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## Notation

- $Q=\left(Q_{0}, Q_{1}, t, h\right)$ is a quiver
- $K=$ algebraically closed field of characteristic 0
- $V=$ a representation of the quiver $Q$
- $V(i)$ is the $K$-vector space at vertex $i$
- $V(a)$ is the $K$-linear map along arrow $a$.
- $\operatorname{dim} V=$ the dimension vector of $V$.
- $\operatorname{rep}(Q)$ is the category of finite dimensional quiver representations.
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From now on, assume that $Q$ is a connected acyclic quiver.
Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_{0}}$.
A representation $V \in \operatorname{rep}(Q)$ is said to be $\langle\alpha,-\rangle$-semi-stable if:

$$
\langle\alpha, \underline{\operatorname{dim}} V\rangle=0 \text { and }\left\langle\alpha, \underline{\operatorname{dim}} V^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq 0
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for all subrepresentations $V^{\prime} \leq V$.
Likewise, it is $\langle\alpha,-\rangle$-stable if the inequality is strict for proper, non-trivial subrepresentations.
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$$
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And respectively, $\beta$ is $\langle\alpha,-\rangle$-stable if the second inequality is strict for $\beta^{\prime} \neq 0, \beta$.
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$\beta$ is a Schur root if and only if
$\mathcal{D}(\beta)^{\circ}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_{0}} \mid\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=0,\left\langle\alpha, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle<0 \forall \beta^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \beta, \beta \neq 0, \beta\right\}$ is non-empty if and only if $\beta$ is $\langle\beta,-\rangle-\langle-, \beta\rangle$-stable.
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Main Question: Find necessary and sufficient conditions for $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ to be GIT-equivalent.

Colin Ingalls, Charles Paquette, and Hugh Thomas gave a characterization in the case that $Q$ is tame, which was published in 2015. Their work was motivated by studying what subcategories of $\operatorname{rep}(Q)$ arise as semi-stable-subcategories, with an eye towards forming a lattice of subcategories.
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## Non-Homogeneous tubes

Now for example, a rank 3 tube looks like:
$\uparrow$ Not Schur

$\delta$-dimensional, Schur
$\downarrow$ Schur
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- Set $l$ to be the multi-index $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$, where $1 \leq a_{i} \leq r_{i}$, and $R$ to be the set of all permissible such multi-indices.
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## Theorem (Ingalls, Paquette, Thomas)
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## Theorem

$\mathcal{F}(\beta)$ is a finite fan cover of $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$, and if $\beta$ is a Schur root, then $\mathcal{F}(\beta)$ is a pure fan of dimension $\left|Q_{0}\right|-1$.

A very useful property of pure fans for our result is the following:
(Keicher, 2012) Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{n}$ be a pure $n$-dimensional fan with convex support $|\Sigma|$, and let $\tau \in \Sigma$ be such that $\tau \cap|\Sigma|^{\circ} \neq \varnothing$. Then $\tau$ is the intersection over all $\sigma \in \Sigma^{(m)}$ satisfying $\tau \leq \sigma$.
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## Idea of Proof

Assume $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha_{1}}=\mathcal{I}_{\alpha_{2}}$
If $\beta$ is $\left\langle\alpha_{1},-\right\rangle$-stable, then $\alpha_{1} \in \mathcal{D}(\beta)^{\circ}$, and of course $\alpha_{1} \in \mathcal{C}(\beta)_{\alpha_{1}}$. We can apply Keicher's result to conclude that $\mathcal{C}(\beta)_{\alpha_{1}}$ is an intersection of all maximal cones of which it is a face.
By the assumption, any such maximal cone contains $\alpha_{2}$ as well.
So, $\alpha_{2} \in \mathcal{C}(\beta)_{\alpha_{1}}$. Similarly, $\alpha_{1} \in \mathcal{C}(\beta)_{\alpha_{2}}$.
Now, if $\beta$ is arbitrary, use a JH-filtration to break it into a sum of $\left\langle\alpha_{1},-\right\rangle$-stable factors.
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## The tame case

If $Q$ is tame, the collection of cones $\mathcal{I}$ is exactly the collection $\mathcal{J}$ defined by IPT.
Precisely, $C_{l}$ is a maximal GIT-cone, namely $\mathcal{C}(\delta)_{\alpha_{l}}$ where $\alpha_{I}=\delta+\sum_{j \neq a_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{i j}$
Main ingredients in proof:

