## Homework V Sample Solution

There are numerous ways to solve this problem, so your solution might be quite different than this one.

The basic types are unchanged so the first difference is the state space where an additional variable is incorporated to identify the listed phones, including an invariant condition pertaining to it.

PhoneDB

members: P Person listed: P Phone

telephones: Person ↔ Phone

dom telephones  $\subseteq$  members listed  $\subseteq$  ran telephones

It might be desirable to also change the initial state schema. However, this is actually not required -- since telephones =  $\emptyset$  and listed  $\subseteq$  ran telephones, it can be inferred that listed =  $\emptyset$ .

Hence we proceed to the specifications of the new operations.

UnList \_\_\_\_\_ ∆PhoneDB people?: ℙ Person number?: Phone

number? ∈ listed people? = telephones~({number?}) listed' = listed \ {number?} telephones' = telephones members' = members Since there are obviously exceptional conditions for the UnList operation, we add a schema to treat them.

UnListFailure \_\_\_\_\_ EPhoneDB people?: P Person number?: Phone rep!: Report \_\_\_\_\_\_ number? ∉ listed V people ≠ telephones~({number?})

rep! = UnList\_Error

The completed operation is then defined by a schema expression in the usual way.

DoUnList =^= UnList ∧ Success ∨ UnListFailure

Now we go on to the second new operation.

ReList \_\_\_\_\_\_ ∆PhoneDB people?: P Person number?: Phone

number?  $\in$  ran telephones  $\land$  number?  $\notin$  listed people? = telephones~({number?}) listed' = listed  $\cup$  {number?} telephones' = telephones members' = members

Again we need an exceptions schema to put together the complete operation specification.

ReListFailure \_\_\_\_\_ EPhoneDB people?: P Person number?: Phone rep!: Report

number?  $\in$  listed V number?  $\notin$  ran telephones V people  $\neq$  telephones~({number?}) rep! = UnList\_Error

DoReList =^= ReList ∧ Success ∨ ReListFailure

In addition, we need to consider changes that are necessitated to other operation schemas to reflect and support this new feature. There turn out to be several that are warranted.

First of all, the AddEntry operation must be updated for the new state space.

This leaves the FindPhones and FindNames operations to be modified to honor refusing to reveal information about unlisted phones. First, the normal FindPhones operation makes sure all phones are listed.

FindPhones EPhoneDB name?: Person numbers!: P Phone

name?  $\in$  dom telephones telephones( {name?} )  $\subseteq$  listed numbers! = telephones( {name?} )

Since this introduces an additional exception (i.e., the presence of an unlisted phone), we add a schema o treat it, plus revise the schema expression for the completed operation. This approach reports the listed numbers, and indicates the existence of an unlisted number.

UnListedPhone EPhoneDB name?: Person numbers!: P Phone rep! : Report

name? ∈ dom telephones
telephones( {name?} ) \ listed ≠ {}
numbers! = listed ∩ telephones( {name?} )
rep! = Unlisted\_Phone

DoFindPhones =^= FindPhones A Success V UnknownName V UnlistedNumber

Lastly, we have similar changes for the FindNames operation.

FindNames EPhoneDB names!: P Person number?: Phone

number?  $\in$  listed names! = telephones~({number})

An exceptional case is added so that for a known but unlisted number, no names are revealed.

UnlistedNumber EPhoneDB number?: Phone rep!: Report

number?  $\in$  ran telephones \ listed rep! = 'Unlisted\_Entry'

DoFindNames =^= FindNames A Success V UnknownNumber V UnlistedNumber

Of course, the new error messages must also be added to the Report type.

The Zans version of this specification is in the class directory.