

CS:5810 Formal Methods in Software Engineering

Introduction to Alloy 6

Part 2

Copyright 2001-22, Matt Dwyer, John Hatcliff, Rod Howell, Laurence Pilard, and Cesare Tinelli.

Created by Cesare Tinelli and Laurence Pilard at the University of Iowa from notes originally developed by Matt Dwyer, John Hatcliff, Rod Howell at Kansas State University. These notes are copyrighted materials and may not be used in other course settings outside of the University of Iowa in their current form or modified form without the express written permission of one of the copyright holders. During this course, students are prohibited from selling notes to or being paid for taking notes by any person or commercial firm without the express written permission of one of the copyright holders.

Alloys Constraints

- **Signatures** and **fields** resp. define **classes** (of atoms) and **relations** between them
- Alloy models can be refined further by adding **formulas** expressing **additional constraints** over those classes and relations
- Several operators are available to express both **logical** and **relational** constraints

Logical Operators

The usual **logical operators** are available, often in **two forms**:

<code>not</code> _	! _	(Boolean) negation
_ <code>and</code> _	_ <code>&&</code> _	conjunction
_ <code>or</code> _	_ <code> </code> _	disjunction
_ <code>implies</code> _	_ <code>=></code> _	implication
<code>else</code> _		alternative
_ <code>iff</code> _	_ <code><=></code> _	equivalence

Quantifiers

Alloy includes a rich collection of **quantifiers**

all $x: S \mid F$ states that F holds for **every** x in S

some $x: S \mid F$ states that F holds for **some** x in S

no $x: S \mid F$ states that F holds for **no** x in S

lone $x: S \mid F$ states that F holds for **at most one** x in S

one $x: S \mid F$ states that F holds for **exactly one** x in S

Quantifiers

Alloy includes a rich collection of **quantifiers**

all $x: S \mid F$ (e.g., **all** $m : \text{Man} \mid m \text{ in Person}$)

some $x: S \mid F$ (e.g., **some** $p : \text{Person} \mid p \text{ in Man}$)

no $x: S \mid F$ (e.g., **no** $p : \text{Person} \mid m \text{ in Man \& Woman}$)

lone $x: S \mid F$ (e.g., **lone** $m : \text{Man} \mid m \text{ in Matt.children}$)

one $x: S \mid F$ (e.g., **one** $m : \text{Woman} \mid m \text{ in Matt.children}$)

Everything is a Relation in Alloy

There are **no scalars**

- We never speak directly about elements (or tuples) of relations
- Instead, we can use **singleton unary** relations:

one sig Matt **extends** Man {}

Quantified variables **always** denote **singletons**

all $x : S \mid \dots x \dots$

$x = \{t\}$ for some element t of S

Predefined Set Constants

There are three predefined **set constants** in Alloy:

- **none** : empty set
- **univ** : universal set of all atoms
- **ident** : identity relation over all atoms

Example. For a model instance with just:

```
Man = { (M0), (M1), (M2) }  
Woman = { (W0), (W1) }
```

the constants have the values

```
none = {}  
univ = { (M0), (M1), (M2), (W0), (W1) }  
ident = { (M0, M0), (M1, M1), (M2, M2), (W0, W0), (W1, W1) }
```

Set Operators and Predicates

— + —	union	}	operators
— & —	intersection		
— - —	difference		
— in —	subset	}	predicates
— = —	equality		
— != —	disequality		

Example. Matt is a married man:

Matt **in** (Married & Man)

Relational Operators

$_ \rightarrow _$	arrow (cross product)
$_ \sim _$	transpose
$_ \cdot _$	dot join
$_ [_]$	box join
$_ \wedge _$	transitive closure
$_ * _$	reflexive-transitive closure
$_ < : _$	domain restriction
$_ : > _$	image restriction
$_ ++ _$	override

Arrow Product

$p \rightarrow q$

- p and q are two relations
- $p \rightarrow q$ is the relation you get by taking every combination of a tuple from p and a tuple from q and concatenating them (same as *flat* cross product)

Examples

Name = { (N0), (N1) }

Addr = { (D0), (D1) }

Book = { (B0) }

Name \rightarrow Addr = { (N0, D0), (N0, D1), (N1, D0), (N1, D1) }

Book \rightarrow Name \rightarrow Addr = { (B0, N0, D0), (B0, N0, D1), (B0, N1, D0), (B0, N1, D1) }

Transpose

$\sim p$

take the mirror image of the relation p ,
i.e., reverse the order of atoms in each tuple

Example

- $p = \{(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3), (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)\}$
- $\sim p = \{(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0), (b_3, b_2, b_1, b_0)\}$

How would you use \sim to express the **parents** relation if you already have the **children** relation?