- Realize $C_{l}$ as the orbit cone of a representation: $\Omega\left(Z_{l}\right)$, where $Z_{l}$ is a direct sum of $Z_{i}$, where $Z_{i}$ is the unique $\delta$ dimensional representation with regular socle of dimension $\beta_{i a_{i}}$.
- Show that the $Z_{i}$ 's and the homogeneous $\delta$-dimensional representations are the only $\delta$-dimensional representations which are polystable with respect to the weight $\alpha_{I}=\delta+\sum_{j \neq a_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{i j}$
- Invoke a result that $\mathcal{C}(\beta)_{\alpha}=\cap \Omega(W)$ (Chindris, "On GIT Fans for Quivers" )
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## Example

Let $Q=\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{1}$ :


Real Roots: $(n, n+1)$ and $(n+1, n)$ for $n \geq 0$
Isotropic Roots: $(n, n)$ for $n \geq 1$.
In particular, $\delta=(1,1)$ is the unique isotropic Schur root.
$\mathcal{D}((0,1))$ is generated by $(1,2)$ and $(-1,-2)$
$\mathcal{D}((1,0))$ is generated by $(0,1)$ and $(0,-1)$
For $n \geq 1, \mathcal{D}((n, n+1))$ is generated by $(n+1, n+2)$, and $\mathcal{D}((n+1, n))$ is generated by $(n, n-1)$.

## Example

Let $Q=\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{1}$ :


Real Roots: $(n, n+1)$ and $(n+1, n)$ for $n \geq 0$
Isotropic Roots: $(n, n)$ for $n \geq 1$.
In particular, $\delta=(1,1)$ is the unique isotropic Schur root.
$\mathcal{D}((0,1))$ is generated by $(1,2)$ and $(-1,-2)$
$\mathcal{D}((1,0))$ is generated by $(0,1)$ and $(0,-1)$
For $n \geq 1, \mathcal{D}((n, n+1))$ is generated by $(n+1, n+2)$, and $\mathcal{D}((n+1, n))$ is generated by $(n, n-1)$.
Lastly, $\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ is generated by $\delta$.

## Example



## Example


Rays extending through lattice points of $y=x+1$ and $y=x-1$

## Example



Two weights $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are GIT equivalent if and only if they are on the same collection of rays.

## Example



In this case, since the intersection of any two rays is $(0,0)$, we have that $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are GIT-equivalent if they are

- both $=(0,0)$
- both in the same ray, i.e., $\alpha_{1}=\lambda \alpha_{2}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$


## Further Questions

- How can we get our hands on these maximal GIT-cones of Schur roots for wild quivers?
- Would a similar result hold, using similar techniques, for quivers with relations?


## Example

Let $Q=\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{2}$ :


## Example

$$
\text { Let } Q=\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{2} \text { : }
$$



We want to give an idea of the cones in $\mathcal{I}$. Recall that

$$
\mathcal{I}=\left\{\mathcal{C}(\delta)_{\alpha_{l}}\right\}_{l \in R} \cup\{\mathcal{D}(\beta)\}_{\beta}
$$

where the union is over all real Schur roots $\beta$.

## Example

Starting with the dimension vectors of the projective and injective indecomposables, and applying the A-R translate, we get infinitely many real Schur roots:

## Example

Starting with the dimension vectors of the projective and injective indecomposables, and applying the A-R translate, we get infinitely many real Schur roots:

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{0}=(1,0,1) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (2,2,3) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (4,3,4) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (5,5,6) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{1}=(1,1,2) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (3,2,3) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (4,4,5) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (6,5,6) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{2}=(0,0,1) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (2,1,2) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (3,3,4) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (5,4,5) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} I_{0}=(1,1,0) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (2,3,2) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (4,4,3) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (5,6,5) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} 1_{1}=(0,1,0) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (2,2,1) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (3,4,3) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (5,5,4) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} I_{2}=(1,2,1) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (3,3,2) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (4,5,4) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (6,6,5) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots
\end{array}
$$

## Example

Starting with the dimension vectors of the projective and injective indecomposables, and applying the A-R translate, we get infinitely many real Schur roots:

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{0}=(1,0,1) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (2,2,3) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (4,3,4) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (5,5,6) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{1}=(1,1,2) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (3,2,3) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (4,4,5) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (6,5,6) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{2}=(0,0,1) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (2,1,2) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (3,3,4) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} & (5,4,5) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} I_{0}=(1,1,0) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (2,3,2) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (4,4,3) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (5,6,5) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} 1_{1}=(0,1,0) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (2,2,1) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (3,4,3) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (5,5,4) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots \\
\underline{\operatorname{dim}} I_{2}=(1,2,1) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (3,3,2) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (4,5,4) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (6,6,5) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-}} \cdots
\end{array}
$$

Each one of these real Schur roots will correspond to a $\mathcal{D}(\beta) \in \mathcal{I}$.

