Introduction to Prolog

Useful references:

Reviews

- A Prolog program consists of predicate definitions.
- A predicate denotes a property or relationship between objects.
- Definitions consist of clauses.
- A clause has a head and a body (Rule) or just a head (Fact).
- A head consists of a predicate name and arguments.
- A clause body consists of a conjunction of terms.
- Terms can be constants, variables, or compound terms.
- We can set our program goals by typing a command that unifies with a clause head.
- A goal unifies with clause heads in order (top down).
- Unification leads to the instantiation of variables to values.
- If any variables in the initial goal become instantiated this is reported back to the user.

Tests

- When we ask Prolog a question we are asking for the interpreter to prove that the statement is true.
  ?- 5 < 7, integer(bob).
  yes = the statement can be proven.
  no = the proof failed because either
  - the statement does not hold, or
  - the program is broken.
  Error = there is a problem with the question or program.
  *nothing* = the program is in an infinite loop.
- We can ask about:
  - Properties of the database: mother(jane,alan).
  - Built-in properties of individual objects: integer(bob).
  - Relationships between objects:
    - Unification
    - Arithmetic relationships: <, >, =<, >=, =:=, =

Arithmetic Operators

- Operators for arithmetic and value comparisons are built-in to Prolog
  - always accessible / don’t need to be written
- Comparisons: <, >, =<, >=, =:=, =
  - Infix operators: go between two terms.
  - = is used
    - = is used
    - = compares the arithmetic value of two expressions

Tests within clauses

- These operators can be used within the body of a clause:
  - To manipulate values.
    - sum(X,Y,Sum):-
      Sun is X+Y.
  - To distinguish between clauses of a predicate definition
    bigger(N,M):-
    N < M, write('The bigger number is '), write(M).
    bigger(N,M):-
    N =:= M, write('Numbers are the same').
Backtracking

?- bigger(5,4).
  bigger(N,M):-
    N < M,
    write('The bigger number is '), write(M).
  bigger(N,M):-
    N > M,
    write('The bigger number is '), write(N).
  bigger(N,M):-
    N =:= M,
    write('Numbers are the same').

?- bigger(5,4).
  bigger(5,4):-
    5 < 4, ← fails
    write('The bigger number is '), write(M).
  bigger(N,M):-
    N > M,
    write('The bigger number is '), write(N).
  bigger(5,4):-
    5 =:= 5,
    write('Numbers are the same').

?- bigger(5,5).
  bigger(5,5):-
    5 < 5, ← fails
    write('The bigger number is '), write(M).
  bigger(5,5):-
    5 > 5, ← succeeds, go on with body.
    write('The bigger number is '), write(5).
  bigger(5,5):-
    5 =:= 5,
    write('Numbers are the same').
Reporting Answers

?- bigger(5,4).
  The bigger number is 5
  yes

• This is fine for checking what the code is doing but not for using the proof.

?- bigger(6,4), bigger(Answer,5).
Instantiation error!

• To report back answers we need to
  1. put an uninstantiated variable in the query,
  2. instantiate the answer to that variable when the query succeeds,
  3. pass the variable all the way back to the query.

Passing Back Answers

• To report back answers we need to
  1. put an uninstantiated variable in the query.

?- bigger(6,4,Answer), bigger(Answer,5,New_answer).

1. bigger(X,Y,Z):- Z = Y, Answer = X.
   bigger(X,Y,Z):- X =< Y, Answer = Y.

2. instantiate the answer to that variable when the query succeeds,
3. pass the variable all the way back to the query.

Central Ideas of Prolog

• SUCCESS/FAILURE
  any computation can "succeed" or "fail", and this is used as a 'test' mechanism.

• MATCHING
  any two data items can be compared for similarity, and values can be bound to variables in order to allow a match to succeed.

• SEARCHING
  the whole activity of the Prolog system is to search through various options to find a combination that succeeds.
  • Main search tools are backtracking and recursion

• BACKTRACKING
  when the system fails during its search, it returns to previous choices to see if making a different choice would allow success.

Why use recursion?

• It allows us to define very clear and elegant code.
  • Why repeat code when you can reuse existing code.

• Relationships may be recursive
  e.g. "X is my ancestor if X is my parent's ancestor."

