v,u} \\ u_{f_{v,3}} & u_{f_{v,4}} & k_{v,o} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking the product of all these, collecting the exponents of t according to the faces, and collecting the powers of -1 according to the vertices, yields the desired formula. □

Scholium 1. *The analogous formula holds when $t = e^{\frac{\pi i}{2r}}$ for odd $r \geq 3$, with the altered requirement that the colors u_f be r-admissible.* □

Let F be a compact oriented surface with boundary and L a blackboard framed colored link in $F \times [0,1]$. An admissible coloring of a diagram of L is an assignment of colors to the faces of the diagram so that each face containing a boundary component of F carries the label 0 and along each edge the triple of colors coming from the edge and the adjacent faces is admissible.

Theorem 2. *If F is a compact oriented surface with boundary then the Yang-Mills measure of a skein corresponding to a blackboard framed colored link can be computed using formula (10) where the sum is over all admissible colorings of a diagram corresponding to the link.* □

4. The Shadow World

Turaev [14] constructed a formalism for invariants of framed links in a circle bundle over a surface F.

A *shadow* S is a four-valent graph in a closed, oriented surface, with an assignment of an integer to each face of the graph, called the gleam of the face. The sum of all the gleams over all the faces is the total gleam of the shadow. Let $p : E \to F$ be a circle bundle. If $L \subset E$ is a link in general position with respect to p, than its image in F is a four-valent graph. There is a recipe for deriving gleams from how the link lies in E. The total gleam is the negative of the Euler number of the circle bundle that the framed link lives in.

One can assign a shadow to a framed link in $F \times I$. Make sure it has the blackboard framing and then project it down to the surface. The graph is the image of the link under projection. The gleam of each face is assigned as in the computation of the Yang-Mills measure. This coincides with the rule given in the Remark on page 41 of [14].

If the link is colored, the edges of its shadow inherit the colors. An admissible coloring of a shadow S is an assignment of colors to the faces so that for each edge of the shadow the triple of colors from the adjacent faces and the edge is admissible. The formula for Turaev's shadow world evaluation of S is a sum analogous to (10). However, there is no notion of over-crossing and under-crossing at a vertex. Hence for the gleams we use the assigned values g_f. Suppose that the colors of faces at a vertex are $u_{f_{v,1}}$, $u_{f_{v,2}}$, $u_{f_{v,3}}$ and $u_{f_{v,4}}$ going clockwise, with the edge between faces corresponding to $u_{f_{v,1}}$ and $u_{f_{v,2}}$, as well as the edge between faces corresponding to $u_{f_{v,3}}$ and $u_{f_{v,4}}$ colored k_v, and the other two edges colored l_v. Then the contribution for the vertex is

$$\mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u_{f_{v,1}} & u_{f_{v,2}} & k_v \\ u_{f_{v,3}} & u_{f_{v,4}} & l_v \end{pmatrix}$$

This is well defined because of the symmetry of the tetrahedral coefficients.

Let $\mathcal{T}(S)$ be the series,

$$\sum_{u_f} (-1)^{\sum_f g_f u_f} \prod_f \Delta_{u_f}^{x_f} t^{g_f u_f (u_f+2)} \prod_e \frac{1}{\theta(u_{f_{e,1}}, u_{f_{e,2}}, k_e)^{v(e)}} \prod_v \mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u_{f_{v,1}} & u_{f_{v,2}} & k_v \\ u_{f_{v,3}} & u_{f_{v,4}} & l_v \end{pmatrix}.$$

When t is a $4r$-th root of unity for odd r, the sum is finite, and $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is Turaev's evaluation corresponding to $U_q(sl_2)$.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that S is a shadow in the closed orientable surface F. If the total gleam of S is positive then the series $\mathcal{T}(S)$ converges when $|t| < 1$. If the total gleam is negative then the series converges when $|t| > 1$. The series always converges when $t = \pm 1$ and the genus of F is greater than 1.*

Proof. Let S be a shadow with positive gleam. The case of the negative gleam is similar. We want to show that for $|t| < 1$ the series

(21)
$$\mathcal{T}(S) = \sum_{u_f} (-1)^{\sum_f g_f u_f} \prod_f \Delta_{u_f}^{x_f} t^{g_f u_f (u_f+2)} \prod_e \frac{1}{\theta(u_{f_{e,1}}, u_{f_{e,2}}, k_e)^{v(e)}} \prod_v \mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u_{f_{v,1}} & u_{f_{v,2}} & k_v \\ u_{f_{v,3}} & u_{f_{v,4}} & l_v \end{pmatrix},$$

is absolutely convergent.

