

Theory of Computation

CS3330

2020

Chapter 3: Turing Machine

Definitions

1. **Turing machine:** A Turing machine is a 7-tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{accept}, q_{reject})$.
 - (a) Deterministic TM (**DTM**): $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$
 - (b) Nondeterministic TM (**NTM**): $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\})$, any any point in a computation, the machine proceed according to several probabilities.
2. **Halting Configurations:** Accepting and rejecting configurations are also called halting configurations.
3. **Turing-recognizable language:** A language L is Turing-recognizable if there is a Turing machine M that recognizes it. [It is difficult to distinguish a TM machine that fail to accept (reject) from one that merely takes long-time (looping) to halt.]
4. **Decider:** A TM that halts on all inputs is called a decider.
5. **A Language is called Turing-decidable if some TM decides it.**
 - (a) Any **regular language** is Turing-decidable.
 - (b) Any **context-free language** is Turing-decidable.
 - (c) Every decidable language is Turing-recognizable, and Certain Turing-recognizable languages are not decidable.
6. **Algorithm as a Turing Machine:** An algorithm is a decider TM in the standard representation. [The input to a Turing machine is always a string: A string representation of an object O is $\langle O \rangle$.]

Theorems, Corollaries

1. Every NTM has an equivalent DTM vice versa.
2. Every multi-tape Turing machine has an equivalent single tape Turing machine.
3. A language is Turing-recognizable iff some NTM recognizes it.
4. A language is decidable iff some NTM decides it.

Chapter 4: Decidability

Definition

1. **Decidable Language:** A language is decidable if there is an *algorithm* (i.e. a Turing decider) to recognize it.
2. $A_{DFA} = \{\langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is a DFA that accepts } w\}$
3. $A_{NFA} = \{\langle B, w \rangle \mid B \text{ is a NFA that accepts } w\}$
4. $E_{DFA} = \{\langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a DFA and } L(A) = \emptyset\}$
5. $EQ_{DFA} = \{\langle A, B \rangle \mid A, B \text{ are DFAs, and } L(A) = L(B)\}$.
6. $ALL_{DFA} = \{\langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a DFA that recognizes } \Sigma^*\}$
7. $A_{CFG} = \{\langle G, w \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG that generates a string } w\}$ (Chomsky normal form).
8. $E_{CFG} = \{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG and } L(G) = \emptyset\}$
9. **Every context-free language is decidable.**
 - (a) Class of CF languages is not closed under intersection.
 - (b) Class of CF languages is not closed under complementation.
10. $EQ_{CFG} = \{\langle G \wedge H \rangle \mid G, H \text{ are CFG, and } L(G) = L(H)\}$
11. Four examples are provided in p30 – p38 of the slides *Decidability*.
12. A set is countable if either it is finite or it has the same size as \mathcal{N} .
 - (a) Countable set: \mathcal{N} , Rational Number \mathcal{Q} , Σ^* , The set of Turing Machines.
 - (b) Uncountable set: \mathcal{R} , The set of all formal languages $\mathcal{L} = \{L \mid L \subseteq \Sigma^*\}$.

13. $A_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w\}$. [If A_{TM} is decidable, then output *accept* when M accept w and output *reject* when M reject or looping on w . In practice, we can not determine whether a turning machine is looping, so A_{TM} is undecidable.]
14. **Co-Turning recognizable languages:** The complement of a language A , $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is recognizable. [Complement of a language A , $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is the language consisting of all strings that doesn't belong to A .]

Theorems, Corollaries

1. $A_{DFA}, A_{NFA}, E_{DFA}, EQ_{DFA}, ALL_{DFA}, A_{CFG}$ are decidable languages.
2. EQ_{CFG} is not decidable language.
3. The proof of EQ_{DFA}, ALL_{DFA} is according to the complementary relationship with E_{DFA} .
4. A_{TM} is undecidable. (Proof by contradiction p64 – p69 of the slides *Decidability*.)
5. A language A is decidable iff both A and $\mathcal{C}(A)$ are Turing-recognizable.

Chapter 5: Reducibility

Definitions

1. **Reduction:**
 - (a) Reduction is a terminating process. [An brief explanation about reduction: If A can reduce to B , it implies that problem B is at least as harder as A . As results, if B is solvable, then A must be solvable (A is easier than B). If A is unsolvable, then B also unsolvable (B is harder than A)].
 - (b) When a problem A is reduced to problem B , solving A cannot be harder than the sum of reduction and solving B , because a solution to B gives a solution to A .
 - (c) **If A is reduced to B and B is decidable, then A is decidable (because a solution to B solves A in finite number of steps).**
 - (d) **If A is undecidable and reducible to B then B is undecidable (because if B would be decidable then A would, which is a contradiction.)**
2. $HALT_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM} \wedge M \text{ halts on } w\}$. [Halting Problem: Determine whether a Turing machine M halts on an input w . If M can be decided, then M is accepted when it halts; M is rejected when it has loop]
3. $E_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM} \wedge L(M) = \emptyset\}$.

4. $Regular_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM} \wedge L(M) \text{ is regular}\}$. [*i.e.*, whether a TM recognizes a regular language.]
5. Summary of Linear Bounded Automata is ignored here, because I don't review it...

