#### CS:5810 Formal Methods in Software Engineering

# Introduction to Alloy 5 Part 1

Copyright 2001-23, Matt Dwyer, John Hatcliff, Rod Howell, Laurence Pilard, and Cesare Tinelli.

Created by Cesare Tinelli and Laurence Pilard at the University of Iowa from notes originally developed by Matt Dwyer, John Hatcliff, Rod Howell at Kansas State University. These notes are copyrighted materials and may not be used in other course settings outside of the University of Iowa in their current form or modified form without the express written permission of one of the copyright holders. During this course, students are prohibited from selling notes to or being paid for taking notes by any person or commercial firm without the express written permission of one of the copyright holders.

# Outline

- Introduction to basic Alloy constructs using a simple example of a static model
  - How to define sets, subsets, relations with multiplicity constraints
  - How to use Alloy's quantifiers and predicate forms
- Basic use of the Alloy Analyzer (AA)
  - Loading, compiling, and analyzing a simple Alloy specification
  - Adjusting basic tool parameters
  - Using the visualization tool to view instances of models

# Roadmap

Alloy: Rationale and Use Strategies

- What types of systems have been modeled with Alloy
- What types of questions can AA answer
- What is the purpose of each of the sections in an Alloy specification

**Alloy Specifications** 

- Parameterized conditionals
- Indexed relations
- Graphical representations of Alloy models
- More complex examples

## Alloy --- Why was it created?

#### Lightweight

relatively small and easy to use, and capable of expressing common properties tersely and naturally

#### Precise

having a simple and uniform mathematical semantics

#### Tractable

amenable to efficient and fully automated semantic analysis (within scope limits)

## Alloy --- Comparison

#### UML

- Has similarities (graphical notation, OCL constraints) but it is neither lightweight, nor precise
- UML includes many modeling notions omitted from Alloy (use-cases, statecharts, code architecture specs)
- Alloy's diagrams and relational navigation are inspired by UML
- Ζ
- Precise, but intractable. Stylized typography makes it harder to work with
- Z is more expressive than Alloy, but more complicated
- Alloy's set-based semantics is inspired by Z

## Alloy --- What is it used for?

Alloy is a textual modeling language aimed at expressing:

structural and behavioral properties of software systems

It is not meant for modeling code architecture (*a la* class diagrams in UML)

But there may be a close relationship between the Alloy specification and an implementation in an OO language

# **Example Applications**

The Alloy 6 distribution comes with several example models that together illustrate the use of Alloy's constructs

Examples

- Specification of a distributed spanning tree
- Model of a generic file system
- Model of a generic file synchronizer
- Tower of Hanoi model

# Alloy in General

Alloy is general enough that it can model

- any (finite) domain of individuals and
- any relations between them

We will then start with a few simple examples that are not necessarily about about software

# **Example: Family Structure**

We want to ...

- Model parent/child relationships as primitive relations
- Model spousal relationships as primitive relations
- Model relationships such as "siblings" as *derived* relations
- Enforce certain biological constraints via 1<sup>st</sup>-order constraints (e.g., people have only one biological mother)
- Enforce certain social constraints via 1<sup>st</sup>-order constraints (e.g., a wife isn't a sibling)
- Confirm or refute the existence of certain derived relationships (e.g., no one has a sister who is also their wife)

## Example: Address Book

An address book for an email client that maintains a mapping from names to addresses

FriendBook

Ted -> ted@gmail.com Ryan -> ryan@hotmail.com WorkBook

Pilard -> pilard@uiowa.edu Ryan -> ryan@uiowa.edu

# Atoms and Relations

In Alloy, everything is built from atoms and relations

An *atom* is a primitive entity that is

- *indivisible*: it cannot be broken down into smaller parts
- *immutable*: it does not change over time
- *uninterpreted*: it does not have any built-in properties
   (the way numbers do, for example)

A *relation* is a structure that relates atoms

• It is a set of tuples of the same type

# Atoms and Relations: Examples

• Unary relations: a set of names, a set of addresses and a set of books



# Relations

Size of a relation: the number of tuples in the relation

#### Arity of a relation: the length of the tuples in the relation

relations with arity 1, 2, and 3 are said to be *unary*, *binary*, and *ternary* relations

#### Examples.

- relation of arity 1 and size 1: myName = {(NO)}
- relation of arity 2 and size 3: address = {(N0,D0), (N1,D1), (N2,D1))

# Main Components of Alloy Models

- Signatures and Fields
- Predicates and Functions
- Facts
- Assertions
- Commands and scopes