• Data is represented recursively and best processed iteratively.
  • Grammatical structures can contain themselves
  • Ordered data: each element requires the same processing

• Allows Prolog to perform complex search of a problem space without any dedicated algorithms.
Prolog Data Objects (*Terms*)

- **Simple objects**
  - Constants
  - Integers
  - Atoms
  - Symbols

- **Structured objects**
  - Variables
  - Structures
  - Lists

**Constants**
- Integers
- Atoms

**Variables**
- `X
  - A_var

**Structures**
- To create a single data element from a collection of related terms we use a *structure*.
- A structure is constructed from a *function name* (functor) and one or more components.
- `somerelationship(a,b,c,[1,2,3])`
- The components can be of any type: atoms, integers, variables, or structures.
- As functors are treated as data objects just like constants they can be unified with variables
  
  ```prolog
  |?- X = date(03,31,05).
  X = date(03,31,05)?
  yes
  ```

**Variables**
- `X
  - A_var

**Integers**
- `-6
  - 987`

**Atoms**
- `l8r_2day`

**Symbols**
- `a`

**Strings**
- `'l8r_2day'`

**Signs**
- `<--->
  - ==>

**Lists**
- A collection of ordered data.
- Has zero or more elements enclosed by square brackets (`[]`) and separated by commas (`,'`).

- `[]  ← a list with one element
  []  ← an empty list

- `[a,b,c,[1,2,3]]  ← a list with 3 elements where the 3rd element is a list of 2 elements.

- Like any object, a list can be unified with a variable
  
  ```prolog
  |?- [Any, list, 'of elements'] = X.
  X = [Any, list, 'of elements']? yes
  ```

**Signs**
- `<--->
  - ==>

**Structures**
- To create a single data element from a collection of related terms we use a *structure*.
- A structure is constructed from a *function name* (functor) and one or more components.
- `somerelationship(a,b,c,[1,2,3])`
- The components can be of any type: atoms, integers, variables, or structures.
- As functors are treated as data objects just like constants they can be unified with variables
  
  ```prolog
  |?- X = date(03,31,05).
  X = date(03,31,05)?
  yes
  ```

**List Unification**
- Two lists unify if they are the same length and all their elements unify.

```prolog
|?- [a,b,c,d]=[A,b,c,d].
A = a,
B = b,
C = c,
D = d?
yes

|?- [(X,a),(Y+b)]=[X,(c+2)].
X = a,
Y = b,
Z = c?
yes
```

**Definition of a List**
- Lists are *recursively defined* structures.
  - “An empty list, [], is a list.
    - A structure of the form [X, …] is a list if X is a term and [...] is a list, possibly empty.”
  - This recursiveness is made explicit by the bar notation (vertical pipe `|` bottom left PC keyboard character)
  - Head must unify with a single term.
  - Tail unifies with a list of any length, including an empty list, []:
    - the bar notation turns everything after the Head into a list and unifies it with Tail.

```prolog
|?- [a,b,c,d]=[Head|Tail].
|?- [a,b,c,d]=[X|[Y|Z]].
Head = a,
Tail = [b,c,d]?
Y = b,
Z = [c,d]?
yes

|?- [a]= [H|T].
|?- [a,b,c]= [W|[X|[Y|Z]]].
H = a,
W = a,
T = [b,c]?
X = b,
Y = c,
Z = [d]?
yes

|?- [1]= [R|T].
|?- [a,b,c]= [W|[X|[Y|[Z|[[]]]]]].
R = a,
W = a,
T = [1]?
X = b,
Y = c,
Z = [d]?
yes
```

**Head and Tail**
- A list can be represented as a pair (Head, Tail).
- Head and Tail unify with the list.

```prolog
|?- [a,b,c,d]=[Head|Tail].
|?- [a,b,c,d]=[X|[Y|Z]].
Head = a,
Tail = [b,c,d]?
Y = b,
Z = [c,d]?
yes

|?- [a]= [H|T].
|?- [a,b,c]= [W|[X|[Y|[Z|[[]]]]]].
H = a,
W = a,
T = [1]?
X = b,
Y = c,
Z = [d]?
yes

|?- [1]= [R|T].
|?- [a,b,c]= [W|[X|[Y|[Z|[[]]]]]].
R = a,
W = a,
T = [1]?
X = b,
Y = c,
Z = [d]?
yes
```
Identifying a list

- Last lecture we introduced lists: `[a,[],green(bob)]`
- We said that lists are recursively defined structures:
  "An empty list, [], is a list.
  A structure of the form `[X, …]` is a list if `X` is a term and `[…]` is a list, possibly empty."