The fundamental estimate for tetrahedral coefficients [3] says that

$$\left|\mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & e \\ c & d & f \end{pmatrix}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\theta(b,c,e)\theta(a,d,e)\theta(a,b,f)\theta(c,d,f)}{(-1)^{e+f}[e+1][f+1]}} \tag{22}$$

Our goal is to show that the series $\mathcal{T}$ is absolutely convergent if $|t| < 1$. Reorganizing the product according to vertices, the absolute values of the terms for $\mathcal{T}(S)$ become

$$\prod_f \left|\Delta_{u_f}^{x_f} t^{g_f u_f (u_f+2)}\right| \prod_v \frac{\left|\mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u_{f_{v,1}} & u_{f_{v,2}} & k_v \\ u_{f_{v,3}} & u_{f_{v,4}} & l_v \end{pmatrix}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|\theta(u_{f_{v,1}}, u_{f_{v,2}}, k_v)\theta(u_{f_{v,3}}, u_{f_{v,4}}, k_v)\theta(u_{f_{v,2}}, u_{f_{v,3}}, l_v)\theta(u_{f_{v,4}}, u_{f_{v,1}}, l_v)\right|}} \tag{23}$$

Applying the estimate (22) this is less than or equal to

$$\prod_f \left|\Delta_{u_f}^{x_f} t^{g_f u_f (u_f+2)}\right| \prod_v \frac{1}{\sqrt{[k_v+1][l_v+1]}}. \tag{24}$$

Since the product over the vertices does not depend on the coloring of the faces, we factor it out to the front as a constant C. Now we have

$$C \prod_f \left| \Delta_{u_f}^{x_f} t^{g_f u_f (u_f+2)} \right|. \tag{25}$$

Let B (the breadth) of the shadow be the maximum possible difference of the colors on any two faces under an admissible coloring. An admissible coloring and let U be the maximal color assigned to a face. Let p be the sum of the gleams of the faces with positive gleam and n be the sum of the gleams of the faces with negative gleam, so that $p+n$ is the total gleam of the shadow. By replacing all u_f on the negative gleam faces by U and all u_f on the faces of positive gleam by u_{max-B} we get,

$$C \prod_f \left| \Delta_{u_f}^{x_f} t^{g_f u_f (u_f+2)} \right| \leq C \prod_f \left| \Delta_{u_f}^{x_f} \right| t^{nU(U+2)+p(U-B)(U-B+2)} \tag{26}$$

We decompose the faces into two subsets, F^+ consisting of faces with positive Euler characteristic, and F^- consisting of faces with negative Euler characteristic. We then rewrite the product as,

$$C \left| \frac{\prod_{f \in F^+} \Delta_U^{x_f}}{\prod_{f \in F^-} \Delta_{U-B}^{-x_f}} \right| t^{(n+p)U(U+2)-2pBU+2p(U-B)+pB^2}. \tag{27}$$

Rewrite (27) as,

$$C \frac{1}{|\Delta_{U-B}|^{-(2-2g)}} \prod_{f \in F^+} \left| \frac{\Delta_U}{\Delta_{U-B}} \right|^{x_f} t^{(n+p)U(U+2)-2pBU+2p(U-B)+pB^2} \tag{28}$$

Since $t^{-2n} \leq |\Delta_n| \leq (n+1)t^{-2n}$,

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_{U-B}^{-(2-2g)}} \leq t^{2U(2-2g)} \tag{29}$$

and

$$\prod_{f \in F^+} \left(\frac{\Delta_U}{\Delta_{U-B}} \right)^{x_f} = \left(\frac{\Delta_U}{\Delta_{U-B}} \right)^p \leq U^p t^{-2Bp} \tag{30}$$

Thus (28) is less than or equal to

$$C U^p t^{2U(2-2g)-2Bp+(n+p)U(U+2)-2pBU+2p(U-B)+pB^2} \tag{31}$$

Since $n+p > 0$ the exponent is a quadratic function in U with positive lead coefficient. Therefore, as U gets large, this is eventually smaller than the terms of a geometric series.

