

Define  $h : H^n(C; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G)$ ,  $h([\phi]) = \overline{\phi_0}$  as follows:

If  $[\phi] \in H^n(C; G)$ , then  $\phi \in Z^n = \text{Ker}(\delta)$ .

I.e.,  $\phi : C_n \rightarrow G$  such that  $\delta\phi = \phi\partial = 0$ . Recall that  $B_n = \partial(C_{n+1})$ .

**Note:**  $\phi \in \text{Ker}(\delta) = Z^n$  iff  $\phi(\partial(C_{n+1})) = \phi(B_n) = 0$ .

Since  $\phi(B_n) = 0$ ,  $\phi_0 = \phi|_{Z_n}$  induces quotient homomorphism:

$$\overline{\phi_0} : Z_n/B_n = H_n \rightarrow G.$$

Thus  $\overline{\phi_0} \in \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G)$

Claim:  $h$  is onto:

Suppose  $\phi_0 : Z_n \rightarrow G$ .  $B_{n-1}$  free implies the following SES splits:

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_n \xrightarrow{i} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} B_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

Thus  $\exists p : C_n \rightarrow Z_n$  such that  $p \circ i = id_{Z_n}$

Let  $\phi = \phi_0 \circ p : C_n \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow G$ . Then  $\phi|_{Z_n} = \phi_0$ .

If  $\phi_0(B_n) = 0$ , then  $\phi(B_n) = 0$ . Thus  $\phi \in \text{Ker}(\delta)$ .

$$\text{Hom}(H_n(C), G) \longrightarrow \text{ker}\delta \longrightarrow \frac{\text{ker}(\delta)}{\text{im}(\delta)} = H^n(C, G) \xrightarrow{h} \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G)$$

$$\overline{\phi_0} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \phi \quad \longrightarrow \quad [\phi] \quad \xrightarrow{h} \quad \overline{\phi_0}$$

Thus we have a split exact SES:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(h) \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \xrightarrow{h} \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G) \rightarrow 0$$

$\text{Ker}(h) = ?$

The dualization of the following chain map between split SES

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i} & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow 0 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z_n & \xrightarrow{i} & C_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

gives us the following chain maps between split SES:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & Z_{n+1}^* & \xleftarrow{i^*} & C_{n+1}^* & \xleftarrow{\delta} & B_n^* & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow 0 & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow 0 & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & Z_n^* & \xleftarrow{i^*} & C_n^* & \xleftarrow{\delta} & B_{n-1}^* & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Note that the following is a chain complex with  $\frac{\text{ker}}{\text{im}} = X$

$$\dots \xleftarrow{0} X \xleftarrow{0} X \xleftarrow{0} X \xleftarrow{0} \dots$$

Thus the chain maps above imply the LES:

$$\dots \longleftarrow B_n^* \xleftarrow{i_n^*} Z_n^* \xleftarrow{i_n^*} H^n(C; G) \xleftarrow{\delta_n^*} B_{n-1}^* \longleftarrow Z_{n-1}^* \longleftarrow \dots$$

LES implies SES:

$$0 \longleftarrow \text{Ker}(i_n^*) \longleftarrow H^n(C; G) \longleftarrow \text{Coker}(i_{n-1}^*) = \frac{B_{n-1}^*}{Z_{n-1}^*} \longleftarrow 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(i_n^*) &= \{\phi_0 : Z_n \rightarrow G \mid \phi_0(B_n) = 0\} \\ &\cong \{\overline{\phi_0} : Z_n/B_n = H_n \rightarrow G\} = \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G) \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coker}(i_{n-1}^*) = \frac{B_{n-1}^*}{Z_{n-1}^*} \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G) \rightarrow 0$$

The following is a free resolution of  $H_{n-1}(C)$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow B_{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} Z_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

with (non-exact) dualization

$$0 \longleftarrow B_{n-1}^* \xleftarrow{i^*} Z_{n-1}^* \xleftarrow{\partial^*} H_{n-1}^*(C) \longleftarrow 0$$

$$\text{Thus } \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C), G) = \frac{B_{n-1}^*}{\text{im}(i^*)} = \frac{B_{n-1}^*}{Z_{n-1}^*}$$

Thus we have the SES:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C), G) \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G) \rightarrow 0$$

$\text{Ext}(H_{-1}(C), G) = 0$  since  $H_{-1} = 0$ .

$\text{Ext}(H_0(C), G) = 0$  since  $H_0$  is free abelian

Thus for  $n = 0, 1$ :  $H^n(C; G) \cong \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G)$  since

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(C), G) \rightarrow 0$$

## Section 2.3: The Formal Viewpoint (Homology)

**Definition 1.** A (reduced) homology theory is a sequence of covariant functors  $\tilde{h}_n$  from the category of CW complexes to the category of abelian groups which satisfy the following axioms.

- (1) If  $f \simeq g$ , then  $f_* = g_*: \tilde{h}_n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{h}_n(Y)$ .
- (2) There are boundary homomorphisms  $\partial: \tilde{h}_n(X/A) \rightarrow \tilde{h}_{n-1}(A)$  defined for each CW pair  $(X, A)$ , fitting into an exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} \tilde{h}_n(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} \tilde{h}_n(X) \xrightarrow{q_*} \tilde{h}_n(X/A) \xrightarrow{\partial} \tilde{h}_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} \cdots,$$

where  $i: A \rightarrow X$  and  $q: X \rightarrow X/A$  are respectively the evident inclusion and quotient maps. Furthermore, the boundary maps are natural: for  $f: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  inducing a quotient map  $\bar{f}: X/A \rightarrow Y/B$ , the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{h}_n(X/A) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \tilde{h}_{n-1}(A) \\ \bar{f}_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ \tilde{h}_n(Y/B) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \tilde{h}_{n-1}(B) \end{array}$$

commute.

- (3) For a wedge sum  $X = \bigvee_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$  with inclusions  $i_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X$ , the direct sum map

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha} (i_{\alpha})_*: \bigoplus_{\alpha} \tilde{h}_n(X_{\alpha}) \rightarrow \tilde{h}_n(X)$$

is an isomorphism for all  $n$ .