## PROBLEM SET 5: §2.1

**Exercise 6:** Let  $\phi(u, v) = (u, v, u^2 + v^2)$  be a Monge patch on the paraboloid. Then the parameter curves for  $u_0 = 0$  and for  $v_0 = 0$  respectively are the parabolas:

$$\phi(0, v) = (0, v, v^2)$$
 and  $\phi(u, 0) = (u, 0, u^2)$ 

**Exercise 12:** Consider the catenoid obtained by revolving the catenary  $y = \cosh x$  about the x-axis. The catenoid can be described implicitly as  $\{(x, y, z) \mid y^2 + z^2 = \cosh^2 x\}$ , or equivalently  $\{(x, y, z) \mid \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} = \cosh x\}$ . (Note that  $\cosh x > 0$  for all x.) The following is a patch on the catenoid:

 $\phi(u, v) = (u, \cos v \cosh u, \sin v \cosh x)$  with  $-\infty \le u \le \infty$  and  $0 \le v \le 2\pi$ 

**Exercise 19:** Consider the standard cone  $\{(x, y, z) \mid z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\}$  and the standard cylinder  $\{(x, y, z) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ . These have respective ruled parameterizations:

$$\phi(u, v) = (0, 0, 0) + v(\cos u, \sin u, 1)$$
 with  $0 \le u \le 2\pi$  and  $0 \le v < \infty$ 

and

$$\psi(u,v) = (\cos u, \sin u, 0) + v(0,0,1) \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < u < 2\pi \quad \text{and} \quad -\infty < v < \infty$$

**Exercise 20:** Consider the saddle surface given by  $z = xy = r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta = \frac{1}{2}r^2 \cos 2\theta$ . We can rule the surface by:

$$\begin{split} \phi(u,v) &= \beta(u) + v \delta(u) \quad \text{with} \quad \beta(u) = (0,u,0) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(u) = (1,0,u) \\ \text{with} \quad -\infty < u < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad -\infty < v < \infty \end{split}$$

**Exercise 24:** Suppose M is a surface ruled by the parameterization  $\phi(u, v) = \beta(u) + v\delta(u)$  with  $|\beta'| \equiv 1$  and  $|\delta| \equiv 1$ . Also assume  $\delta'$  is nonvanishing. We claim that M may be reparameterized by  $\psi(u, w) = \gamma(u) + w\delta(u)$ , where  $\gamma' \bullet \delta' = 0$ .

Because  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  rule the surface, we may write  $\gamma(u) = \beta(u) + r(u)\delta(u)$ . Noting that  $1 = \delta \bullet \delta$  implies that  $0 = (\delta \bullet \delta)' = 2\delta \bullet \delta'$ , (and recalling that  $\delta' \bullet \delta' \neq 0$ ) we now have:

$$\gamma' = \beta' + r'\delta + r\delta'$$
$$0 = \gamma' \bullet \delta' = \beta' \bullet \delta' + r' \underbrace{\delta \bullet \delta'}_{=0} + r\delta' \bullet \delta'$$
$$-\beta' \bullet \delta' = r\delta' \bullet \delta'$$
$$-\frac{\beta'(u) \bullet \delta'(u)}{\delta'(u) \bullet \delta'(u)} = r(u)$$

Date: 18 October 2013.

## PROBLEM SET 5: §2.1

Now letting w = v - r(u), the fact that  $\beta + v\delta$  rules M implies that  $\gamma + w\delta$  does as well:

$$\gamma(u) + w\delta(u) = (\beta(u) + r(u)\delta(u)) + w\delta(u) = (\beta(u) + (v - w)\delta(u)) + w\delta(u) = \beta(u) + v\delta(u)$$

Such a curve  $\gamma$  is called a line of striction for M. Finally, we also claim that any point on M where  $\psi_u \times \psi_w = (0, 0, 0)$  must lie on the line of striction. First we compute:

$$\psi_u = \gamma' + w\delta' \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_w = \delta$$
  
(0, 0, 0) =  $\psi_u \times \psi_w$   
=  $(\gamma' + w\delta') \times (\delta)$   
=  $\gamma' \times \delta + w(\delta' \times \delta)$  (\*)

Now, recalling that  $\delta' \perp \delta$  and  $\gamma' \perp \delta$ , we observe that we everywhere we have one of  $\delta \times \gamma' = 0$  or  $\delta' \parallel \delta \times \gamma'$ . Hence, taking norm squared on both sides of (\*), we have:

$$0 = \left|\gamma' \times \delta\right|^2 + w^2 \left|\delta' \times \delta\right|^2 + 2w \underbrace{\left(\gamma' \times \delta\right) \bullet \left(\delta' \times \delta\right)}_{=0}$$

This can only be the case if w = 0, i.e. if we are on the line of striction. We conclude as claimed that the only points where  $\psi_u \times \psi_w = 0$  are on the line of striction.