Now reorganize the terms in the sum for $\mathcal{T}(S)$ according to U. There are at most $B^{\#faces}$ admissible colorings having the same U. Thus,

$$\mathcal{T}(S) \leq \sum_U B^{\#f} C U^p t^{2U(2-2g)-2Bp+(n+p)U(U+2)-2pBU+2p(U-B)+pB^2} \tag{32}$$

which is clearly convergent. □

Remark 1. *There exist shadows of total gleam 0 for which $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is never convergent when $|t| \neq 1$.*

A simple example is obtained be a separating non null-homotopic closed curve on a surface of genus 2 with gleams on the faces equal 4 and -4.

5. Limiting behavior at $t = -1$

In [3] we showed that as $t \to -1$ from the interior or the exterior of the unit disk then the Yang-Mills measure converges to the Yang-Mills measure at $t = -1$. It is the goal of this section to show that, as $r \to \infty$, the Yang-Mills measure at the $4r$-th root of unity, $\mathcal{YM}_r : K_r(F) \to \mathbb{C}$, converges to twice the Yang-Mills measure at $t = -1$, $\mathcal{YM}_{-1} : K_{-1}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$. It can be shown using the Symmetry Principle for the shadow world formula (10) proved in [4]. However, we can see this more simply using the formulas from [3].

The Yang-Mills measure is not continuous in the variable t at nontrivial $4r$-th roots of unity. For instance, let F be an annulus and take s to be the skein determined by 4 parallel copies of the central core. Then for $|t| < 1$, $\mathcal{YM}_t(s) = 2$, while at the 12-th root of unity $\mathcal{YM}_3(s) = 1$.

Theorem 4. *Given a colored framed link L in $F \times I$, where the genus of F is bigger than 1,*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathcal{YM}_r(L) = 2 \cdot \mathcal{YM}_{-1}(L). \tag{33}$$

Proof. The proof requires the use of the following Symmetry Principle for the Kauffman bracket.

Proposition 1. *Let L be a framed link, and K a framed knot disjoint from L, with framing f. Denote by K_u the knot K colored with u, and by $\langle L\rangle$ the value of the Kauffman bracket of L evaluated at the $4r$-th root of unity. Then*

$$\langle L \cup K_u\rangle = i^{f(r+2u-2)}(-1)^{1+\lambda}\langle L \cup K_{r-u-2}\rangle, \tag{34}$$

where $\lambda = \sum \mathrm{lk}(L_i, K)$, and the sum is taken over all components L_i of the link L carrying odd colors.

In particular, if the framing on the component K is 0 then

$$\langle L \cup K_u\rangle = (-1)^{1+\lambda}\langle L \cup K_{r-u-2}\rangle. \tag{35}$$

Proof. It follows immediately from the Symmetry Principle proved in [9]. Notice that their color k corresponds to our color $k - 1$. □

In computing the Yang-Mills measure of the skein s in $K_r(F)$ for a closed surface F, one first punctures the surface, to get a surface F' with boundary, and then takes the sum over all the labels u on the blackboard framed knot ∂ parallel to the boundary, as follows:

$$\mathcal{YM}_r(s) = \sum_{u=0}^{r-2} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_r(\partial_u * s). \tag{36}$$

We are using the subscript r in $\mathcal{YM}_r$ to emphasize the fact that the parameter t is a $4r$-th root of unity. Here $\mathcal{YM}$ on the right hand side is the Yang-Mills measure taken in the punctured surface F'. Proposition 1 implies that (36) is equal to

$$2\sum_{u=0}^{\frac{r-3}{2}} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_r(\partial_u * s). \tag{37}$$

Let γ be a trivalent spine of the surface F'. Recall that any skein in $K_r(F')$ can be written as a linear combination of the skeins corresponding to the r-admissible colorings of γ. Since the coefficients are continuous as $r \to \infty$, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathcal{YM}_r(s_c) = 2\mathcal{YM}_{-1}(s_c) \tag{38}$$

where s_c is a skein coming from the coloring c of γ.