This can be tested using the Head and Tail notation, `[H|T]`, in a recursive rule.

```
is_a_list([]). — A term is a list if it is an empty list.
is_a_list([_|T]):- is_a_list(T). — A term is a list if it has two elements and the second is a list.
```

Base and Recursive Cases

- A recursive definition, whether in Prolog or some other language (including English!) needs two things.
  - A definition of when the recursion terminates. Without this the recursion would never stop!
  - This is called the base case: `is_a_list([])`. Almost always comes before recursive clause
  - A definition of how we can define the problem in terms of a similar, smaller problem.
  - This is called the recursive case: `is_a_list([_|T]):- is_a_list(T)`.
  - There might be more than one base or recursive case.

Focussed Recursion

- To ensure that the predicate terminates, the recursive case must move the problem closer to a solution.
  - If it doesn’t it will loop infinitely.
  - With list processing this means stripping away the Head of a list and recursing on the Tail.

```
is_a_list([|T]):- Head is replaced with an underscore as we don’t want to use it.
is_a_list(T).
```

- The same focussing has to occur when recursing to find a property or fact.

```
is_older(Ancestor,Person):- Doesn’t focus is_older(Someone,Person), is_older(Ancestor,Someone).
```

Focussed Recursion (2)

- A query looking for all solutions will loop.
  - `parent(tom,jim).
person(mary,tom).

```
is_older(Old,Young):-
  parent(Old,Young).
is_older(Ancestor,Young):-
  parent(Someone,Young),
  is_older(Ancestor,Someone).
```

```
|?- is_older(X,Y).
X = tom, Y = jim ? ;
X = mary, Y = tom ? ;
X = mary, Y = jim ? ;
*loop*
```

Focussed Recursion (3)

- Can generate all valid matches without looping.

```
parent(tom,jim).
person(mary,tom).

```

```
is_older(Old,Young):-
  parent(Old,Young).
is_older(Ancestor,Young):-
  parent(Someone,Young),
  is_older(Ancestor,Someone).
```

```
|?- is_older(X,Y).
X = tom, Y = jim ? ;
X = mary, Y = tom ? ;
X = mary, Y = jim ? ;
no
```

To make the problem space smaller we need to check that `Young` has a parent before recursion. This way we are not looking for something that isn’t there.

Quick Aside: Tracing Prolog

- To make Prolog show you its execution of a goal type `trace` at the command line.
  - Prolong will show you:
    - which goal is called with which arguments,
    - whether the goal succeeds (`exit`),
    - has to be Redone, or `fail`.
  - The tracer also indicates the level in the search tree from which a goal is being called.
    - The number next to the goal indicates the level in the tree (top level being 0).
    - The leftmost number is the number assigned to the goal (every new goal is given a new number).
  - To turn off the tracer type `notrace`.
Tracing Member/2

?- trace.
?- member(ringo,[john,paul,ringo,george]).
1 1 Call: member(ringo,[john,paul,ringo,george]) ?
2 2 Call: member(ringo,[paul,ringo,george]) ?
3 3 Call: member(ringo,[ringo,george]) ?
1 1 Exit: member(ringo,[john,paul,ringo,george]) ?
yes

?

Prolog's Persistence

- When a sub-goal fails, Prolog will backtrack to the most recent successful goal and try to find another match.
- Once there are no more matches for this sub-goal it will backtrack again, retrying every sub-goal before failing the parent goal.
- A call can match any clause head.
- A redo ignores old matches.

Mutually Exclusive Clauses

- We should only use a cut if the clauses are mutually exclusive (if one succeeds the others won’t).
- If the clauses are mutually exclusive then we don’t want Prolog to try the other clauses when the first fails = redundant processing.
- By including a cut in the body of a clause we are committing to that clause.

Failing the parent goal

?- trace.
a:- b, c, d, e, f, g, h, l, j.
a:- k.
a:- m.

- The cut succeeds when it is called and commits the system to all choices made between the time the parent goal was invoked and the cut.
- This includes committing to the clause containing the cut.
- When an attempt is made to backtrack through the cut
  - the goal is immediately failed, and
  - no alternative clauses are tried.

Cut!

- If we want to restrict backtracking we can control which sub-goals can be redone using the cut !.
- We use it as a goal within the body of clause.
- It succeeds when called, but fails the parent goal (the goal that matched the head of the clause containing the cut) when an attempt is made to redo it on backtracking.
- It commits to the choices made so far in the predicate.
  - unlimited backtracking can occur before and after the cut but
  - no backtracking can go through it.

Mutually Exclusive Clauses (2)

?- trace, f(X,0).
f(X,0):- X < 3.
f(X,1):- 3 =< X, X < 6.
f(X,2):- 6 =< X.

