6.3 Derangements

Suppose each person in a group of n friends brings a gift to a party. In how many ways can the n gifts be distributed so that each person receives one gift and no person receives their own gift.

Let the set of friends = $\{p_1, ..., p_n\}$ where p_j = person j. Let the set of gifts = $\{g_1, ..., g_n\}$ where g_j = the gift brought by person j.

Suppose $f : \{p_1, ..., p_n\} \to \{g_1, ..., g_n\},$ $f(p_k) = g_j$ iff person p_k receives give g_j , the gift brought by person j. If each person receives one gift, then f is a bijection. If no person receives their own gift. Then $f(p_j) \neq g_j$.

In simpler notation, $f: \{1, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $f(j) \neq j$

Recall:

a permutation on $\{1, ..., n\}$ is a bijection $f : \{1, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., n\}$

Ex: The permutation 1 2 3 4 5 corresponds to the identity function.

Ex: The permutation 1 3 2 corresponds to the function f(1) = 1, f(2) = 2, f(3) = 2

Defn: A derangement of $\{1, ..., n\}$ is a permutation $i_1 i_2 ... i_n$ such that $i_j \neq j$. I.e, j is not in the jth place.

In function notation: $f(j) = i_j$, then if $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n$ is a derangement, $f(j) \neq j$.

In yet other wording, recall a permutation corresponds to the placement of n non-attacking rooks on an $n \times n$ chessboard.

Ex: The permutation 1 3 2 corresponds to the following rook placement:



A derangement corresponds to non-attacking rook placement with forbidden positions along the diagonal (j, j), for j = 1, ..., n.

Ex: If rooks are placed on the following 3×3 chessboard in non-attacking position, then the rook placement corresponds to a derangement if no rook is placed in a spot marked with an X.





Thus the derangements of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ are 2 3 1 and 3 1 2.

Let D_n = the number of derangements of $\{1, ..., n\}$. Thus $D_3 = 2$. Thm 6.3.1: For $n \ge 1$, $D_n = n! (1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} - \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{1}{n!})$

 $\frac{\text{Pf: Use the inclusion and exclusion principle: If } A_i \subset S, \\ \overline{\cup A_i} = |S| - \sum_{j=1}^n |A_j| + \sum_{i,j} |A_i \cap A_j| - \dots + (-1)^n |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n|.$

Choose S. What can we count which contains the set of derangements?

Let S = the set of permutations of $\{1, ..., n\}$. Then |S| = n!.

Choose A_j such that the set of derangements $= \overline{\cup}A_j$. Let A_j = set of permutations such that j is in the jth spot.

 $|A_j| = (n-1)!$ since there is only one choice for the *j*th spot (namely *j*), leaving n-1 terms to permute in the remaining n-1 places.

 $|A_i \cap A_j| = (n-2)!$ since there is only one choice for the *i*th spot (namely *i*) and only one choice for the *j*th spot (namely *j*), leaving n-2 terms to permute in the remaining n-2 places.

Similarly, $|A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap ... \cap A_{i_k}| = (n-k)!.$

Thus $D_n = n! - \sum_{j=1}^n (n-1)! + \sum_{i,j} (n-2)! - \dots + (-1)^n (n-n)!$

$$= \binom{n}{0} n! - \binom{n}{1} (n-1)! + \binom{n}{2} (n-2)! - \dots + \binom{n}{n} (-1)^n 0!$$

 $= n! - \frac{n!}{1!} + \frac{n!}{2!} + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{n!}{n!} = n! (1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{1}{n!})$

Recall $\binom{n}{k}$ = number of ways to choose $k A_i$'s.

Sidenote: Finding the number of derangements is often called the hat check problem, because in the old days it was sometimes stated in the following terms: If n men check their hats, what is the probability that the hats are returned so that no one received their own hat.

Recall: If $E \subset S$, then the probability of $E = P(E) = \frac{|E|}{|S|}$

S =sample space, E =events.

Note: we assume each outcome is equally likely.

Suppose 4 customers at a restaurant order 4 meals. What is the probability that a waiter delivers these 4 orders to the 4 customers so that no customer receives what they ordered?

