

Defn: An *equivalence relation* is reflexive, symmetric, transitive.

Ex:  $\cong_p$  is an equivalence relation where  $x \cong_p y$  if  $\frac{x-y}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$

Claim:  $\cong_p$  is reflexive. That is,  $\forall x \in X, x \cong_p x$ .

$$\frac{x-x}{p} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}. \text{ Thus } x \cong_p x.$$

Claim:  $\cong_p$  is symmetric. I.e., if  $x \cong_p y$ , then  $y \cong_p x$ .

$$\text{Suppose } x \cong_p y \Rightarrow \frac{x-y}{p} \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \frac{y-x}{p} \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow y \cong_p x$$

Claim:  $\cong_p$  is transitive. I.e., if  $x \cong_p y$  and  $y \cong_p z$ , then  $x \cong_p z$ .

$$\text{Suppose } x \cong_p y \text{ and } y \cong_p z \Rightarrow \frac{x-y}{p} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \frac{y-z}{p} \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \frac{x-y}{p} + \frac{y-z}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x-z}{p} = \frac{x-y}{p} + \frac{y-z}{p} = \frac{x-z}{p} = h+n \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow x \cong_p z$$

Thus  $\cong_p$  is an equivalence relation.

Equivalence class  $[a] = \{x \mid x \sim a\}$

For  $\cong_2$

$$[4] =$$

$$[-2] =$$

$$[1] =$$

Ex:  $\mathbb{Z} =$

$\mathcal{P} = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$  is a partition of  $X$  iff  
 $X = \bigcup_{P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} P_\alpha, P_\alpha \neq \emptyset \forall \alpha$ , and  $P_\alpha \cap P_\beta = \emptyset$  implies  $P_\alpha = P_\beta$

Thm 4.5.3: If  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ , then  
 $\{\{x_\alpha \mid x_\alpha \in X\}\}$  is a partition of  $X$ .

If  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$  is a partition of  $X$ , then  
 $x \sim y$  iff  $\exists P_\alpha$  such that  $x, y \in P_\alpha$  is an equivalence relation.

Proof: Suppose  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ .

Claim:  $\{\{x_\alpha \mid x_\alpha \in X\}\}$  is a partition of  $X$ .

Let  $x_\alpha \in X$ . Then  $x_\alpha \in [x_\alpha]$  since  $\sim$  is reflexive.  
 Thus  $[x_\alpha] \neq \emptyset$  and  $X = \bigcup_{x_\alpha \in X} [x_\alpha]$ .

Suppose  $[x_\alpha] \cap [x_\beta] \neq \emptyset$ .

Claim:  $[x_\alpha] = [x_\beta]$

Claim:  $[x_\alpha] \subset [x_\beta]$  and  $[x_\beta] \subset [x_\alpha]$

Claim: If  $z \in [x_\alpha] = \{x \mid x \sim x_\alpha\}$ , then  $z \in [x_\beta] = \{x \mid x \sim x_\beta\}$

Proof of Claim: Since  $z \in [x_\alpha], z \sim x_\alpha$ . Since

Thus  $[x_\alpha] \subset [x_\beta]$ . Similarly  $[x_\beta] \subset [x_\alpha]$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ .

Claim:  $x \sim y$  iff  $\exists P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $x, y \in P_\alpha$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ .

Proof of Claim: HW #44 (don't assume finite).

$\{(1243), (3124), (4312), (2431)\}$

$\left[ \begin{matrix} 12 & 31 & 43 & 24 \\ 34 & 42 & 21 & 13 \end{matrix} \right] \leftarrow [(1243)]$

$\left[ \begin{matrix} 12 & 41 & 34 & 23 \\ 43 & 32 & 21 & 14 \end{matrix} \right]$

$\left[ \begin{matrix} 13 & 21 & 42 & 34 \\ 24 & 43 & 31 & 12 \end{matrix} \right]$

$\left[ \begin{matrix} 13 & 41 & 24 & 32 \\ 42 & 23 & 31 & 14 \end{matrix} \right]$

$\left[ \begin{matrix} 14 & 21 & 32 & 21 \\ 23 & 34 & 41 & 34 \end{matrix} \right]$

$\left[ \begin{matrix} 14 & 31 & 23 & 42 \\ 32 & 24 & 41 & 13 \end{matrix} \right]$

Linear permutation of  $\{1,2,3,4\}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  circular perm  $\{1,2,3,4\}$

$$\frac{4!}{4} = 6 \text{ circular permutations}$$

---

RB	gR	gg	Bg
gg	gB	BR	Rg
RB	gR	gg	Bg
gg	gB	BR	Rg
Rg	BR	gB	gg
Bg	gg	gR	RB
Rg	gR	Bg	gB
gB	Bg	gR	Rg
Rg	BR	gB	BR
Bg	gg	gR	gg
Rg	gR	Bg	gB
gB	Bg	gR	Rg