Canonical Join Representations for Torsion Classes

Andrew T. Carroll jt. with Emily Barnard, Shijie Zhu, Gordana Todorov



University of Missouri Conference on Geometric Methods in Representation Theory

Goal: Torsion Classes

Study the combinatorics of the lattice tors Λ for a finite-dimensional associative algebra Λ.

Describe each cover in the lattice via a Schur module

• Describe join-irreducible elements in tors Λ .

Describe the canonical join representation of elements.

Definitions from algebra

Throughout, Λ is a finite-dimensional associative algebra over a field *k*.

- ► A torsion class over Λ is a class of modules T closed under extensions and epimorphisms.
- We will focus on the lattice structure of tors Λ with partial order given by inclusions.
- Given any class of modules S ⊂ mod Λ, filt(S) denotes the set of modules admiting an S-filtration. I.e., X ∈ filt(S) if there is a filtration

$$X = X_n \supseteq X_{n-1} \ldots \supseteq X_0 = 0$$

with $X_i/X_{i-1} \in S$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

- If T and T' are torsion classes, then filt(T ∪ T') is the smallest torsion class containing both, the join, T ∨ T'.
- Meanwhile, $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{T}'$ is the meet.

Background

History Born of reflection functors, they can help to understand a module category by relating it to a simpler algebra [formalized by Brenner-Butler]
Happel-Unger Torsion classes can be generated by tilting objects *F*, and almost-complete tilting modules can be completed it *at most* two ways to a tilting module.

Adachi-Iyama-Reiten Defined the notion of τ -tilting pairs, $(M, P) \in \mod \Lambda \times \operatorname{Proj} \Lambda$, and almost-complete τ -tilting pairs can be completed in *exactly* two ways.

AIR Fac(M) is a (functorially-finite) torsion class, and torsion classes obtained from an *exchange* adjacent in the poset of torsion classes.

Take-away

 $\tau\text{-tilting}$ exchange gives information on the poset structure of f. f. $tors(\Lambda).$

A beautiful story

Mizuno ff-tors over preprojective of Dynkin quiver corresponds to weak order on the corresponding Weyl group

Reading For finite Coxeter group, consider the corresponding hyperplane arrangement of reflecting hyperplanes. Hyperplanes *cut* each other into pieces called shards.

Iyama-Reading-Reiten-Thomas (Simultaneous to BCTZ) Study lattice structure of the weak order via representations of preprojective algebra, including interpretation of shards.





Definitions from lattices

- An element x ∈ L is join irreducible if whenever there is a finite subset A ⊂ L with x = VA, x ∈ A. (x is completely join irreducible if the property holds for arbitrary subsets A ⊂ L.)
- A join-representation of x ∈ L is an expression x = VA where A is a finite subset of L.
 - A join-representation is *irredundant* if \(\/A' < \/A for any proper subset A' ⊂ A.\)</p>
 - ▶ *A join-refines B* if for each $a \in A$, there is a $b \in B$ with $a \leq b$.
 - ► A canonical join-representation of x: x = \/A, irredundant and minimal with respect to join refinement.



Minimal extending modules

To each cover $\mathcal{T} < \mathcal{T}'$ in the lattice of torsion classes, we associate an indecomposable Schur module.

Definition

Let \mathcal{T} be a torsion class. An indecomposable *M* is called *minimal extending module* for \mathcal{T} if

- 1. All proper factors of M lie in T;
- 2. For every non-split exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to X \to T \to 0,$$

 $T \in \mathcal{T}$ implies $M \in \mathcal{T}$.

3. Hom_{Λ}(\mathcal{T}, M) = 0

Covers are given by minimal extending modules

Theorem (BCTZ)

The torsion class T admits a cover T' if and only if there exists a minimal extending module M for T which lies in T'.

In case one of the equivalent conditions holds, *T*' = filt(ind(*T*) ∪ {*M*}).

M is a Schur module (i.e., End_Λ(M) = k, sometimes called a brick).



Each edge of the Hasse diagram can be labeled by a Schur module. But which ones?

Theorem (BCTZ)

There is a bijection between completely join-irreducible torsion classes over Λ and Schur Λ -modules.

Proof.

- 1. "Completely join-irreducible" means "has exactly one lower cover"
- 2. If *M* is Schur, show $T_M := \operatorname{filt}(\operatorname{Gen}(M))$ is completely join-irreducible.
- 3. Restrict ϕ to those covers $\{T < T'\}$ for which T' is completely join-irreducible.
- 4. Show that if $\phi(\mathcal{T} \lessdot \mathcal{T}') = M$ then $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}_M$.



Canonical join-representations

- If x = ∨A is the canonical join-representation of x, then each element a ∈ A is join-irreducible.
- If *T* is a torsion class, write cov[↓](*T*) for the set of torsion classes *S* such that *S* < *T*.
- Call *T* accessible if for every torsion class *R* < *T*, there is an element *S* ∈ cov[↓](*T*) with *R* ≤ *S*.
- Let face[↓](*T*) be the set of Schur modules representing the covers in cov[↓](*T*).

Theorem (BCTZ)

Suppose that ${\mathcal T}$ is a torsion class. If ${\mathcal T}$ is accessible then

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigvee_{M \in \mathrm{face}^{\downarrow}(\mathcal{T})} \mathcal{T}_{M}.$$

Is this the CJR?



Digging Deeper

Proposition

If \mathcal{T} is a torsion class, and M, N are distinct elements in face^{\downarrow}(\mathcal{T}), then $Hom_{\Lambda}(M, N) = Hom_{\Lambda}(N, M) = 0$.

Proof.



- Can show $M_1 \in \mathcal{T}_2$ and $M_2 \in \mathcal{T}_1$
- (can even show more)
- Property 3 says $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{T}_i, M_i) = 0$

Hom-configurations

Theorem Let Λ be a finite dimensional associative algebra of and M_1, \ldots, M_k a collection of Schur modules with

 $\dim Hom_{\Lambda}(M_i, M_j) = 0$

for all *i*, *j*. Then $\bigvee_i \mathcal{T}_{M_i}$ is an accessible torsion class with lower faces M_i .

Corollary (BCTZ)

With the same assumptions as above, $\bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{T}_{M_i}$ is a canonical join representation if and only if $\dim_k Hom_{\Lambda}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for all $i, j \in I$. In particular, for finite representation type, hom-configurations and torsion classes are in bijection.

Beware: This does not give *all* CJRs, just CJRs with downset explained by their lower faces.

Further work

Lattice quotients (time permitting)

- 1. Suppose $\Lambda' = \Lambda/I$ for some two-sided ideal *I*. Then $tors(\Lambda')$ is a lattice quotient of $tors(\Lambda)$.
- 2. There are lattice quotients $tors(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ not corresponding to algebra quotients.
- 3. Algebraically, a quotient algebra is the choice of setting isomorphic some indecomposables to a direct sum of a sub and a quotient.
- 4. On particular, certain Schur modules must be excised.

Thank you!