# Signatures and Fields

#### Signatures

- Describe, as sets, classes of entities we want to reason about
- Sets defined by signatures are fixed (we will see how to model dynamic aspects later)

#### Fields

Define relations between signatures

#### Simple constraints

- Multiplicities on signatures
- Multiplicities on relations

# Signatures

- A *signature* introduces a set of atoms (a unary relation over atoms)
- The declaration

sig A {}
introduces a set named A

 A signature can be declared as an *extension* of another sig A1 extends A {}

introduces a set name A1 that is a subset of A

## Signatures

```
sig A {}
sig B {}
sig A1 extends A {}
sig A2 extends A {}
```



- A1 and A2 are extensions of A
- A signature declared independently of any other one is a *top-level* signature, e.g., A and B above
- Extensions of the same signature are mutually disjoint, as are toplevel signatures

# Signatures

```
abstract sig A {}
sig B {}
sig A1 extends A {}
sig A2 extends A {}
```



- An *abstract* signature has no elements except those belonging to its extensions or subsets
- All extensions of an abstract signature A form a partition of A
- A signature can be introduced as a subset of another

sig A3 in A {}

## **Example: Family Structure**

#### Alloy Model

abstract sig Person {}
sig Man extends Person {}
sig Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {}



#### Graphical Representation



# **Model Instances**

The Alloy Analyzer will generate instances of models so that we can check if they match our intentions. Which of the following are instances of our current model?



# Fields

- *Relations* are declared as *fields* of signatures
  - Writing

**sig** A {f: e}

introduces a relation f of type A  $\times e$ , where e is an expression denoting a product of signatures

- Examples: (with signatures A, B, C)
  - Binary Relation:

sig A { f1: B } // f1 is a subset of A x B

– Ternary Relation:

sig A { f2: B -> C } // f2 is a subset of A x B x C

## **Example Signatures and Fields**

Family Structure:



## Example: Family Structure



# Multiplicities

Allow us to constrain the sizes of sets

 A multiplicity keyword placed before a signature declaration constraints the number of elements in the signature

m sig A {}

- We can also make multiplicities constraints on fields

sig A {f: m e}
sig A {f: e1 m -> n e2}

There are four multiplicities **m**:

set	: any number	one	: exactly one
some	: one or more	lone	: zero or one

# **Cardinality Constraints**

Multiplicities can also be applied to expressions denoting relations

- some e : e is non-empty
- -no e : e is empty
- -lone e : e has at most one tuple
- -one e

- : e has exactly one tuple

Without multiplicity:

A set of colors, each of which is a red, yellow or green color

```
abstract sig Color {}
sig Red, Yellow, Green extends Color {}
```

(can have more than one red, one yellow and one green color)

With multiplicity:

An enumeration of colors

```
abstract sig Color {}
one sig Red, Yellow, Green extends Color {}
```

(exactly one red, one yellow and one green color)

• A file system in which each directory contains any number of objects, and each alias points to exactly one object

```
abstract sig Object {}
sig Folder extends Object { contents: set Object }
sig File extends Object {}
sig Alias in File { to: one Object }
The default multiplicity for fields is one, so:
    sig A {f: e} and sig A {f: one e}
    redundant
```

are equivalent

•

- An address book maps names to addresses
- In each book
  - there is at most one address per name
  - an address is associated to at least one name

```
sig Name, Addr {}
sig AddressBook {
   addr: Name some -> lone Addr
}
```

 A collection of weather forecasts, each of which has a field weather associating every city with exactly one weather condition

```
sig Forecast { weather: City -> one Weather }
sig City {}
abstract sig Weather {}
one sig Rainy, Sunny, Cloudy extends Weather {}
```

#### • Instance:

```
City = { (Iowa City), (Chicago) }
Rainy = { (rainy) }
Sunny = { (sunny) }
Cloudy = { (cloudy) }
Forecast = { (f1), (f2) }
weather = { (f1, Iowa City, rainy), (f1, Chicago, rainy), (f2, Iowa City, rainy), (f2, Chicago, sunny) }
```

## **Multiplicities and Binary Relations**

• **sig** S { f: **lone** T }

- says that, for each element s of S, f maps s to at most one value in T

• Potential instances:

Conventional name: partial function



### **Multiplicities and Binary Relations**

• **sig** S { f: **one** T }

says that, for each element s of S, f maps s to exactly one value in T

• Potential instances:

