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## Readings

- Chap. 3 of [Russell and Norvig, 2012]


## Example: Romania

Problem: On holiday in Romania; currently in Arad. Flight leaves tomorrow from Bucharest. Find a short route to drive to Bucharest.

Formulate problem:
states: various cities
actions: drive between cities

Formulate goal:
be in Bucarest

Formulate solution:
sequence of cities (eg, Arad, Sibiu, Fagaras, Bucharest)

## Romania's map



## Problem-solving agents

Restricted form of general agent:

```
function Simple-Problem-Solving-Agent( percept) returns an action
    static: seq, an action sequence, initially empty
        state, some description of the current world state
        goal, a goal, initially null
        problem, a problem formulation
    state }\leftarrow\mathrm{ Update-State(state, percept)
    if seq is empty then
        goal }\leftarrow\mathrm{ Formulate-Goal(state)
        problem}\leftarrow\mathrm{ Formulate-Problem(state,goal)
        seq\leftarrowSEARCH(problem)
    action }\leftarrow\mathrm{ RECOMMENDATION(seq, state)
    seq}\leftarrow\mp@code{REmAinder(seq, state)
    return action
```


## Problem-solving agents

Restricted form of general agent:

```
function Simple-Problem-Solving-Agent ( percept) returns an action
    static: seq, an action sequence, initially empty
        state, some description of the current world state
        goal, a goal, initially null
        problem, a problem formulation
    state \(\leftarrow\) Update-State (state, percept)
    if \(s e q\) is empty then
        goal \(\leftarrow\) Formulate-Goal(state)
        problem \(\leftarrow\) Formulate-Problem(state, goal)
        \(s e q \leftarrow \operatorname{Search}(\) problem)
    action \(\leftarrow \operatorname{RECOMMENDATION}\) (seq, state)
    \(s e q \leftarrow \operatorname{REmAINDER}(s e q\), state)
    return action
```

Note: this is offline problem solving; solution executed "eyes closed." Online problem solving involves acting without complete knowledge.

## Problem Types

- Deterministic, fully observable environment $\Longrightarrow$ single-state problem
- Agent knows exactly which state it will be in
- Solution is a sequence of actions
- Non-observable environment $\Longrightarrow$ conformant problem
- Agent know it may be in any of a number of states
- Solution, if any, is a sequence of actions
- Nondeterministic and/or partially observable environment $\Longrightarrow$ contingency problem
- Percepts provide new information about current state
- Solution is a tree or policy
- Often interleave search and execution


## Problem Types (cont.)

- Unknown state space $\Longrightarrow$ exploration problem ("online")


## Example: Vacuum World

Single-state problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { initial state }=5 \\
& \text { goal states }=\{7,8\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution?


## Example: Vacuum World

Single-state problem initial state $=5$ goal states $=\{7,8\}$
Solution? [Right, Suck]


## Example: Vacuum World

Conformant problem, initial state $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$
Right $\Longrightarrow\{2,4,6,8\}$, Left $\Longrightarrow\{1,3,5,7\}$, Suck $\Longrightarrow\{4,5,7,8\}$ Solution?


## Example: Vacuum World

Conformant problem, initial state $=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$
Right $\Longrightarrow\{2,4,6,8\}$, Left $\Longrightarrow\{1,3,5,7\}$, Suck $\Longrightarrow\{4,5,7,8\}$
Solution? [Right, Suck, Left, Suck]


## Example: Vacuum World

Contingency problem, initial state $=5$
Suck occasionally fails. Local sensing: dirt, location. Solution?
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## Example: Vacuum World

Contingency problem, initial state $=5$
Suck occasionally fails. Local sensing: dirt, location.
Solution? [Right, if dirt then Suck]
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## Problem Solving

We start by considering the simpler cases in which the environment is fully observable, static and deterministic

In such environments the following holds for an agent A:

- A's world is representable by a discrete set of states
- A's actions are representable by a discrete set of operators
- the next world state is completely determined by the current state and A's actions
- the world's state transitions are caused exclusively by A's actions


## Single-state Problem Formulation

Formally, a problem is defined by four components:

- An initial state (eg, In(Arad))
- A successor function $S$ returning sets of action-state pairs $($ eg, $S($ Arad $)=\{\langle G o T o(Z e r i n d), \operatorname{In}($ Zerind $)\rangle, \ldots\})$
- A goal test, explicit (eg, $x=\operatorname{In}($ Bucharest $)$ ) or implicit, (eg, NoDirt(x))
- A path cost
(eg, sum of distances, number of actions executed, ...) Usually additive and given as $c(x, a, y)$, the step cost from $x$ to $y$ by action $a$, assumed to be $\geq 0$

A solution is a sequence of actions leading from the initial state to a goal state

## Selecting a State Space

Since the real world is absurdly complex the state space must be abstracted for problem solving.