Suppose that γ has edges e and vertices v. Let k_e denote the color associated to the edge e in s_c, and $k_{v,1}$, $k_{v,2}$, $k_{v,3}$, be the colors of the three edges ending in a vertex v. Using the formula for $\mathcal{YM}(s_c)$ from [3] together with (37) we get:

$$\mathcal{YM}_r(s_c) = 2\sum_{u=0}^{\frac{r-3}{2}} \Delta_u \prod_e \frac{1}{\theta(u,u,k_e)} \prod_v \mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u & u & u \\ k_{v,1} & k_{v,2} & k_{v,3}\end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. We will show that there exists $K > 0$ so that for all r,

$$\left| 2\sum_{u=K}^{\frac{r-3}{2}} \Delta_u \prod_e \frac{1}{\theta(u,u,k_e)} \prod_v \mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u & u & u \\ k_{v,1} & k_{v,2} & k_{v,3}\end{pmatrix} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{4} \tag{40}$$

and that the analogous inequality, with no upper bound on the summation, holds for $t = -1$. Henceforth we will write the summation without any upper bounds, as the computation is similar at $t = -1$ and at r. The first step is to rewrite the summands of (40) in terms of the vertices, so it becomes,

$$2\sum_{u\geq K} |\Delta_u| \prod_v \frac{\left|\mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u & u & u \\ k_{v,1} & k_{v,2} & k_{v,3}\end{pmatrix}\right|}{\sqrt{|\theta(u,u,k_{v,1})\theta(u,u,k_{v,2})\theta(u,u,k_{v,3})|}}. \tag{41}$$

From the fundamental estimate (22) we see that (41) is less than or equal to

$$2\sum_{u\geq K} |\Delta_u| \prod_v \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Delta_u|}} = 2\sum_{u\geq K} \frac{1}{[u+1]^{2g-2}}, \tag{42}$$

since the number of vertices of γ is equal to $4g-2$. As $[n] \geq \frac{\pi}{2} n$ $\frac{1}{[n]} \leq \frac{2}{n}$, the quantity (42) is less than or equal to

$$\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2g-2} \sum_{u\geq K} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2g-2}}, \tag{43}$$

which is smaller than $\frac{\epsilon}{4}$ for big enough K.

Given K,

$$\left| 2\sum_{u=0}^{K} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_r(\partial_u * s) - 2\sum_{u=0}^{K} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_{-1}(\partial_u * s) \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{44}$$

if r is big enough. Thus

$$|\mathcal{YM}_r(s_c) - 2\mathcal{YM}_{-1}(s_c)| \tag{45}$$

$$\leq \left| 2\sum_{u=K}^{\frac{r-3}{2}} \Delta_u \prod_e \frac{1}{\theta(u,u,k_e)} \prod_v \mathrm{Tet}\begin{pmatrix} u & u & u \\ k_{v,1} & k_{v,2} & k_{v,3}\end{pmatrix} \right| + \left| 2\sum_{u=K}^{\infty} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_{-1}(\partial_u * s) \right|$$

$$+ \left| 2\sum_{u=0}^{K} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_r(\partial_u * s) - 2\sum_{u=0}^{K} \Delta_u \mathcal{YM}_{-1}(\partial_u * s) \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

□

6. Integrating on the character variety

We will use the formula from Theorem 1 to compute some examples. Recall that the algebra $K_{-1}(F)$ is isomorphic to the ring of polynomial functions on the irreducible characters of $\pi_1(F)$. The Yang-Mills measure corresponds to the linear functional induced by integrating against the symplectic measure. Given a diagram on a surface it corresponds to a function on $\mathrm{char}^i(\pi_1(F))$ via taking the trace of a representation along that loop. Any colored diagram represents an element of $H_1(F;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ by replacing each edge colored with n by n parallel strands, hooked together at trivalent vertices.

Proposition 2. *If the diagram α does not represent 0 in $H_1(F;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ then integrating α over $\mathrm{char}^i(\pi_1(F))$ yields zero. In particular, if α is a non-separating curve, then the integral of α is 0.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the diagram of α has no admissible colorings.