\sim children

Relational Composition (Join)

$p \cdot q$

- p and q are two relations that are **not both unary**
- $p \cdot q$ is the relation you get by taking every combination of a tuple from p and a tuple from q and adding their *join*, if it exists

How to join tuples?

- What is the join of these two tuples?

(a_1, \dots, a_m) and (b_1, \dots, b_n)

- If $a_m \neq b_1$ then the join is undefined
- If $a_m = b_1$ then it is: $(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, b_2, \dots, b_n)$

Example

- $(a, b) \cdot (a, c, d)$ undefined
- $(a, b) \cdot (b, c, d) = (a, c, d)$

- What about $(a) \cdot (a)$? Not defined !

$t_1 \cdot t_2$ is not defined if t_1 and t_2 are **both** unary tuples

Examples


- **to** maps a message to the name(s) it should be sent to
- **address** maps names to addresses

`to = {(M0, N0), (M0, N2), (M1, N2), (M2, N3)}`

`address =`
`{(N0, D0), (N0, D1), (N1, D1), (N2, D3)}`

`to.address` maps a message to the address(es) it should be sent to

`to.address =`
`{(M0, D0), (M0, D1), (M0, D3), (M1, D3)}`



→ **to**
→ **address**
→ **to.address**

Exercise

What's the result of these join applications?

1. $\{(a, b), (a, c), (c, c)\} \cdot \{(c)\}$

2. $\{(a)\} \cdot \{(a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\}$

3. $\{(a, b)\} \cdot \{(b), (a)\}$

4. $\{(a)\} \cdot \{(a, b, c)\}$

5. $\{(a, b, c)\} \cdot \{(c, e), (c, d), (b, c)\}$

6. $\{(a, b)\} \cdot \{(a, b, c)\}$

7. $\{(a, b, c, d)\} \cdot \{(d, e, f), (d, a, b)\}$

8. $\{(b)\} \cdot \{(b)\}$

Exercises

1. Given a relation addr of arity 4 that contains the tuple $b \rightarrow n \rightarrow a \rightarrow t$ when book b maps name n to address a at time t , and given a specific book B and a time T :
 - $\text{addr} = \{ (B_0, N_0, D_0, T_0), (B_0, N_0, D_1, T_1), (B_0, N_1, D_2, T_0), (B_0, N_1, D_2, T_1), (B_1, N_2, D_3, T_0), (B_1, N_2, D_4, T_1) \}$
 - $T = \{ (T_1) \}$ $B = \{ (B_0) \}$
2. The expression $B.\text{addr}.T$ is the name-address mapping of book B at time T . What is the value of $B.\text{addr}.T$?
3. When p is a binary relation and q is a ternary relation, what is the arity of the relation $p.q$?
4. Join is not associative (i.e., $(p.q).r$ and $p.(q.r)$ are not always equivalent), why ?

Example: Family Structure

```
abstract sig Person {  
    children: set Person,  
    siblings: set Person  
}
```

```
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
```

```
one sig Matt extends Person {}
```

```
sig Married in Person {  
    spouse: one Married  
}
```

Example: Family Structure

```
abstract sig Person { children: set Person, siblings: set Person }
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
one sig Matt extends Man {}
sig Married in Person { spouse: one Married }
```

- How would you use join to find Matt's children or grandchildren ?
 - `Matt.children` // Matt's children
 - `Matt.children.children` // Matt's grandchildren
- What if we want to find **all** of Matt's descendants?

Example: Family Structure

```
abstract sig Person { children: set Person, siblings: set Person }  
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}  
sig Married in Person { spouse: one Married }
```

Every married person has a spouse and everyone with a spouse is married

One's spouse can't be one's sibling

Example: Family Structure

```
abstract sig Person { children: set Person, siblings: set Person }  
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}  
sig Married in Person { spouse: one Married }
```

*Every married person has a spouse and
everyone with a spouse is married*

(**all** m : Married | some m.spouse) and
(**all** p : Person | some p.spouse => p in Married)

One's spouse can't be one's sibling

no p : Married | p.spouse in p.siblings

Box Join

$p[q]$

- Semantically identical to dot join, but takes its arguments in different order

$$p[q] \equiv q.p$$

Example. Matt's children or grandchildren?