Conventional name: total function



## **Multiplicities and Ternary Relations**

• **sig** S { f: T -> **one** V }

For each element s of S, over the triples that start with s:
 f maps each T-element to exactly one V-element

• Potential instances:



## **Multiplicities and Ternary Relations**

• sig S { f: T lone -> V }

For each element s of S, over the triples that start with s:
 f maps at most one T-element to the same V-element

• Potential instances:



# **Multiplicities and Relations**

- Other kinds of relational structures can be specified using multiplicities
- Examples:

— ...

```
- sig S { f: set T }
```

```
- sig S { f: T set -> set V }
```

```
- sig S { f: T one -> V }
```

total relation partial relation

# Example: Family Structure

• How would you use multiplicities to define the children relation?

sig Person { children: set Person }

- Intuition: each person has zero or more children
- How would you use multiplicities to define the spouse relation?

sig Married { spouse: one Married }

- Intuition: each married person has exactly one spouse

# Summarizing

Alloy Model

```
abstract sig Person {
   children: set Person,
   siblings: set Person
}
```

```
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
```

```
sig Married in Person {
   spouse: one Married
}
```
### Exercises

- Start the Alloy Analyzer
- Load file family-1.als from the **Resources** section of the course website
- Execute it
- Analyze the model instance
- Look at the generated instance
- Does it look correct?
- What, if anything, would you change about it?

## Model Instance

```
Person = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Man = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Woman = {}
Married = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
```

```
abstract sig Person {
    children: set Person,
    siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
    spouse: one Married
}
```

```
spouse = { (Man1, Man0), (Man0, Man2), (Man2, Man0) }
```

# No Women?

```
Person = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Man = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Woman = {}
Married = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
```

```
abstract sig Person {
    children: set Person,
    siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
    spouse: one Married
}
```

```
spouse = { (Man1, Man0), (Man0, Man2), (Man2, Man0) }
```

# Man is his own child ?

```
Instance found:
```

```
Person = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Man = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Woman = {}
Married = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
```

```
abstract sig Person {
    children: set Person,
    siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
    spouse: one Married
}
```

```
spouse = { (Man1, Man0), (Man0, Man2), (Man2, Man0) }
```

# Multiple Fathers?

Instance found:

```
Person = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Man = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Woman = {}
Married = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
```

```
abstract sig Person {
    children: set Person,
    siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
    spouse: one Married
}
```

```
spouse = { (Man1, Man0), (Man0, Man2), (Man2, Man0) }
```

# **Own-Siblings?**

```
Person = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Man = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Woman = {}
Married = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
```

```
abstract sig Person {
    children: set Person,
    siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
    spouse: one Married
}
```

```
spouse = { (Man1, Man0), (Man0, Man2), (Man2, Man0) }
```

# Asymmetric Siblings?

```
Instance found:
```

```
Person = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Man = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Woman = {}
Married = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
```

```
abstract sig Person {
    children: set Person,
    siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
    spouse: one Married
}
```

siblings = { (Man0, Man0), (Man0, Man1), (Man1, Man0), (Man1, Man2), (Man2, Man2) }

```
No (Man2, Man1)?
```

```
spouse = { (Man1, Man0), (Man0, Man2), (Man2, Man0) }
```

# Child and Sibling?

```
Person = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Man = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Woman = {}
Married = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
```

```
abstract sig Person {
    children: set Person,
    siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
    spouse: one Married
}
```

```
spouse = { (Man1, Man0), (Man0, Man2), (Man2, Man0) }
```

# Asymmetric Marriage?

### Instance found:

```
Person = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Man = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
Woman = {}
Married = {Man0,Man1,Man2}
```

```
abstract sig Person {
    children: set Person,
    siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
    spouse: one Married
}
```

spouse = { (Man1, Man0), (Man0, Man2), (Man2, Man0) } where is (Man0, Man1)?

### Model Weaknesses

- The model is underconstrained
  - It doesn't fully match our domain knowledge
  - We can add constraints to enrich the model
- Under-constrained models are common early on in the development process
  - The Alloy Analizer gives quick feedback on weaknesses in our model
  - We can incrementally add constraints until we are satisfied with it

# Adding Constraints

We'd like to enforce the following constraints which are simply matters of biology

- No person can have more than one (biological) father or mother

 People cannot be their own (biological) parent or, more generally, their own ancestor

A person's siblings are people with the same parents as the person's parents

## Adding Constraints

We'd like to enforce the following social constraints

- The spouse relation is symmetric

– You cannot marry your own sibling