Answer: $\frac{D_4}{4!} = 1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$

The probability that a permutation of $\{1, ..., n\}$ is a derangement $= \frac{D_n}{n!} = 1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + ... + (-1)^n \frac{1}{n!}$

Recall Taylor's expansion from Calculus I, $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{j!}.$

Thus $e^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{1}{j!}$.

Thus e^{-1} is a good approximation for the probability of a derangement for n (slightly) large.

Thus the probability of a derangement is about the same when n = 5 as it is for n = 50000000000.

We can derive a recursive formula for D_n (we will look at many

recursive formulas in chapter 7).

Lemma A: $D_n = (n-1)(D_{n-2} + D_{n-1})$ for $n \ge 3$.

Note the above formula is a recursive formula as we can determine D_n by calculating D_k for k < n.

Note $D_1 = 0$, $D_2 = 1$ (as 2 1 is the only derangement of $\{1, 2\}$).

Thus $D_3 = 2(0+1) = 2$, $D_4 = 3(1+2) = 9$, $D_5 = 4(2+9) = 44$, etc.

Combinatorial proof of lemma A:

Let \mathcal{D}_n = the set of derangements of $\{1, ..., n\}$.

 D_n = the number of derangements of $\{1, ..., n\} = |\mathcal{D}_n|$.

We need to show that D_n is a product of n-1 and $D_{n-2}+D_{n-1}$. If we can partition \mathcal{D}_n into n-1 subsets where each subset has $D_{n-2}+D_{n-1}$ elements, we can use the multiplication principle to show $D_n = (n-1)(D_{n-2}+D_{n-1})$.

Let's focus on one of the positions of a derangement. The last (nth) position of our derangement can be anything except n. Thus there are n-1 choices for the last (nth) position. Note the factor n-1 appears in our formula.

Let \mathcal{R}_k = the set of derangements of $\{1, ..., n\}$ where k is in the nth position for k = 1, ..., n - 1.

Then $\mathcal{D}_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{R}_n$

Let $r_k = |\mathcal{R}_k|$ the number of derangements such that k is in the nth position.

Note that $r_1 = r_2 = ... = r_{n-1}$ (while $r_n = 0$).

Then $D_n = r_1 + \dots + r_{n-1} = r_{n-1} + \dots + r_{n-1} = (n-1)r_{n-1}$.

Thus we have (hopefully) simplified our problem to showing that $D_{n-2} + D_{n-1} = r_{n-1}$ = the number of derangements such that n-1 is in the *n*th position.

We need to partition the permutations in \mathcal{R}_{n-1} into two sets, one with D_{n-2} elements and the other with D_{n-1} elements.

We can easily take care of D_{n-2} . The numbers n-1 and n do not appear in any derangement of $\{1, ..., n-2\}$. In \mathcal{R}_{n-1} , n-1 appears in the last position. We can take a look at the derangements in \mathcal{R}_{n-1} , such that n appears in the (n-1)st position. If we remove the nth and (n-1)st entries, we obtain a derangement in \mathcal{D}_{n-2} .

Ex: for
$$n = 5$$
, $23154 \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1} \rightarrow 231 \in \mathcal{D}_{n-2}$.

Thus D_{n-2} = the number of derangements of \mathcal{R}_{n-1} (such that n-1 is in the *n*th position and) *n* is in the (n-1)st position.

We can now look at the remaining derangements in \mathcal{R}_{n-1} where n is not in the (n-1)st position.

Let \mathcal{P}_n the set of derangement where n-1 is in the *n*th position and k is in the (n-1)st position for some $k \neq n, n-1$ (I.e, $k \leq n-2$). We would like to show that D_{n-1} = the number of derangements of $\{1, ..., n-1\}$ such that n-1 is in the *n*th position and k is in the (n-1)st position for some $k \leq n-2 = |\mathcal{P}_n|$.

Let \mathcal{D}_{n-1} = the set of derangements of $\{1, ..., n-1\}$.

We would like to create a bijection from \mathcal{P}_n to \mathcal{D}_{n-1}

Note that the differences between \mathcal{P}_n and \mathcal{D}_{n-1} . A derangement in \mathcal{P}_n has *n* terms, while a derangement in \mathcal{D}_{n-1} has n-1 terms. Thus we need to remove a term to go from \mathcal{P}_n to \mathcal{D}_{n-1} .