If α represents a non-zero element of $H_1(F;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ then there is a path γ in F which has non-zero intersection number with the cycle represented by α. In an admissible coloring, the difference of colors on two adjacent faces is congruent modulo 2 to the color of the edge between them. Hence the intersection number of α and γ is the sum of the differences of colors of faces that γ passes through. This contradicts the fact that γ has a nonzero intersection with α. □

Proposition 3. *Let β be a simple closed curve which separates the closed surface F into surfaces of genus $G_1 > 0$ and $G_2 > 0$ respectively. Integrating β yields*

$$\mathcal{YM}(\beta) = -\sum_{u=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{u^{2G_1-1}(u+1)^{2G_2-1}} + \frac{1}{(u+1)^{2G_1-1}u^{2G_2-1}}\right). \tag{46}$$

Proof. The diagram of β has two faces, f_1 and f_2, meeting along the only edge e colored with 1. There are no vertices, so $v(e) = 0$. Let u_i be the color assigned to f_i, for $i = 1, 2$. In order for the triple $(u_1, u_2, 1)$ to be admissible, we must have $u_1 = u_2 - 1$ or $u_1 = u_2 + 1$. Thus we will have a sum over all nonnegative integers u with two summands: one where $u_1 = u$ and $u_2 = u + 1$, and one where $u_1 = u + 1$ and $u_2 = u$. The Euler characteristics of the faces are $x_1 = 1 - 2G_1$ and $x_2 = 1 - 2G_2$. The gleams g_1 and g_2 of both faces are zero.

When $t = -1$, all the quantized integers in our formulas are replaced by ordinary integers. We have:

$$\mathcal{YM}(\beta) = \sum_u \left(\Delta_u^{1-2G_1}\Delta_{u+1}^{1-2G_2}\frac{1}{(\theta(u,u+1,1))^0} + \Delta_{u+1}^{1-2G_1}\Delta_u^{1-2G_2}\frac{1}{(\theta(u+1,u,1))^0}\right) \tag{47}$$

Note that $\Delta_u = (-1)^u(u+1)$.

Substituting we get

$$\mathcal{YM}(\beta) = \sum_u \left((-1)^{p_1}\frac{1}{(u+1)^{2G_1-1}(u+2)^{2G_2-1}} + (-1)^{p_2}\frac{1}{(u+2)^{2G_1-1}(u+1)^{2G_2-1}}\right) \tag{48}$$

$$= -\sum_{u=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{u^{2G_1-1}(u+1)^{2G_2-1}} + \frac{1}{(u+1)^{2G_1-1}u^{2G_2-1}}\right), \tag{49}$$

since $p_1 = u(1-2G_1) + (u+1)(1-2G_2)$ and $p_2 = (u+1)(1-2G_1) + u(1-2G_2)$ are both odd. □

We can express $\mathcal{YM}(\beta)$ in terms of Bernoulli numbers.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that $G_1 = G_2 = G$. Then*

$$\mathcal{YM}(\beta) = -2\sum_{j=1}^{2G-1}\binom{4G-j-3}{2G-j-1} + 4\sum_{j=1}^{G-1}\binom{4G-2j-3}{2G-2j-1}\frac{1}{2}|B_{2j}|\frac{(2\pi)^{2j}}{(2j)!} \tag{50}$$

Otherwise let $G = min(G_1, G_2)$, *and* $H = max(G_1, G_2)$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{YM}(\beta) = -\sum_{j=1}^{2G-1} \binom{2G+2H-j-3}{2G-j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{2H-1} \binom{2G+2H-j-3}{2H-j-1} + \tag{51}$$

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{G-1} \left(\binom{2G+2H-2i-3}{2G-2i-1} + \binom{2G+2H-2i-3}{2H-2i-1} \right) \frac{1}{2} |B_{2i}| \frac{(2\pi)^{2i}}{(2i)!}$$

$$+2\sum_{i=G}^{H-1} \binom{2G+2H-2i-3}{2H-2i-1} \frac{1}{2} |B_{2i}| \frac{(2\pi)^{2i}}{(2i)!}$$

Proof. Collect the terms and note that if r is even, then $\sum \frac{1}{n^r} = \frac{1}{2}|B_r| \frac{(2\pi)^r}{r!}$, where B_r is a Bernoulli number. □

We finish by giving numerical values in Figure 7 for the Yang-Mills measure of separating curves on surfaces with genus up to 10. Here $G = G_1 + G_2$ is the genus of the surface, the curve splits it into surfaces of genus G_1 and G_2 respectively.