## Example

For example, if we take
$\beta=(0,0,1)$, we have $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ is
generated by
$-\operatorname{dim} P_{0}=(-1,0,-1)$,
$-\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{1}=(-1,-1,-2)$ and
$(1,0,1)$, which is $-\langle-, \beta\rangle$-stable.
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For example, if we take $\beta=(0,0,1)$, we have $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ is generated by
$-\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{0}=(-1,0,-1)$,
$-\underline{\operatorname{dim}} P_{1}=(-1,-1,-2)$ and
$(1,0,1)$, which is $-\langle-, \beta\rangle$-stable.
Thus, $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ looks like:


## Example

If we take $\beta=(1,1,2)$, which is sincere, we have $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ is generated by $(0,1,1)$ and $(2,1,2)$ which are both $-\langle-, \beta\rangle$-stable.
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Thus, $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ looks like:


## Example

Now, turning to the regular representations, we have $\delta=(1,1,1)$.

## Example

Now, turning to the regular representations, we have $\delta=(1,1,1)$. If $\beta=(x, y, z)$ is quasi-simple, it must satisfy:

$$
\{\langle\delta, \beta\rangle=y-z=0
$$

## Example

Now, turning to the regular representations, we have $\delta=(1,1,1)$. If $\beta=(x, y, z)$ is quasi-simple, it must satisfy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\langle\delta, \beta\rangle=y-z=0 \\
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-x y-x z-y z=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Example

Now, turning to the regular representations, we have $\delta=(1,1,1)$. If $\beta=(x, y, z)$ is quasi-simple, it must satisfy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\langle\delta, \beta\rangle=y-z=0 \\
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x y=1
\end{array}\right.
$$
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Now, turning to the regular representations, we have $\delta=(1,1,1)$. If $\beta=(x, y, z)$ is quasi-simple, it must satisfy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\langle\delta, \beta\rangle=y-z=0 \\
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x y=1 \\
\beta \leq \delta, \text { i.e., } x \leq 1, y \leq 1, z \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$
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Now, turning to the regular representations, we have $\delta=(1,1,1)$. If $\beta=(x, y, z)$ is quasi-simple, it must satisfy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\langle\delta, \beta\rangle=y-z=0 \\
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x y=1 \\
\beta \leq \delta, \text { i.e., } x \leq 1, y \leq 1, z \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, the only quasi-simples are $(0,1,1)$ and $(1,0,0)$. That is, we have a single non-homogeneous tube in the regular component of the A-R quiver, and it has period 2.

## Example

Now, turning to the regular representations, we have $\delta=(1,1,1)$. If $\beta=(x, y, z)$ is quasi-simple, it must satisfy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\langle\delta, \beta\rangle=y-z=0 \\
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x y=1 \\
\beta \leq \delta, \text { i.e., } x \leq 1, y \leq 1, z \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, the only quasi-simples are $(0,1,1)$ and $(1,0,0)$. That is, we have a single non-homogeneous tube in the regular component of the $A-R$ quiver, and it has period 2.
Now, $\beta_{11}=(0,1,1)$ and $\beta_{12}=(1,0,0)$ are themselves real Schur roots, and so $\mathcal{D}\left(\beta_{11}\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}\left(\beta_{12}\right)$ are in $\mathcal{I}$.
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Lastly, we need the $C(\delta)_{\alpha_{l}}$ 's.

## Example

Lastly, we need the $C(\delta)_{\alpha_{1}}$ 's.
For $I=(1)$, we have
$\alpha_{I}=\delta+\beta_{12}=(2,1,1)$ and
$C(\delta)_{\alpha,}$ is generated, as a cone, by ( $1,1,1$ ) and ( $1,0,0$ ).

## Example

Lastly, we need the $C(\delta)_{\alpha_{l}}$ 's.
For $I=(1)$, we have
$\alpha_{I}=\delta+\beta_{12}=(2,1,1)$ and
$C(\delta)_{\alpha_{l}}$ is generated, as a cone, by $(1,1,1)$ and $(1,0,0)$.

For $I=(2)$, we have
$\alpha_{I}=\delta+\beta_{11}=(1,2,2)$ and
$C(\delta)_{\alpha,}$ is generated, as a cone,
by $(1,1,1)$ and $(0,1,1)$.

## Example

Lastly, we need the $C(\delta)_{\alpha_{l}}$ 's.
For $I=(1)$, we have
$\alpha_{I}=\delta+\beta_{12}=(2,1,1)$ and $C(\delta)_{\alpha,}$ is generated, as a cone, by ( $1,1,1$ ) and ( $1,0,0$ ).

For $I=(2)$, we have $\alpha_{I}=\delta+\beta_{11}=(1,2,2)$ and $C(\delta)_{\alpha,}$ is generated, as a cone, by $(1,1,1)$ and $(0,1,1)$.

[Animation with many of the cones from $\mathcal{I}$ ]