If $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then $i_n = n - 1$ and $i_{n-1} = k$ for some $k \leq n - 2$. Also $i_j = n$ for some j.

In \mathcal{D}_{n-1} , $i_{n-1} = k$ for some $k \leq n-2$ (by definition of derangement of $\{1, ..., n-1\}$, so we have no problems with the (n-1)st term.

However, we have the following differences between \mathcal{P}_n and \mathcal{D}_{n-1} : $i_1i_2...i_n$ has n terms and n appears somewhere in $i_1i_2...i_n$, and $i_n = n - 1$, so the placement of n - 1 doesn't vary. We can fix this by removing the nth term and replacing $i_j = n$ with $i_j = n - 1$

Let $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Then $i_n = n - 1$ and $i_{n-1} = k$ for some $k \leq n - 2$.

Create $a_1a_2...a_{n-1}$, a derangement of $\{1, ..., n-1\}$ by

let
$$a_l = \begin{cases} i_l & \text{if } i_l \neq n, \ 1 \leq l \leq n-1 \\ n-1 & \text{if } i_l = n \end{cases}$$

Ex: For $n = 5, 25314 \in |\mathcal{P}_n| \to 2431 \in |\mathcal{D}_{n-1}|$.

This gives us a bijection between \mathcal{P}_n and \mathcal{D}_{n-1} . Thus $D_{n-1} = |\mathcal{P}_n|$.

Another (simpler) recurrance relation:

Lemma B: $D_n = nD_{n-1} + (-1)^n$ for $n \ge 2$

Proof by induction on n.

 $kD_{k-1} + (-1)^k$

 $n = 2: D_{2} = 1 \text{ (use definition or Thm 6.3.1)}$ $2D_{1} + (-1)^{2} = 2(0) + 1 = 1.$ Thus $D_{n} = nD_{n-1} + (-1)^{n}$ holds for n = 2.Suppose $D_{k-1} = (k-1)D_{k-2} + (-1)^{k-1}$ for k < nBy lemma A, $D_{k} = (k-1)D_{k-2} + (k-1)D_{k-1}$ By the induction hypothesis, $D_{k-1} = (k-1)D_{k-2} + (-1)^{k-1}.$ Thus $(k-1)D_{k-2} = D_{k-1} - (-1)^{k-1}$ Thus $D_{k} = D_{k-1} - (-1)^{k-1} + (k-1)D_{k-1} = kD_{k-1} + (-1)(-1)^{k-1} = \mathbf{I}$

6.4 Permutations with Forbidden Positions

Goal: To **derive** a more general formula for counting the number of permutations with arbitrary forbidden positions.

Recall in section 6.3, we looked at permutations with forbid-

den positions A derangement corresponds to non-attacking rook placement with forbidden positions along the diagonal (j, j), for j = 1, ..., n. In this section, we will cover arbitrary forbidden positions.

Let
$$X_j \subset \{1, ..., n\}$$
 for $j = 1, ..., n$.

Defn: $P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ = the set of permutations $i_1 i_2 ... i_n$ of $\{1, ..., n\}$ such that $i_i \notin X_i$.

Defn: $p(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = |P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)|$

Ex: $P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ corresponds to the set of derangements of $\{1, ..., n\}$ if $X_j = \{j\}$. Thus $D_n = |P(\{1\}, \{2\}, ..., \{n\})|$

Recall, we can visualize permutations with forbidden positions via $n \times n$ chessboards.

Ex: Derangements of $\{1, 2, 3\}$: $X_j = \{j\}.$





Non-derangement example:

 $n = 4, X_i = \{j, j+1\}, j = 1, 2, 3, X_4 = \emptyset.$ X X X X X







 $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = P(\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \emptyset)$ $= \{3124, 3412, 3421, 4123\}.$

$$p(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = p(\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \emptyset)$$

= $|\{3124, 3412, 3421, 4123\}| = 4.$

We can use the inclusion-exclusion principle to calculate $p(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ (although in many cases, the computation can be tediously long and beyond computer capabilities for large n).