This clause and these clauses committed to

= the goal can only succeed if this clause succeeds.
= the goal is immediately failed, and
= no alternative clauses are tried.
Green Cuts!

\begin{align*}
f(X,0) & : - X < 3, !. \quad \text{if } X < 3, \text{ the first clause is true.} \\
f(X,1) & : - 3 =< X, X < 6, !. \quad \text{if } 3 \leq X < 6, \text{ the second clause is true.} \\
f(X,2) & : - 6 =< X. \quad \text{if } X \geq 6, \text{ the third clause is true.}
\end{align*}

If you reach this point don’t bother trying any other clause.

- Notice that the answer is still the same, with or without the cut.
  - This is because the cut does not alter the logical behaviour of the program.
  - It only alters the procedural behaviour: specifying which goals get checked when.
- This is called a green cut. It is the correct usage of a cut.
- Be careful to ensure that your clauses are actually mutually exclusive when using green cuts!

Using the cut

- Red cuts change the logical behaviour of a predicate.
- TRY NOT TO USE RED CUTS!
- Red cuts make your code hard to read and are dependent on the specific ordering of clauses (which may change once you start writing to the database).
- If you want to improve the efficiency of a program use green cuts to control backtracking.
- Do not use cuts in place of tests.

A larger example.

We’ll define several versions of the disjoint partial map split.

\begin{align*}
\text{split}(\text{list of integers, non-negatives, negatives})
\end{align*}

1. A version not using cut. Good code (each can be read on its own as a fact about the program). Not efficient because choice points are retained. The first solution is desired, but we must ignore backtracked solutions.

\begin{align*}
\text{split}(\text{[]}, \text{[]}, \text{[]}). \\
\text{split}([H|T], \text{[H|Z]}, \text{R}) & : - H \geq 0, \text{split}(T, Z, R). \\
\text{split}([H|T], \text{R}, \text{[H|Z]}) & : - H < 0, \text{split}(T, Z, R).
\end{align*}

A larger example.

2. A version using cut. Most efficient, but not the best “defensively written” code. The third clause does not stand on its own as a fact about the problem. As in normal programming languages, it needs to be read in context. This style is often seen in practice, but is deprecated.

\begin{align*}
\text{split}(\text{[]}, \text{[]}, \text{[]}). \\
\text{split}([H|T], \text{[H|Z]}, \text{R}) & : - H \geq 0, !, \text{split}(T, Z, R). \\
\text{split}([H|T], \text{R}, \text{[H|Z]}) & : - H < 0, \text{split}(T, Z, R).
\end{align*}

Minor modifications (adding more clauses) may have unintended effects. Backtracked solutions invalid.
Negation as Failure

A larger example.

3. A version using cut which is also ‘safe’. The only inefficiency is that the goal \( H < 0 \) will be executed unnecessarily whenever \( H < 0 \).

\[
\text{split}([], [], []). \\
\text{split}([H|T], [H|Z], R) \leftarrow H >= 0, !, \text{split}(T, Z, R). \\
\text{split}([H|T], R, [H|Z]) \leftarrow H < 0, !, \text{split}(T, R, Z).
\]

Recommended for practical use. Hidden problem: the third clause does not capture the idea that \( H < 0 \) is a committal. Here committal is the default because \( H < 0 \) is in the last clause. Some new compilers detect that \( H < 0 \) is redundant.

Negation as Failure

A larger example.

3. A version with unnecessary cuts

\[
\text{split}([], [], []). \\
\text{split}([H|T], [H|Z], R) \leftarrow H >= 0, !, \text{split}(T, Z, R). \\
\text{split}([H|T], R, [H|Z]) \leftarrow H < 0, !, \text{split}(T, R, Z).
\]

First cut unnecessary because anything matching first clause will not match anything else anyway. Most Prolog compilers can detect this. Why is the third cut unnecessary? Because \( H=0 \) is in the last clause. Whether or not \( H<0 \) fails, there are no choices left for the caller of split. However, the above will work for any order of clauses.