- Abstract state $=$ set of real states
- (Abstract) action = complex combination of real actions eg, GoTo(Zerind) from Arad represents a complex set of possible routes, detours, rest stops, etc.
- For guaranteed realizability, any real state corresponding to In(Arad) must get to some real state corresponding to In(Zerind)
- Each abstract action should be "easier" than the original problem!
- (Abstract) solution $=$ set of real paths that are solutions in the real world


## Example: vacuum world state space graph



States?
Actions?
Goal test?
Path cost?

## Example: vacuum world state space graph



States? <dirt flag, robot location〉 (ignore dirt amount)
Actions? Left, Right, Suck, NoOp
Goal test? $\neg$ dirty
Path cost? 1 per action ( 0 for $N o O p$ )

## Formulating Problem as a Labeled Graph

In the graph

- each node represents a possible state
- a node is designated as the initial state
- one or more nodes represent goal states, states in which the agent's goal is considered accomplished
- each edge represents a state transition caused by a specific agent action
- associated to each edge is the cost of performing that transition


## Search Graph

How do we reach a goal state?


There may be several possible ways. Or none!
Factors to consider:

- cost of finding a path
- cost of traversing a path


## Problem Solving as Search

Search space: set of states reachable from an initial state $S_{0}$ via a (possibly empty/finite/infinite) sequence of state transitions

To achieve the problem's goal

1. search the space for a (ideally optimal) sequence of transitions starting from $S_{0}$ and leading to a goal state
2. execute (in order) the actions associated to each transition in the identified sequence

For contingency problems, two steps above need to be interleaved

## Example: The 8-puzzle



## Example: The 8-puzzle

Problem: Go from state $S$ to state $G$.



## Example: The 8-puzzle

## States: configurations of tiles <br> Operators: move one tile Up/Down/Left/Right

## Note:

- There are $9!=362,880$ possible states: all permutations of $\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$ where 0 is the empty space
- Not all states are directly reachable from a given state

How can an artificial agent represent the states and the state space for this problem?

## Problem Formulation

1. Choose an appropriate data structure to represent the world states
2. Define each operator as a precondition/effects pair where the

- precondition holds exactly in the states the operator is applicable to
- effects describe how a state changes into a successor state by the application of the operator

3. Specify an initial state
4. Provide a description of the goal-to check if a reached state is a goal state

## Formulating the 8-puzzle Problem

States: each represented by a $3 \times 3$ array of numbers in $[0 \ldots 8]$, where value 0 is for the empty cell

becomes $A=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 \\ 7 & 0 & 5\end{array}\right|$

## Formulating the 8-puzzle Problem

- Operators: 24 operators of the form $O P_{r, c, d}$ where $r, c \in\{1,2,3\}, d \in\{L, R, U, D\}$
- If the empty space is at position $(r, c), O P_{r, c, d}$ moves it in direction $d$


## Example:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 8 & 3 \\
1 & 6 & 4 \\
7 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right| \xrightarrow{O P_{3,2, L}}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 8 & 3 \\
1 & 6 & 4 \\
0 & 7 & 5
\end{array}\right|
$$

## Preconditions and Effects

Example: $O P_{3,2, R}$
$\left|\begin{array}{lll}2 & 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 \\ 7 & 0 & 5\end{array}\right| \xrightarrow{O P_{3,2, R}}\left|\begin{array}{lll}2 & 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 \\ 7 & 5 & 0\end{array}\right|$

Preconditions: $\quad A[3,2]=0$
Effects: $\quad\left\{\begin{aligned} A[3,2] & \leftarrow A[3,3] \\ A[3,3] & \leftarrow 0\end{aligned}\right.$

## Preconditions and Effects

Example: $O P_{3,2, R}$
$\left|\begin{array}{lll}2 & 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 \\ 7 & 0 & 5\end{array}\right| \xrightarrow{O P_{3,2, R}}\left|\begin{array}{lll}2 & 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 \\ 7 & 5 & 0\end{array}\right|$

Preconditions: $\quad A[3,2]=0$
Effects: $\quad\left\{\begin{aligned} A[3,2] & \leftarrow A[3,3] \\ A[3,3] & \leftarrow 0\end{aligned}\right.$

We have 24 operators in this problem formulation ... 20 too many!

