

## ROOTFINDING

We want to find the numbers  $x$  for which  $f(x) = 0$ , with  $f$  a given function. Here, we denote such *roots* or *zeroes* by the Greek letter  $\alpha$ . Rootfinding problems occur in many contexts. Sometimes they are a direct formulation of some physical situation; but more often, they are an intermediate step in solving a much larger problem.

**An example with annuities** Suppose you are paying into an account an amount of  $P_{in}$  per period of time, for  $N_{in}$  periods of time. The amount you are deposited is compounded at an interest rate of  $r$  per period of time. Then at the beginning of period  $N_{in} + 1$ , you will withdraw an amount of  $P_{out}$  per time period, for  $N_{out}$  periods. In order that the amount you withdraw balance that which has been deposited including interest, what is the needed interest rate? The equation is

$$P_{in} \left[ (1 + r)^{N_{in}} - 1 \right] = P_{out} \left[ 1 - (1 + r)^{-N_{out}} \right]$$

We assume the interest rate  $r$  holds over all  $N_{in} + N_{out}$  periods.

As a particular case, suppose you are paying in  $P_{in} = \$1,000$  each month for 40 years. Then you wish to withdraw  $P_{out} = \$5,000$  per month for 20 years. What interest rate do you need? If the interest rate is  $R$  per year, compounded monthly, then  $r = R/12$ . Also,  $N_{in} = 40 \cdot 12 = 480$  and  $N_{out} = 20 \cdot 12 = 240$ . Thus we wish to solve

$$1000 \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{R}{12} \right)^{480} - 1 \right] = 5000 \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{R}{12} \right)^{-240} \right]$$

What is the needed yearly interest rate  $R$ ? The answer is 2.92%. How did I obtain this answer?

This example also shows the power of compound interest.

## THE BISECTION METHOD

Most methods for solving  $f(x) = 0$  are *iterative methods*. We begin with the simplest of such methods, one which most people use at some time.

We assume we are given a function  $f(x)$ ; and in addition, we assume we have an interval  $[a, b]$  containing the root, on which the function is continuous. We also assume we are given an error tolerance  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and we want an approximate root  $\tilde{\alpha}$  in  $[a, b]$  for which

$$|\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}| \leq \varepsilon$$

We further assume the function  $f(x)$  changes sign on  $[a, b]$ , with

$$f(a) f(b) < 0$$

Algorithm Bisect( $f, a, b, \varepsilon$ ). *Step 1*: Define

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$$

*Step 2*: If  $b - c \leq \varepsilon$ , accept  $c$  as our root, and then stop.

*Step 3*: If  $b - c > \varepsilon$ , then check compare the sign of  $f(c)$  to that of  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$ . If

$$\text{sign}(f(b)) \cdot \text{sign}(f(c)) \leq 0$$

then replace  $a$  with  $c$ ; and otherwise, replace  $b$  with  $c$ . Return to Step 1.

Denote the initial interval by  $[a_1, b_1]$ , and denote each successive interval by  $[a_j, b_j]$ . Let  $c_j$  denote the center of  $[a_j, b_j]$ . Then

$$|\alpha - c_j| \leq b_j - c_j = c_j - a_j = \frac{1}{2}(b_j - a_j)$$

Since each interval decreases by half from the preceding one, we have by induction,

$$|\alpha - c_n| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n (b_1 - a_1)$$

**EXAMPLE** Find the largest root of

$$f(x) \equiv x^6 - x - 1 = 0$$

accurate to within  $\epsilon = 0.001$ . With a graph, it is easy to check that  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . We choose  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2$ ; then  $f(a) = -1$ ,  $f(b) = 61$ , and the requirement  $f(a)f(b) < 0$  is satisfied. The results from *Bisect* are shown in the table. The entry  $n$  indicates the iteration number  $n$ .

$n$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$b - c$	$f(c)$
1	1.0000	2.0000	1.5000	0.5000	8.8906
2	1.0000	1.5000	1.2500	0.2500	1.5647
3	1.0000	1.2500	1.1250	0.1250	-0.0977
4	1.1250	1.2500	1.1875	0.0625	0.6167
5	1.1250	1.1875	1.1562	0.0312	0.2333
6	1.1250	1.1562	1.1406	0.0156	0.0616
7	1.1250	1.1406	1.1328	0.0078	-0.0196
8	1.1328	1.1406	1.1367	0.0039	0.0206
9	1.1328	1.1367	1.1348	0.0020	0.0004
10	1.1328	1.1348	1.1338	0.00098	-0.0096

Recall the original example with the function.

$$f(r) = P_{in} [(1 + r)^{N_{in}} - 1] - P_{out} [1 - (1 + r)^{-N_{out}}]$$

Checking, we see that  $f(0) = 0$ . Therefore, with a graph of  $y = f(r)$  on  $[0, 1]$ , we see that  $f(x) < 0$  if we choose  $x$  very small, say  $x = .001$ . Also  $f(1) > 0$ . Thus we choose  $[a, b] = [.001, 1]$ . Using  $\varepsilon = .000001$  yields the answer

$$\tilde{\alpha} = .02918243$$

with an error bound of

$$|\alpha - c_n| \leq 9.53 \times 10^{-7}$$

for  $n = 20$  iterates. We could also have calculated this error bound from

$$\frac{1}{2^{20}} (1 - .001) = 9.53 \times 10^{-7}$$

Suppose we are given the initial interval  $[a, b] = [1.6, 4.5]$  with  $\varepsilon = .00005$ . How large need  $n$  be in order to have

$$|\alpha - c_n| \leq \varepsilon$$

Recall that

$$|\alpha - c_n| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n (b - a)$$

Then ensure the error bound is true by requiring and solving

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n (b - a) \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n (4.5 - 1.6) \leq .00005$$

Dividing and solving for  $n$ , we have

$$n \geq \log\left(\frac{2.9}{.00005}\right) = 15.82$$

Therefore, we need to take  $n = 16$  iterates.

## ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

*Advantages:* 1. It always converges.

2. You have a guaranteed error bound, and it decreases with each successive iteration.

3. You have a guaranteed rate of convergence. The error bound decreases by  $\frac{1}{2}$  with each iteration.

*Disadvantages:* 1. It is relatively slow when compared with other rootfinding methods we will study, especially when the function  $f(x)$  has several continuous derivatives about the root  $\alpha$ .

2. The algorithm has no check to see whether the  $\varepsilon$  is too small for the computer arithmetic being used. [This is easily fixed by reference to the *machine epsilon* of the computer arithmetic.]

We also assume the function  $f(x)$  is continuous on the given interval  $[a, b]$ ; but there is no way for the computer to confirm this .