Negation as Failure

Using cut together with the built-in predicate fail, we may define a kind of negation. Examples:

Mary likes any animals except reptiles:

\[
\text{likes}(\text{mary}, X) \leftarrow \text{reptile}(X), !, \text{fail}. \\
\text{likes}(\text{mary}, X) \leftarrow \text{animal}(X).
\]

A utility predicate meaning something like "not equals":

\[
\text{different}(X, X) \leftarrow !, \text{fail}. \\
\text{different}(X, Y) \leftarrow \text{not}(X = Y).
\]

Negation as Failure

Most Prolog systems have a built-in predicate like not. SICStus Prolog calls it ". Remember, not does not correspond to logical negation, because it is based on the success/failure of goals. It can, however, be useful:

\[
\text{likes}(\text{mary}, X) \leftarrow \text{not}(\text{reptile}(X)). \\
\text{different}(X, Y) \leftarrow \text{not}(X = Y).
\]

Negation as Failure can be Misleading

Once upon a time, a student who missed some of these lectures was commissioned to write a Police database system in Prolog. The database held the names of members of the public, marked by whether they are innocent or guilty of some offence. Suppose the database contains the following:

\[
\text{innocent}(\text{peter_pan}). \\
\text{innocent}(\text{winnie_the_pooh}). \\
\text{innocent}(\text{mary}). \\
\text{innocent}(\text{frank}). \\
\text{innocent}(\text{rebecca}). \\
\text{innocent}(\text{julie_andrews}). \\
\text{guilty}(\text{joe_bloggs}). \\
\text{guilty}(\text{rolf_harris}).
\]

Consider the following dialogue:

\[
?\text{-}\text{innocent}(@francis). \\
\text{no}.
\]
Problem.

This cannot be right, because everyone knows that St Francis is innocent. But in Prolog the above happens because st_francis is not in the database. Because the database is hidden from the user, the user will believe it because the computer says so.

How to solve this?

not makes things worse

Using not will not help you. Do not try to remedy this by defining:

guilty(X) :- not(innocent(X)).

This is useless, and makes matters even worse:

?− guilty(st_francis).

yes

It is one thing to show that st_francis cannot be demonstrated to be innocent. But it is quite another thing to incorrectly show that he is guilty.

Negation-by-failure can be non-logical

Some disturbing behaviour even more subtle than the innocent/guilty problem, and can lead to some extremely obscure programming errors. Here is a restaurant database:

good_standard(goedels).
good_standard(hilberts).

reasonable(R) :- not(expensive(R)).

Consider the following dialogue:

?− good_standard(X), reasonable(X).

X = hilberts

But if we ask the logically equivalent question:

?− reasonable(X), good_standard(X).

no.

Question

Why do we get different answers for what seem to be logically equivalent queries?

The difference between the questions is as follows.

In the first question, the variable X is always instantiated when reasonable(X) is executed.

In the second question, X is not instantiated when reasonable(X) is executed.

The semantics of reasonable(X) differ depending on whether its argument is instantiated.

Not a Good Idea!

It is bad practice to write programs that destroy the correspondence between the logical and procedural meaning of a program without any good reason for doing so.

Negation-by-failure does not correspond to logical negation, and so requires special care.

How to fix it?

One way is to specify that negation is undefined whenever an attempt is made to negate a non-ground formula. A formula is “ground” if it has no unbound variables.

Some Prolog systems issue a run-time exception if you try to negate a non-ground goal.
Clauses and Databases

In a relational database, relations are regarded as tables, in which each element of an n-ary relation is stored as a row of the table having n columns.

```
supplier
jones chair red 10
smith desk black 50
```

Using clauses, a table can be represented by a set of unit clauses. An n-ary relation is named by an n-ary predicate symbol.

```
supplier(jones, chair, red, 10).
supplier(smith, desk, black, 50).
```

Advantages of using clauses:
1. Rules as well as facts can coexist in the description of a relation.
2. Recursive definitions are allowed.
3. Multiple answers to the same query are allowed.
4. There is no role distinction between input and output.
5. Inference takes place automatically.

Summary

- Controlling backtracking: the cut !
- Efficiency: avoids needless REDO-ing which cannot succeed.
- Simpler programs: conditions for choosing clauses can be simpler.
- Robust predicates: definitions behave properly when forced to REDO.
- Green cut = cut doesn’t change the predicate logic = good
- Red cut = without the cut the logic is different = bad
- Cut – fail: when it is easier to prove something is false than true.

Negation and Representation

Like databases, clauses cannot represent negative information. Only true instances are represented.

The battle of Waterloo occurred in 1815.

How can we show that the battle of Waterloo did not take place in 1923? The database cannot tell us when something is not the case, unless we do one of the following:
1. ‘Complete’ the database by adding clauses to specify the battle didn’t occur in 1814, 1813, 1812, ..., 1816, 1817, 1818, ...
2. Add another clause saying the battle did not take place in another year (the battle occurred in and only in 1815).
3. Make the ‘closed world assumption’, implemented by ‘negation by failure’.