

Home work 2 sample solution

22C:034 Spring 2004

Q1:

$$RR(n) = \frac{n \times (n - 1)}{2}. \quad (1)$$

Solution Let $P(n)$ be the property of n that (1) holds. We now prove by mathematical induction that $p(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 2$.

Inductive base $p(2)$ is true because in a round-robin tournament with $n = 2$ the number of matches, $RR(2)$ is 1. In this case, $\frac{n \times (n-1)}{2} = 1$.

Inductive hypothesis The inductive hypothesis is given by (1) with n fixed.

Inductive step In a round-robin tournament with $n+1$ players, $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, s_{n+1}$, the number of matches among the n players s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n are $RR(n)$, and the number of matches with player s_{n+1} are n , because s_{n+1} needs to play a match with each of s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n .

So the total number of matches for in a round-robin tournament with $n+1$ players are $RR(n+1)$, $RR(n+1) = RR(n) + n$.

One has

$$\begin{aligned} & RR(n+1) \text{ left side of (1) with } n := n + 1 \\ &= RR(n) + n \text{ from above} \\ &= \frac{n \times (n-1)}{2} + n \text{ Inductive hypothesis} \\ &= \frac{(n+1) \times ((n+1)-1)}{2} \text{ right side of (1) with } n := n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

So $p(n+1)$ holds.

Conclusion The inductive base and the inductive step imply that (1) is valid for all $n \geq 2$.

Q2:

Solution We prove by induction on the structure of fpe e that

$P(e) \equiv$ if e obtains no negations then the number of symbols in e are odd.
holds for all fpe e .

Inductive base e is an atomic expression, i.e., a single propositional variable or a single propositional constant. In this case the number of symbols in e is 1, which is odd.

Inductive Hypothesis Assume that, for any subexpression A of e , if A contains no negations, then the number of symbols in A are odd.

Inductive step

We only need to consider the cases of $e \equiv (A \wedge B)$, $e \equiv (A \vee B)$, $e \equiv (A \Rightarrow B)$, and $e \equiv (A \Leftrightarrow B)$.

Case 1: $e \equiv (A \wedge B)$

If e contains no negations, then subexpression A and B contains no negations. By inductive hypothesis, A and B both have odd number of symbols. The logical connective \wedge is one single symbol. Odd number + odd number + 1 = odd number. So the number of symbols in e are odd.

The proof for the cases $e \equiv (A \vee B)$, $e \equiv (A \Rightarrow B)$,and $e \equiv (A \Leftrightarrow B)$ are similar.

Conclusion One concludes that $P(e)$ holds for all fpe e .

Q3:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k \cdot (k + 1) = \frac{2n^3 + 6n^2 + 4n}{6} \quad (2)$$

Solution Let $P(n)$ be the property of n that (2) holds. We now prove by mathematical induction that $p(n)$ is true for all natural numbers n .

Inductive base $p(0)$ is true because (2) holds for $n = 0$. In this case, $\sum_{k=0}^0 k \cdot (k + 1) = 0$, and

Inductive hypothesis The inductive hypothesis is given by (2) with n fixed.

Inductive step One has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k \cdot (k + 1) \text{ left side of (2) with } n := n + 1 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n k \cdot (k + 1) + (n + 1) \cdot (n + 2) \quad \text{by the definition} \\ &= \frac{2n^3 + 6n^2 + 4n}{6} + (n + 1) \cdot (n + 2) \quad \text{Inductive hypothesis} \\ &= \frac{n \cdot (n+1) \cdot (n+2)}{3} + (n + 1) \cdot (n + 2) \\ &= \frac{n \cdot (n+1) \cdot (n+2) + 3 \cdot (n+1) \cdot (n+2)}{3} \\ &= \frac{(n+1) \cdot (n+2) \cdot (n+3)}{3} \\ &= \frac{2(n+1)^3 + 6(n+1)^2 + 4(n+1)}{6} \quad \text{right side of (2) with } n := n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

So $p(n + 1)$ holds.

Conclusion The inductive base and the inductive step imply that (2) is valid for all n .

Q4:

(One has

$$a_1 \cdot (a_2 \cdot (\dots (a_n \cdot []) \dots)) = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] \quad (a)$$

$$\text{cat}([], y) = y \quad (b)$$

$$\text{cat}(a.x, y) = a.\text{cat}(x,y) \quad (c)$$

$$\text{cat}([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n], [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]) = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m] \quad (3)$$

Proof Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that (3) is true for all $n \geq 0$,assuming m is fixed and $m \geq 0$. One has the following:

Base for induction For $n=0$, (3) is true. In this case, one has

$cat([], [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]) = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]$ by (b).

Inductive hypothesis The inductive hypothesis is given by (3) with n fixed.

Inductive step One has

$cat([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}], [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m])$ Left side of (3)
 $= cat(a_1.[a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}], [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m])$ By (a)
 $= a_1.cat([a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}], [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m])$ By (c)
 $= a_1.[a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]$ By induction hypothesis
 $= [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]$ By (a) Right side of (3)
 So $p(n + 1)$ holds.

Conclusion The inductive base and the inductive step imply that (3) is valid for all n .

Q5:

Example $A = a, b, c, B = b, C = c$. One has $A \cup B = a, b, c$, and $A \cup C = a, b, c$, but $B \neq C$.

Q6:

Prove

$$A \cap (\sim A \cup B) = A \cap B \quad (4)$$

Proof

$A \cap (\sim A \cup B)$ left side of (4)
 $= (A \cap \sim A) \cup (A \cap B)$ by Distributive Laws
 $= \phi \cup (A \cap B)$ By Exclusion Law
 $= (A \cap B) \cup \phi$ By Commutative laws
 $= A \cap B$ By Identity Laws
 This completes the proof of (4).