Theorems, Corollaries

1. $HALT_{TM}$ is undecidable: Using the properties of reducing A_{TM} to $HALT_{TM}$. Proof can be found in p216 of spiser's textbook.
2. E_{TM} is undecidable: Using the properties of reducing A_{TM} to E_{TM} . Proof can be found in p217 of spiser's textbook.
3. $Regular_{TM}$ is undecidable. Proof can be found in p218 of spiser's textbook.

0.7 Undecidable Problems: Methodology

To prove that a problem P is undecidable by reduction method, it proceeds as follows:

1. Find a problem Q (A_{TM}, E_{TM} , etc) is known to be undecidable.
2. Assume that P is decidable by a TM M_p .
3. Use the TM M_p to construct a decider M_Q that solve Q .
4. Since it is already know that Q is undecidable M_Q cannot exist. Hence M_p cannot exist either, thus P is undecidable by contradiction.

Samples can be found in the proof of Theorems.

Chapter 7: Time Complexity

Definitions

1. **Running time of a TM A:** Number of steps the TM has moved, which is represented as a function of the length of input n , *i.e.* $f(n)$.
2. **Worst-case-analysis:** the longest running time of all inputs of a particular length n .
3. **Average-case-analysis:** the average of all running times of inputs of a particular length.
4. **Big-O:** Let f and g be functions, $f, g : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. $f(n) = O(g(n))$ if positive integers c and n_0 **exist** such that for $\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c(g(n))$.

5. **Small-o:** Let f and g be functions, $f, g : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. $f(n) = o(g(n))$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$. i.e., $f(n) = o(g(n))$ means that for **any** real $c > 0$ $g(n)$ there exists n_0 such that $f(n) < cg(n)$ for all $n > n_0$.
6. Polynomial bounds: n^c for $c > 0$.
7. Exponential Bounds: a^{cn^δ} , for $a > 1$, $c, \delta > 0$.
8. **TIME($t(n)$):** Let $t : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a function. The time complexity class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$ is the collection of languages that are decidable by an $O(t(n))$ time TM.
9. **NTIME($t(n)$):** Let $t : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a function. The time complexity class $\text{NTIME}(t(n))$ is the collection of languages that are decidable by an $O(t(n))$ time NTM.
10. The running time of a **NTM** $f(n)$ is the maximum number of steps that the NTM makes on any branch of its computation, on any input of length n .
11. **Class P:** P is the class of languages that are decidable in polynomial time on a deterministic single-tape Turing machine, i.e., $P = \cup_k \text{TIME}(n^k)$
12. $\langle \cdot \rangle$ to indicate a reasonable encoding (polynomial time) of one or more objects into a string, such as familiar encoding methods for graphs, automata, etc.
13. $\text{PATH} = \{ \langle G, s, t \rangle \mid G \text{ is a direct graph that has a direct path from } s \text{ to } t \}$.
14. **RELPRIME** = $\{ \langle x, y \rangle \mid x \text{ and } y \text{ are relative prime } \}$.
15. $\text{HAMPATH} = \{ \langle G, s, t \rangle \mid G \text{ is a directed graph with a Hamiltonian path from } s \text{ to } t. \text{ (Each vertex visited once)} \}$.
16. **Verifier:** A verifier for a language A is an algorithm V where: $A = \{ w \mid V \text{ accepts } \langle w, c \rangle \text{ for some string } c \}$.
17. **Class NP:** NP is a class of languages that have polynomial time verifiers. (NP is short cut for Nondeterministic Polynomial time).
18. A language $A \in \text{NPC}$ if: 1) $A \in \text{NP}$, 2) For every $L \in \text{NP}$, it reduces to NP in polynomial.

Theorems, Corollaries

1. Any language that can be decided in $o(n \log n)$ on a single-tape TM is regular.
2. Let $t(n)$ be a function, where $t(n) \geq n$. Then every $t(n)$ time multi-tape Turing machine has an equivalent $O(t^2(n))$ time single-tape Turing machine.

3. (Theorem 7.8) Let $t(n)$ and $s(n)$ be functions, where $t(n), s(n) \geq n$. If a multitape Turing machine takes $t(n)$ time and $s(n)$ space for any input of length n , then there is an equivalent $O(t(n)s(n))$ time single-tape Turing machine.
4. (Theorem 7.11) Let $t(n)$ be a function, where $t(n) \geq n$. Then every $t(n)$ time non-deterministic single-tape Turing machine has an equivalent $2^{O(t(n))}$ time deterministic single-tape Turing machine.
5. $\text{TIME}(t(n)) \subset \text{NTIME}(t(n)) \subset \text{TIME}(2^{ct(n)})$.
6. There is at most a polynomial difference between the time complexity of problems measured on deterministic single-tape and multitape TM.
7. There is at most an exponential difference between the time complexity of problems measured on Deterministic and Nondeterministic TM.
8. (Theorem 7.14) $\text{PATH} \in P$
9. (Theorem 7.15) $\text{RELPRIME} \in P$
10. $\text{HAMPATH} \in NP$
11. (Theorem 7.20) A language is in NP **iff** it is decided by some nondeterministic polynomial time Turing machine.
12. $\mathbf{NP} \in \cup_k \text{NTIME}(n^k)$.
13. If L reduce to L' , and $L' \in P$, then $L \in P$.
14. If L reduce to L' , and $L \notin P$, then $L' \notin P$.
15. Every $L \in NP$ reduce to SAT. [If $\text{SAT} \in P$, then $P = NP$.]
16. SAT is NP-Complete.