## A Better Formulation

States: each represented by a pair $(A,(i, j))$ where:

- $A$ is a $3 \times 3$ array of numbers in [0...8]
- $(i, j)$ is the position of the empty space (0) in the array

becomes $\left(\left|\begin{array}{lll}2 & 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 \\ 7 & 0 & 5\end{array}\right|,(3,2)\right)$


## A Better Formulation

Operators: 4 operators of the form $O P_{d}$ where $d \in\{L, R, U, D\}$
$O P_{d}$ moves the empty space in the direction $d$
Example:

$$
\left(\left|\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 8 & 3 \\
1 & 6 & 4 \\
7 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right|,(3,2)\right) \xrightarrow{O P_{L}}\left(\left|\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 8 & 3 \\
1 & 6 & 4 \\
0 & 7 & 5
\end{array}\right|,(3,1)\right)
$$

## Preconditions and Effects

Example: $O P_{L}$

$$
\left(\left|\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 8 & 3 \\
1 & 6 & 4 \\
7 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right|,(3,2)\right) \xrightarrow{O P_{L}}\left(\left|\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 8 & 3 \\
1 & 6 & 4 \\
0 & 7 & 5
\end{array}\right|,(3,1)\right)
$$

Let $\left(r_{0}, c_{0}\right)$ be the position of 0 in $A$

Preconditions: $\quad c_{0}>1$

Effects: $\quad \begin{cases}A\left[r_{0}, c_{0}\right] & \leftarrow A\left[r_{0}, c_{0}-1\right] \\ A\left[r_{0}, c_{0}-1\right] & \leftarrow 0 \\ \left(r_{0}, c_{0}\right) & \leftarrow\left(r_{0}, c_{0}-1\right)\end{cases}$

## The Water Jugs Problem



Get exactly 2 gallons of water into the 4 gl jug

## The Water Jugs Problem

States: Determined by the amount of water in each jug
State Representation: Two real-valued variables, $J_{3}, J_{4}$, indicating the amount of water in the two jugs, with the constraints:

$$
0 \leq J_{3} \leq 3, \quad 0 \leq J_{4} \leq 4
$$

Initial State Description

$$
J_{3}=0, \quad J_{4}=0
$$

Goal State Description:

$$
J_{4}=2 \quad \text { (non exhaustive description) }
$$

## The Water Jugs Problem: Operators

E4: empty jug4 on the ground precond: $J_{4}>0 \quad$ effect: $J_{4}^{\prime}=0$
E4-3: pour water from jug4 into jug3 until jug3 is full precond: $J_{3}<3, \quad$ effect: $J_{3}^{\prime}=3$,

$$
J_{4} \geq 3-J_{3}
$$

$$
J_{4}^{\prime}=J_{4}-\left(3-J_{3}\right)
$$

P3-4: pour water from jug3 into jug4 until jug4 is full precond: $J_{4}<4$,

$$
J_{3} \geq 4-J_{4}
$$

effect: $J_{4}^{\prime}=4$,
$J_{3}^{\prime}=J_{3}-\left(4-J_{4}\right)$

E3-4: pour water from jug3 into jug4 until jug3 is empty
precond: $J_{3}+J_{4}<4$,

$$
J_{3}>0
$$

effect: $\quad J_{4}^{\prime}=J_{3}+J_{4}$,
$J_{3}^{\prime}=0$

## The Water Jugs Problem

Problem


Search Graph


## Real-World Search Problems

- Route Finding (computer networks, airline travel planning system, ...)
- Travelling Salesman Optimization Problem (package delivery, automatic drills, ... )
- Layout Problems
(VLSI layout, furniture layout, packaging, ...)
- Assembly Sequencing (assembly of electric motors, ... )
- Task Scheduling (manufacturing, timetables, ...)


## Problem Solution

Typically, a problem's solution is a description of how to reach a goal state from the initial state:

## Examples:

- $n$-puzzle
- route-finding problem
- assembly sequencing

Occasionally, a problem's solution is simply a description of the goal state itself:

## Examples:

- 8-queen problem
- scheduling problems
- layout problems


[^0]:    $a$ These notes were originally developed by Stuart Russell and are used with permission. They are copyrighted material and may not be used in other course settings outside of the University of Iowa in their current or modified form without the express written consent of the copyright holders.