- $children[Matt]$ \equiv $Matt.children$
- $children.children[Matt]$ \equiv $(children.children)[Matt]$
 \equiv $Matt.(children.children)$
- $children[children[Matt]]$ \equiv $children[Matt.children]$
 \equiv $(Matt.children).children$

Transitive Closure

\hat{r}

- Intuitively, the transitive closure of a relation $r : S \rightarrow S$ is obtained by adding to r any pairs of elements connected by r -chains



- Formally, \hat{r} is the smallest transitive relation of type $S \rightarrow S$ that contains r

$$\hat{r} = r + r.r + r.r.r + r.r.r.r + \dots$$

Example: Family Structure

- What if we want to find Matt's ancestors or descendants ?
- How would you express the constraint
“No person can be their own ancestor”

Example: Family Structure

- What if we want to find Matt's ancestors or descendants ?

- `Matt.^children` // Matt's descendants
- `Matt.^(~children)` // Matt's ancestors
- `^(children).Matt` // also Matt's ancestors

- How would you express the constraint

“No person can be their own ancestor”

```
no p : Person | p in p.^(~children)
```


Domain and Image Restrictions

The restriction operators are used to **filter** relations to a given domain or image

If s is a set and r is a relation then

- $s \prec r$ contains tuples of r **starting** with an element in s
- $r \succ s$ contains tuples of r **ending** with an element in s

Example

```
Man = {(M0), (M1), (M2), (M3)}           Woman = {(W0), (W1)}
```

```
children = {(W0, M1), (W0, M2), (M3, W0), (M2, M1)}
```

```
// mother-child
```


```
Woman  $\prec$  children = {(W0, M1), (W0, M2)}
```

```
// parent-son
```

```
children  $\succ$  Man = {(W0, M1), (W0, M2), (M2, M1)}
```

Reflexive-transitive closure

$$*r \equiv \hat{r} + (\text{iden} :> S) \quad \text{for } r : S \rightarrow S$$



$*r$ is the smallest reflexive and transitive relation of type $S \rightarrow S$ that contains r

Override


$p \ ++ \ q$

- p and q are two relations of **arity two or more**
- the result is like the union between p and q except that tuples of q can replace tuples of p :
drop a tuple (a, \dots) in p if there is a tuple in q starting with a
- $p \ ++ \ q \equiv p - (\text{domain}(q) <: p) + q$

Example

- $\text{oldAddr} = \{(N0, D0), (N1, D1), (N1, D2)\}$
- $\text{newAddr} = \{(N1, D4), (N3, D3)\}$
- $\text{oldAddr} \ ++ \ \text{newAddr} = \{(N0, D0), (N1, D4), (N3, D3)\}$

Operator Precedence



Parsing Conventions

All binary operators associate to the left, except for implication which associates to the right

Ex. $a \ \& \ b \ \& \ c$ is parsed as $(a \ \& \ b) \ \& \ c$
 $p \ \Rightarrow \ q \ \Rightarrow \ r$ is parsed as $p \ \Rightarrow \ (q \ \Rightarrow \ r)$

In an implication, an else-clause is associated with its closest then-clause

Ex. $p \ \Rightarrow \ q \ \Rightarrow \ r \ \text{else} \ s$ is parsed as $p \ \Rightarrow \ (q \ \Rightarrow \ r \ \text{else} \ s)$

Note: The scope of a quantifier extends as far as possible to the right

Ex. $\text{all } x : A \mid p \ \&\& \ q \ \Rightarrow \ r$ is parsed as $\text{all } x : A \mid (p \ \&\& \ q \ \Rightarrow \ r)$

Example: Family Structure

How would you express the constraint

“No one can have more than one father and mother”?

all $p : \text{Person} \mid (\text{lone}(\text{children}.p) \ \& \ \text{Man}) \ \text{and}$
 $(\text{lone}(\text{children}.p) \ \& \ \text{Woman})$

No $p \mid A$ every $p \mid \text{not } A$

Everyone has at most one father and at most one mother

```
abstract sig Person {
  children: set Person
  siblings: set Person
}
sig Man extends Person {}
sig Woman extends Person {}
one sig Matt extends Man {}
sig Married in Person {
  spouse: one Married
}
```

