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## Model-Based Design and Analysis



## Automated Guided Vehicle

- Autonomous vehicle on a flat surface, following a visual track
] Goal of each robot:
- Move along a track
(i.e., center line of a road)
- Follow track as close as possible


Cameras and vision processing algorithms allow vehicle to sense track and measure (signed) distance d from center of the track

- Two degrees of freedom: move forward and rotate

T Two velocities: (regular) velocity ( $\mathrm{v}, \theta$ ) and angular velocity $\omega$

## Automated Guided Vehicle Controller



Inputs: \{start, stop\} command c, distance d from center of track Outputs: speed $v$, angular speed $\omega$
State: coordinates $x, y$; angle $\theta$
Modes: Stop, Straight, Left, Right
Simplifications: $v \in\left\{v_{c} / 2, v_{c}\right\}$ and $\omega \in\{-\pi, 0, \pi\}$

## Automated Guided Vehicle Controller



## Multi-Robot Coordination

$\square$ Autonomous mobile robots in a room

- Goal of each robot:
- Reach a target at a known location
- Avoid obstacles (positions of obstacles not known in advance)
- Minimize distance travelled
$\square$ Cameras and vision processing algorithms allow each robot to estimate obstacle positions
- Estimates are only approximate, and depend on relative position of obstacles with respect to a robot's position
- How often should robot update these estimates?


## Multi-Robot Coordination

$\square$ Each robot can communicate with others using wireless links

- How often and what information?
- How does communication help?
- High-level motion control (path planning)
- Decide on speed and direction


## Path Planning with Obstacle Avoidance



## Path Planning with Obstacle Avoidance



State variables: $(x, y),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$
Initialization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, y):=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \\
& \left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right):=\left(x_{20}, y_{20}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Dynamics:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d x=v \cos \theta & d x_{2}=v \cos \theta_{2} \\
d y=v \sin \theta & d y_{2}=v \sin \theta_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Safety requirement:
$(x, y),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \notin O_{1} \cup O_{2}$

Liveness requirement:
Eventually $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and
Eventually $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$
Performance requirement: Reduce distance travelled!

## Abstractions

$\square$ For modeling and analysis for motion planning, we need to simplify obstacle shapes and complexity of image processing algorithms

- Simplicity and abstraction: key to modeling
- Assume each robot is a point
- Can be described by coordinates of point
$\square$ Assume each obstacle/estimate is a circle
- Can be described by coordinates of center and radius
- Assumption: real obstacle is always contained in estimated circle
- Alternative: ellipses (more accurate)


## Modeling Obstacles

$\square$ Consider an obstacle with center ( $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}$ ) and radius r

- Radius of smallest circle that envelopes the actual obstacle
$\square$ Estimate of the obstacle as computed by a robot using image processing algorithms of a robot
- A circle with center ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ) and radius e $>r$
- The closer the robot to the obstacle, the better the estimate
- Estimate e decreases with distance of robot from obstacle, and converges to $r$


## Obstacle Estimation



## Rule for Obstacle Estimation



> Robot $R$ maintains radii $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ that are estimates of the obstacles

Obstacle estimation in reality is done periodically as it is computationally expensive

Every $t_{e}$ seconds, robot model executes discrete updates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}:=\min \left(e_{1}, r_{1}+a\left(\operatorname{dist}\left((x, y),\left(x_{01}, y_{01}\right)\right)-r_{1}\right) ;\right. \\
& e_{2}:=\min \left(e_{2}, r_{2}+a\left(\operatorname{dist}\left((x, y),\left(x_{02}, y_{02}\right)\right)-r_{2}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Computation for robot $R_{2}$ is similar

## Path Planning



Shortest path: straight line to target Preferred direction: $\theta_{0}$

If estimate of obstacle $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ intersects straight path, calculate two paths that are tangents to obstacle

If estimate of obstacle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ intersects straight path, or obstacle $\mathrm{O}_{1}$, calculate tangent paths

Plausible paths: P1 and P4
Calculate which one is shorter:
Planning algorithm returns either $\theta_{1}$ or $\theta_{4}$

## Path Planning

$\square$ Function plan with inputs:

- current position of robot $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- target position
- obstacle $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ position (center and radius estimate)
- obstacle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ position (center and radius estimate)
- Output: Direction for motion
- Best possible path to target while avoiding obstacles and assuming estimates are correct
$\square$ Function plan written in C code (can be embedded in model)
$\square$ Does it help to rerun planning algorithm again as robot moves?
- Yes! Estimates may improve, suggesting shorter paths
- Invoke planning algorithm every $t_{p}$ seconds


## Communication

$\square$ Each robot has its own estimate of each obstacle
$\square$ Robot $R_{2}$ 's estimates may be better than $R_{1}$ 's own estimates
$\square$ Strategy: Every $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ seconds, send your own estimates to the other robot, and receive estimates from it
$\square$ If your own estimates are $\mathrm{e}_{i 1}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i} 2}$, and you receive estimates $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j} 1}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j} 2}$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i 1}:=\min \left(e_{i 1}, e_{j 1}\right) \\
& e_{i 2}:=\min \left(e_{i 2}, e_{j 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Effect of Coordination



Suppose Path P1 was preferred

Communication with other robot gives a better estimate of obstacle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, but not for obstacle $\mathrm{O}_{1}$

Path P2 is now viable.
Running planner again could choose path P2

## System of Robots



## Robot Model

$$
z_{c}=t_{c} \rightarrow \text { out }:=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) ; z_{c}:=0
$$

$$
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow \theta:=\operatorname{plan}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}}:=0
$$



## Analysis

$\square$ Key system parameters

- How often should a robot communicate?
- How often should a robot execute planning algorithm
- How often should a robot execute image processing algorithm to update obstacle estimates?
$\square$ Design-space exploration: Choose values of $t_{c}, t_{p}, t_{e}$
- Reduce distance travelled, but also account for costs of communication/computation

Symbolic analysis beyond the scope of current tools, so need to run multiple simulations

## Illustrative Execution



- Speed v:
$0.5 \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{s}$
- Planning rate $t_{p}$ :

2 s

- Obstacle estimation rate $t_{p}$ : 2 s
- Communication rate $t_{c}$ : 4 s
- Distance travelled by R' : 9.15 u
- Distance travelled by R :
8.65 u

- Speed v:
0.5 u/s
- Planning rate $t_{p}$ :

2 s

- Obstacle estimation rate $t_{p}$ : 2 s
- Communication rate $t_{c}$ : $\quad>4 \mathrm{~s}$
- Distance travelled by $R^{\prime}$ :
9.15 u
- Distance travelled by R : 8.81 u
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