



## MATH 4210 Final Exam INFORMATION

Take home.

- The exam will be posted on ICON on Tuesday May 12 in the evening about 8 pm
- Exam is due 11:59 pm Thursday May 14, 2020 due on ICON for MATH 4210 0A01

Long Questions will be chosen from Chapters 5 & 7.

Chap 5: Do 2 of 3 given questions

Chap 7: Do 2 of 3 given questions

True/False: Do it all

For a total 5 questions.

If you answer more than 2 questions in either chap 5 or 7; The first 2 will be graded.

### PLEASE

Either type or write legibly and large

Do the following for better scanned copies:

- Use white paper
- Use black ink, not pencil (doesn't scan well)
- Use every other line
- Write large,

May 8, 2020 ①

## An IMPORTANT APPLICATION (of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ complete)

Thm: Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space.

$T: X \rightarrow X$  be such that,  $\exists r, 0 \leq r < 1$   
 $d(T(x), T(y)) \leq r d(x, y)$ .

Then  $\exists$  a unique  $x_0 \in X$  s.t.  $T(x_0) = x_0$ .

Known as Banach Fixed pt Thm, or  
Contraction Principle. (Rudin p 220)

Proof Uniqueness.

If  $T(x_0) = x_0$  and  $T(y_0) = y_0$ , then

$$d(x_0, y_0) = d(T(x_0), T(y_0)) \leq r d(x_0, y_0)$$

Which is not possible for  $0 \leq r < 1$  when  $d(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$

So  $x_0 = y_0$ .

Existence Let  $x_1 \in X$  be an arbitrary pt.

Define  $x_2 = T(x_1)$ ,  
 $x_{n+1} = T(x_n) \forall n$ .

Let  $d(x_1, x_2) = A$ . Claim  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq r^{n-1} A$

$n=1$  is  $d(x_1, x_2) = A$ .

$\forall n \geq 1, d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq r^{n-1} A \Rightarrow$

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = d(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))$$

$$\leq r d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq r \cdot r^{n-1} A = r^n A \#$$

proves the claim by induction

If  $A=0$ , then  $x_1$  is the fixed point:  $f(x_1) = x_2 = x_1$ ,

We may assume  $A > 0$ .

$\forall N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$d(x_N, x_{N+k}) \leq d(x_N, x_{N+1}) + d(x_{N+1}, x_{N+2}) + \dots + d(x_{N+k-1}, x_{N+k})$$

$$\leq (r^{N-1} + r^N + \dots + r^{N+k-2}) A$$

$$\leq r^{N-1} A (1 + r + r^2 + \dots + r^{k-1})$$

$$\leq r^{N-1} A \frac{1}{1-r} \quad (0 \leq r < 1)$$

since  $r^N \rightarrow 0$  for  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad r^{N-1} < \frac{1-r}{A} \varepsilon$

Hence  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \forall n, m \geq N, m > n$

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq r^{n-1} A \frac{1}{1-r} \leq r^{N-1} A \frac{1}{1-r} < \varepsilon$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $\bar{X}$ .  $\bar{X}$  complete, so

$$\exists x_0 \in \bar{X}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$$

Since  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta = \varepsilon$  s.t.

$$\forall x, y \in \bar{X} \quad d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow d(T(x), T(y)) \leq r d(x, y) < \varepsilon.$$

$$T \text{ is continuous. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = T(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = T(x_0)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x_0$$

$$\Rightarrow T(x_0) = x_0.$$

Prop 1 Let  $t_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  
 $\varepsilon, M, C, R > 0$  be s.t.  $0 < \varepsilon < \min(\frac{1}{C}, \frac{R}{M})$ .

If  $f(t, y) : \mathcal{D} = I_t \times I_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

where

$$I_t = [t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon], \quad I_y = [y_0 - R, y_0 + R]$$

satisfying that

(1)  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\sup_{\mathcal{D}} |f(t, y)| \leq M$ ;

and

(2)  $f$  is uniformly Lipschitz in  $y$  with  
 $C > 0 : |f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2)| \leq C |y_1 - y_2|$   
 $\forall t \in I_t \quad \forall y_1, y_2 \in I_y$

Then  $T(\varphi)(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds$

defines a transformation

$T : \mathcal{C}_0 = \{ \varphi : I_t \rightarrow I_y \mid \varphi \text{ continuous} \} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$   
 satisfying

$$\|T(\varphi_1) - T(\varphi_2)\| \leq r \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|$$

for  $r = \varepsilon C < 1$ .

Remarks  $f$  is continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of  $(t_0, y_0) \Rightarrow$  one finds  $M, C, R$ , then picks  $\varepsilon$  s.t.  
 $0 < \varepsilon < \min(\frac{1}{C}, \frac{R}{M})$  to satisfy the hypothesis.

(4)

## FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ODE (Picard-Lindelöf)

Let the initial value problem be given

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dt} &= f(y, t) \\ y(t_0) &= y_0 \end{aligned} \right\} (*)$$

where  $f$  is continuous and uniformly Lipschitz in  $y$ . Then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.

$\exists$  a function  $y(t): (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (\*).

Proof of Prop I  $\Rightarrow$  FTODE

One finds  $M, C, R$  and picks  $0 < \varepsilon < \min(\frac{1}{C}, \frac{R}{M})$  to satisfy Prop I.

Define  $T$ : as in Prop I  $T: \mathcal{C}_0 = \{ \varphi: I_t \rightarrow I_y \mid \varphi \text{ continuous and bounded} \} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$

$\mathcal{C}_0$  is complete, since  $\mathcal{C}(I_t)$  is complete

Shown in Prop I

$T$  is a contraction mapping with  $0 < r < 1$ .

$\exists \varphi_0$  a fixed point of  $T$ :  $T(\varphi_0) = \varphi_0$

$\varphi_0(t) = T(\varphi_0)(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi_0(s)) ds$ . By FTC calculus:

$$\varphi_0'(t) = f(t, \varphi_0(t))$$

$$\varphi_0(t_0) = y_0$$

#

Proof Prop I Let  $\varphi: I_t \rightarrow I_r$  be continuous

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad |(\mathcal{T}\varphi)(t) - y_0| &= \left| \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t |f(s, \varphi(s))| ds \right| \leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t M ds \right| \leq (t-t_0) M \\ &\leq \varepsilon M \leq R. \end{aligned}$$

$\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , since integral of a continuous function is also continuous, and  $\mathcal{T}\varphi(t) \in [y_0 - R, y_0 + R] \in I_y$ .

$\textcircled{2}$

For  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{T}\varphi_1)(t) - (\mathcal{T}\varphi_2)(t)| &= \left| \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi_1(s)) - f(s, \varphi_2(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t |f(s, \varphi_1(s)) - f(s, \varphi_2(s))| ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t C |\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)| ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t C \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| ds \right| \leq C |t-t_0| \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \\ &\leq \underbrace{C \varepsilon}_r \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \\ &\leq r \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\|(\mathcal{T}\varphi_1) - \mathcal{T}\varphi_2\| = \sup_{t \in I_t} |(\mathcal{T}\varphi_1)(t) - (\mathcal{T}\varphi_2)(t)| \leq r \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|.$$

$r = \varepsilon C < 1$  since  $\varepsilon < \min\left(\frac{1}{C}, \frac{R}{M}\right)$