References

[1] D. Bullock, *Rings of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-characters and the Kauffman bracket skein module*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), 521–542.

[2] D. Bullock, C. Frohman, J. Kania-Bartoszynska, *Understanding the Kauffman Bracket Skein Module*,J. Knot Theory Ramifications 8 (1999), no. 3, 265–277.

[3] D. Bullock, C. Frohman, J. Kania-Bartoszynska, *The Yang-Mills measure in the Kauffman bracket skein module*, preprint.

[4] C. Frohman, J. Kania-Bartoszynska, *Symmetry Principle for the Shadow World Evaluation of the Yang-Mills Measure*, in preparation.

[5] W. M. Goldman, *The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces* Adv. in Math., Advances in Mathematics **54** (1984), no. 2, 200–225.

[6] W. M. Goldman, *Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 263–302.

[7] D. Johnson, *Geometric version of Casson's invariant*, lecture notes.

[8] L. H. Kauffman and S. Lins, *Temperley-Lieb recoupling theory and invariants of 3-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. Studies **143**, Princeton University Press (1994).

[9] R. Kirby, P. Melvin, *The 3-manifold invariants of Witten and Reshetikhin-Turaev for $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$*, Invent. Math. **105**, (1991) 473–545.

[10] W. B. R. Lickorish, *An Introduction to Knot Theory*, Springer, GTM **175**, 1997.

[11] J. Milnor, *A duality theorem for Reidemeister torsion*, Ann. of Math. **76**, (1962) 137–147.

[12] J. H. Przytycki, A. S. Sikora, *On skein algebras and $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-character varieties*, Topology **39(1)** (2000), 115–148.

[13] J. Roberts, *Skein theories as TQFTs*, preprint.

[14] V. G. Turaev, *Shadow links and face models of statistical mechanics*, J. Diff. Geom. **36** (1992), 35-74.

[15] H. Wenzl, *On sequences of projectors*, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. **IX**, (1987) 5-9.

Department of Mathematics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
E-mail address: frohman@math.uiowa.edu

Department of Mathematics, Boise State University, Boise, ID 83725, USA
E-mail address: kania@math.boisestate.edu

G	G_1	G_2	Value of the integral
2	1	1	-2
3	1	2	$-4+\frac{1}{3}\pi^2$
4	1	3	$-6+\frac{1}{45}\pi^4+\frac{1}{3}\pi^2$
5	1	4	$-8+\frac{2}{945}\pi^6+\frac{1}{45}\pi^4+\frac{1}{3}\pi^2$
6	1	5	$-10+\frac{1}{4725}\pi^8+\frac{2}{945}\pi^6+\frac{1}{45}\pi^4+\frac{1}{3}\pi^2$
4	2	2	$-20+2\pi^2$
5	2	3	$-56+\frac{1}{15}\pi^4+5\pi^2$
6	2	4	$-120+\frac{2}{315}\pi^6+\frac{2}{9}\pi^4+\frac{28}{3}\pi^2$
7	2	5	$-220+\frac{1}{1575}\pi^8+\frac{4}{189}\pi^6+\frac{7}{15}\pi^4+15\pi^2$
6	3	3	$-252+\frac{2}{9}\pi^4+\frac{70}{3}\pi^2$
7	3	4	$-792+\frac{2}{189}\pi^6+\frac{14}{15}\pi^4+70\pi^2$
8	3	5	$-2002+\frac{1}{945}\pi^8+\frac{2}{27}\pi^6+3\pi^4+165\pi^2$
8	4	4	$-3432+\frac{4}{135}\pi^6+\frac{56}{15}\pi^4+308\pi^2$
9	4	5	$-11440+\frac{1}{675}\pi^8+\frac{62}{315}\pi^6+\frac{209}{15}\pi^4+1001\pi^2$
10	5	5	$-48620+\frac{2}{525}\pi^8+\frac{44}{63}\pi^6+\frac{286}{5}\pi^4+4290\pi^2$

FIGURE 7. Integrals along separating curves on a closed surface of genus G