Example: Family Structure

How would you express the constraint

“No one can have more than one father and mother”?

```
all p: Person |  
  ((lone (children.p & Man)) and  
   (lone (children.p & Woman)))
```

Equivalently:

```
all p: Person |  
  ((lone (Man <: children).p) and  
   (lone (Woman <: children).p))
```

```
abstract sig Person {  
  children: set Person  
  siblings: set Person  
}  
sig Man extends Person {}  
sig Woman extends Person {}  
one sig Matt extends Man {}  
sig Married in Person {  
  spouse: one Married  
}
```

Example: Family Structure

How would you express the constraint

“No one can have more than one father and mother”?

```
all p: Person |  
  lone children.p & Man and  
  lone children.p & Woman
```

Equivalently:

```
all p: Person |  
  lone (Man <: children).p and  
  lone (Woman <: children).p
```

```
abstract sig Person {  
  children: set Person  
  siblings: set Person  
}  
sig Man extends Person {}  
sig Woman extends Person {}  
one sig Matt extends Man {}  
sig Married in Person {  
  spouse: one Married  
}
```


Set Comprehension

$\{ x : S \mid F \}$

– the set of values drawn from set S for which F holds

How would use the comprehension notation to specify the set of people with the same parents as Matt and have no children?

$\{ p: \text{Person} \mid p.\text{parent} = \text{Matt.parent and no } p.\text{children} \}$

(assuming `Person` has a `parents` field)

Set Comprehension

$\{ x : S \mid F \}$

– the set of values drawn from set S for which F holds

How would use the comprehension notation to specify the set of people with the same parents as Matt?

$\{ q: \text{Person} \mid q.\text{parents} = \text{Matt}.\text{parents} \}$

(assuming `Person` has a `parents` field)

Example: Family Structure

How would you express the constraint

“A person P 's siblings are those people, other than P , with the same parents as P ”

Example: Family Structure

How would you express the constraint

“A person P’s siblings are those people, other than P, with the same parents as P”

```
all p: Person |  
    p.siblings = { q: Person | p.parents = q.parents } - p
```

Also

```
all p: Person |  
    p.siblings = { q: Person - p | p.parents = q.parents }
```

Let

You can factor expressions out:

let $x = e \mid A$

- Each occurrence of the variable x in A will be replaced by the expression e

Example. *Every married man has a wife, and every married woman has a husband*

Let

You can factor expressions out:

`let x = e | A`

- Each occurrence of the variable `x` in `A` will be replaced by the expression `e`

Example. *Every married man has a wife, and every married woman has a husband*

```
all p: Married |  
  let q = p.spouse |  
    (p in Man => q in Woman) and  
    (p in Woman => q in Man)
```

Let

You can factor expressions out:

```
let x = e { A1 ... An }
```

- Each occurrence of the variable x in A will be replaced by the expression e

Example. *Every married man has a wife, and every married woman has a husband*

```
all p: Married |  
  let q = p.spouse {  
    p in Man => q in Woman  
    p in Woman => q in Man  
  }
```

Exercise

```
abstract sig Person { children: set Person, siblings: set Person }  
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}  
sig Married in Person { spouse: one Married }
```

Write facts stating the following:

1. People married to each other have the same children
2. Siblings have the same father and the same mother

Exercise

```
abstract sig Person { children: set Person, siblings: set Person }
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person { spouse: one Married }
```

Write facts stating the following:

1. People married to each other have the same children

```
all p: Married | p.children = p.spouse.children
```

2. Siblings have the same father and mother

Exercise

```
abstract sig Person { children: set Person, siblings: set Person }
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
sig Married in Person { spouse: one Married }
```

Write facts stating the following:

1. People married to each other have the same children
2. Siblings have the same father and mother

```
all p: Person | all q: p.siblings {
  children.p & Man = children.(p.siblings) & Man
  children.p & Woman = children.(p.siblings) & Woman
}
```

Exercise

```
abstract sig Person { children: set Person, siblings: set Person }  
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}  
sig Married in Person { spouse: one Married }  
one sig Ann, Jane extends Woman {}
```

Write facts stating the following:

1. Jane is Ann's mother
2. Jane is married to Ann's father
3. Ann's parents have one sibling each
4. Ann is Jane's only daughter
5. Unmarried people can have children
6. Everybody is somebody's child

Acknowledgements

The family structure example is based on an example by Daniel Jackson distributed with the Alloy Analyzer