

22C:166 Distributed Systems and Algorithms

Homework 4

Total points = 50

Assigned 11/2/11, due 11/09/11 11:59 PM

You can work in groups of two for this assignment

Background. In a 1983 paper, Ben-Or [B83] showed how to overcome the FLP impossibility result on asynchronous consensus using *probabilistic actions*. Ben-Or's solution is described below.

Let n be the total number of processes, of which at most t processes may crash. The proposed consensus algorithm progresses in several asynchronous *rounds*, each round consists of several steps. Based on the response received in a particular round, actions in the next round are determined. Only binary decision values (**0** or **1**) are considered. Each message sent out by a process has the following four fields:

- A step number s that indicates the current step in a round;
- A round number r that indicates the current round;
- A binary value b which is either **0** or **1**;
- A flag u or d indicating two different stages (*undecided* or *decided*) in decision-making

```
Step  {Program for process i}
0     {step 0: initialization} b:= initial value of process i; r := 0
      do true →
1     {step 1} broadcast (1, r, b, u)
2     {step 2} receive at least n-t messages of type (1, r, -, -);
      {Let m be the maximum number of processes that sent the same value v}
2.1   if m > n/2 → broadcast (2, r, v, d)
2.2   m ≤ n/2 → broadcast (2, r, b, u)
      fi
3     {step 3} receive at least n-t messages of type (2, r, -, -);
      {Let p be the max # of processes that sent (2, r, v, d) messages}
3.1   if 0 < p < t+1 → b:= v;
3.2   p ≥ t + 1 → b:= v; decide v; {this is the final decision}
3.3   p = 0 → b:= random {0,1};
      fi
4     {step 4} r := r+1
      od
```

What you have to do

Study how the solution works. Ben-Or claimed that the above algorithm solves the asynchronous consensus problem when $n > 2t$. You have to prove the following three lemmas and answer the last question:

Lemma 1. If every process has the same initial value v , then every process decides v within one round.

Lemma 2. Two non-faulty processes cannot decide different values.

Hint. Two different non-faulty processes may not reach agreement when they set their b-values differently using the action in line 3.1 or 3.2. To prove agreement, first show that in any round r , it is impossible for one process i to receive a $(2, r, v, d)$ message, and another process j to receive a $(2, r, w, d)$ message, ($v \neq w$).

Lemma 3. Show that at least one process eventually decides.

Question. If at least one process finally decides v in round r , then in which round will every process finally decide v ?

Observe that Step 2 requires "more than $n/2$ out of the $n-t$ messages received" to have the same value v in order that a process changes its b-value to v . This is not guaranteed unless $n-t > n/2$.

Reference

[B83] Michael Ben-Or: Another Advantage of Free Choice: Completely Asynchronous Agreement Protocols (Extended Abstract). PODC 1983: 27-30.

(Feel free to look at the original paper. The author did not present any proof of his algorithm there)