Similar to the proof of Thm 6.3.1. By the inclusion-exclusion principle,

 $p(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = |S| - \sum_{j=1}^n |A_j| + \sum_{i,j} |A_i \cap A_j| - ... + (-1)^n |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap ... \cap A_n|$

where

Let S = the set of permutations of $\{1, ..., n\}$. Then |S| = n!.

Let A_j = set of permutations $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n$ such that $i_j \in X_j$ (for a fixed j).

Note there are $|X_j|$ ways to place a rook in the *j*th position. There are (n-1)! ways to place the remaining n-1 rooks so that the permutation belongs to A_j .

Thus $|A_j| = |X_j|(n-1)!$. $\sum_{j=1}^n |A_j| = \sum_{j=1}^n |X_j|(n-1)! = (n-1)! \sum_{j=1}^n |X_j| = r_1(n-1)!$ where $r_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |X_j|$.

Note r_1 = number of ways to place 1 nonattacking rooks on an $n \times n$ chessboard so that the rook is in a forbidden position.

Let's now look at $A_j \cap A_k$. $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in A_j \cap A_k$, then $i_j \in X_j$ and $i_k \in X_k$. Thus there are $|X_j|$ ways to place a rook in the *j*th position and $|X_k|$ ways to place a rook in the *k*th position. There are (n-2)! ways to place the remaining n-1 rooks so that the permutation belongs to $A_j \cap A_k$.

Thus $|A_j \cap A_k| = |X_j| |X_k| (n-2)!$. $\sum_{i,j} |A_i \cap A_j| = \sum_{i,j} |X_j| |X_k| (n-2)! = (n-2)! \sum_{i,j} |X_j| |X_k|$. Let $r_2 = \sum_{i,j} |X_j| |X_k|$.

Note r_2 = number of ways to place 2 nonattacking rooks on an $n \times n$ chessboard so that each of the 2 rooks is in a forbidden position.

Similarly, define r_k = number of ways to place k nonattacking rooks on an $n \times n$ chessboard so that each of the k rooks is in a forbidden position.

Then
$$\Sigma |A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap ... \cap A_{i_k}| = r_k(k-1)!.$$

Thus we have proved:

Thm 6.4.1:
$$p(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = n! - r_1(n-1)! + r_2(n-2)! - ... + (-1)^n r_n.$$

Note that if there are many forbidden positions, then r_k may be difficult to calculate and it may be easier to calculate $p(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ directly. If there are few forbidden positions, Thm 6.4.1 is the easier method to compute $p(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$.

Examples:

Let
$$X = \{1, 2, 3\}$$
. $p(\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{3\}) =$

Note in this case, it was easiest to count directly and not use

Thm 6.4.1.

Examples:

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. $p(\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{3\}) =$

6.5 Another Forbidden Position Problem

Goal: To **derive** a formula for counting the number of permutations with relative forbidden positions.

Ex: Suppose children 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 sit in a row in class. Children 1 and 2 cannot sit next to each other or they will cause trouble.

The order in which the children sit corresponds to a permutation of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. If 1 is in the *i*th spot, then 2 cannot be in the i - 1st spot or the i + 1th spot. Thus the pattern 21 or 12 cannot appear in our permutation. This is called a relative forbidden position as certain positions for the placement of 2 are forbidden, but these forbidden positions depend on the placement of 1.

We will focus on the relative forbidden position problem in which

Let Q_n = the number of permutations of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ in which none of the patterns 12, 23, 34, ..., (n-1)n occurs.

Thm 6.5.1
$$Q_n = n! - \binom{n-1}{1}(n-1)! + \binom{n-1}{2}(n-2)! - \dots + \binom{n-1}{n-1}(-1)^{n-1}1!$$

Proof: Use inclusion-exclusion principle.

Let S = the set of permutations of $\{1, ..., n\}$. Then |S| = n!. Let $A_j =$ set of permutations which contain the pattern j(j+1). Note: $|A_j| = (n-1)!$ $|A_i \cap A_j| = (n-2)!$ $|A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap ... \cap A_{i_k}| = (n-k)!$.